DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop C2-21-16 Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850



Center for Clinical Standards and Quality/Quality, Safety & Oversight Group

Admin Info: 19-01-ALL

DATE: October 04, 2018

TO: State Survey Agency Directors

FROM: Director

Quality, Safety & Oversight Group

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Mission & Priority document (MPD) – Action

Memorandum Summary

FY 2019 MPD: In an effort for increased transparency and human-centered design, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Quality, Safety & Oversight Group has redesigned the MPD. The new MPD features:

- A dedicated website available under the links located under the left for the MPD at https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-Certification/SurveyCertificationGenInfo/index.html.
- Reduction of the previous MPD size to allow for QSOG to better relay new FY 19 initiatives and continuing efforts from the previous years.
- Deletion of duplicative information as seen in previous years.
- Easier access to specific provider and supplier information.

The new MPD also allows for continuous updates to the website in lieu of multiple releases of revisions.

Background

The MPD is a document which directs and outlines the work of the Quality, Safety & Oversight Group, the CMS Regional Offices, and the State Survey Agencies based on regulatory changes, adjustments in budget allocations, new initiatives, as well as new requirements based on statutes such as the Improving Medicare Post-Acute Care Transformation Act of 2014 (IMPACT Act). The MPD discusses survey and certification functions as well as the Medicare funding allocation process for states, which directly impacts the work prioritization to occur for planning to conduct the required survey workload for the given fiscal year the MPD is issued. In addition, the MPD provides background information for each of the 17 provider and supplier types, accreditation surveys, and CMS priorities of initial surveys of providers and suppliers enrolling in Medicare. It also outlines the priorities for surveying relocations of existing providers and suppliers, projected validation survey workload, system requirements, state performance standards, and provides the upcoming surveyor training schedule.

Every fiscal year, the Quality, Safety & Oversight Group releases the MPD to the CMS Regional Offices and State Survey Agencies. This year, the MPD is being transformed from an 80-page document posted online to a publically-accessible website to allow for greater program transparency and real-time updates to mission priorities and initiatives.

New MPD Features

In FY18, the Quality, Safety & Oversight Group was required to reissue the MPD on five separate occasions due to changes in priority workload following new regulations, changes to the training schedule, new initiatives and errors that required correction. Based on our commitment to increased transparency, innovation and initiatives for human-centered design, we took the following steps to revise the MPD:

- Created a public facing under the general QSOG webpages at https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-Certification/SurveyCertificationGenInfo/index.html to provide this information.
- 2. Reduced the MPD available under the download section of the above site to only reflect ongoing initiatives and efforts as well as new FY19 information.
- 3. Created a download section which has not only the new MPD, but provider and supplier specific downloads which include historical context, more discussion of past efforts, and other details which may not require regular updates.
- 4. Additionally, for those downloads that do require updates, such as our Training Schedule, this will allow us to update the information on a continuous basis.

With the redesign of the MPD and accessibility on the new website, we aim to have the MPD be a living and continuous document which can be updated on a quarterly or as needed basis to relay information as priorities may change throughout the year.

Hospice Funding Requests

Funding for hospice surveys will follow the same approach in FY 2019 as in prior years (communicated via AdminInfo 15-26). In short, all survey and certification work in FY 2019 regarding both non-deemed and deemed hospices is to be funded first by IMPACT Act funds (after subtracting that portion of costs properly assigned to the State's usual licensure share of the costs). Medicare S&C funds will be used after the State's allocation of IMPACT Act funds have been committed. We are requesting that States send their request for total FY 2019 hospice funding to their RO budget contacts by November 2, 2018, and copy Bary Slovikosky (Bary.Slovikosky@cms.hhs.gov). We have left Column B2 and D2 of Appendix 2 of the MPD blank until we have more time to review requests for hospice funding. We will issue a separate memorandum conveying the IMPACT Act funds allotted to each State.

S&C Medicare Funding Allocation Process

For initial planning purposes, Central Office (CO) has projected a 0.5% increase in Medicare funds as a target for 2019 for all States. However, it should be noted that Congress has already passed a funding bill for FY 2019 at a funding level equal to FY 2018.

Page 3- State Survey Agency Directors

For FY 2019 budgets, we will engage in a process similar to the process used in FY2013-18; that is, we will ask each State to submit a budget request based on the State's needs and amount that the State actually expects to use. CO will then have discussions with each Regional Office to determine the final allocations for the FY. The dates for that process will be forthcoming.

Contact: For questions or concerns, please contact your CMS Regional Office.

Effective Date: Immediately. This policy should be communicated with all survey and certification staff, their managers and the State/Regional Office training coordinators within 30 days of this memorandum.

/s/ David R. Wright

cc: Survey and Certification Regional Office Management

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services



Centers for Medicare and Medicard Services

Quality, Safety & Oversight Group Center for Clinical Standards and Quality 7500 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Quality Assurance for the Medicare & Medicaid Programs

FY2019 Mission & Priority Document (MPD)

Quality, Safety & Oversight Group Survey & Certification Activities

October 2018

Note: The FY19 MPD provides an overview of current activities and priorities and does not provide a full overview of past activities. For an overview of the prior years and more detailed explanations, please refer to the FY18 MPD under the *downloads* section.

CURRENT FACILITY GROWTHS

FY18 of Facility Growth:

For data, please refer to the download section under the MPD Website.

SPECIAL NOTES

A. New Regulations with New Responsibilities

- Proposed Rules:
 - O **Burden Reduction for Non Long-Term Care:** This proposed rule would reform Medicare regulations that are identified as unnecessary, obsolete, or excessively burdensome on health care providers and suppliers. This proposed rule would increase the ability of health care professionals to devote resources to improving patient care by eliminating or reducing requirements that impede quality patient care or that divert resources away from furnishing high quality patient care.
 - O Anticipated 1150B Delegation of Authority: We are looking to publish a proposed rule to fully enforce Section 1150B requirements for reporting crimes to nursing home residents, we are proposing a regulation that will allow Civil Money Penalties (CMPs) to be imposed of up to \$200,000 against covered individuals (staff, volunteers, etc.) who fail to report reasonable suspicion of crimes. This proposed regulation would also facilitate a 2-year exclusion for retaliating against individuals who report. Although CMS has the authority to impose CMPs against nursing homes, rule-making is required to facilitate CMPs and exclusions imposed against covered individuals. (Unified Agenda 2018)

• Final Rules:

O Home Health Conditions of Participation: New Conditions of Participation (CoPs) became effective January 13, 2018. Two new CoPs were added, Infection Control and Prevention and Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement. The majority of the previous requirements were retained although reorganized, or were slightly modified with the transition to the new COPs.

B. Past Continued Efforts and Continuing Initiatives

As of FY18 and Continued through FY19:

- ESRD Contract Surveys
 CMS is continuing with ESRD contract surveys to assist those States with seriously overdue survey intervals. DCCP will coordinate with ROs and SAs to select these surveys.
- Non-Deemed ASC 25% surveys

C. Reminders

- The Quality, Safety, Education Division has converted majority of all training online.
 Training for both providers and suppliers can be accessed at https://surveyortraining.cms.hhs.gov/.
- The Quality, Safety & Oversight Group has converted to public notices for terminations to be online on CMS.gov. Termination Notices can be viewed at:

 https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-Certification/SurveyCertificationGenInfo/Termination-Notices.html.
- Provider and Supplier Specific Information can also be found under the left side links at https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-CertificationandComplianc/index.html.

D. Quality, Safety, Education Division Information

For information related to the QSOG Training opportunities, please refer to the download available which provides all training information.

E. Budget Formulation Guidelines

For information on continued budget and expenditure reporting timing and requirements, CMS Budget Analysis and Adjustment and more, please refer to the Budget Download which will provide additional guidance.

PROVIDER/SUPPLIER SPECIFIC OVERSIGHT

For additional information on past activities or history, please see the "downloads section" which provides an attachment for each provider/supplier type.

• **Deeming Options**

Initial certifications of all provider/supplier types that have the option to achieve deemed Medicare status by demonstrating compliance with Medicare health and safety standards through a survey conducted by a CMS-approved AO is a Tier 4 priority, with the exception of End Stage Renal Dialysis Facilities that have a statutory requirement to complete initial surveys within 90 days. In light of the Federal Medicare resource constraints, we consider the cost of initial surveys to be the lowest priority for the Medicare program for those provider and supplier types that have a deemed status accreditation option in those States unable to complete the higher-priority Tier 1-3 work. These include:

- Ambulatory Surgical Centers
- Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) (including swing bed services)
- Home Health Agencies
- Hospices
- Hospitals (including swing bed services)
- Rehabilitation Agencies (OPT and SLP)
- Rural Health Clinics
- Psychiatric Hospitals

<u>All Others</u>: All other newly-applying providers/suppliers not listed in Tier 3 are Tier 4 priorities, unless approved on an exception basis by the CMS RO due to serious healthcare access considerations or similar special circumstances (see "Priority Exception Requests" in part E below). The affected Medicare providers/suppliers include:

- Comprehensive Outpatient Rehabilitation Facilities
- Hospital-based Distinct Part Skilled Nursing Facilities
- Nursing Homes that do not participate in Medicaid
- Portable X-Ray Suppliers

• Ambulatory Surgical Centers (ASCs)

States must continue to use the Infection Control Surveyor Worksheet for each full survey of an ASC to ensure that all areas listed on the worksheet are assessed. However, for FY 2019, CMS will NOT be selecting a random sample of ASCs or collecting infection control worksheets.

• <u>Providers of Outpatient Physical Therapy and Speech-Language Pathology</u> Services

Many rehabilitation agencies provide services from extension sites (an additional practice location or sometimes rented space in nursing homes and assisted living facilities) in addition to

their primary site of certification. SAs should ensure extension locations are incorporated into the survey process by selecting a sample of extension locations to survey in addition to the primary site.

• Comprehensive Outpatient Rehabilitation Facilities (CORFs)

Existing CORFs may request to open off-site locations for the provision of therapy services. The RO must evaluate the information provided by the CORFs to determine whether or not to recommend approval for those offsite locations. The RO retains discretion on whether to request that a survey be conducted of a requested additional practice location. Currently CMS does not track offsite locations separately. SAs should include off-site locations in the survey process whenever possible. SAs must ensure that offsite locations are meeting the intent of the CoPs.

• Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs)

CMS enters into agreements with CMHCs pursuant to the provision of Partial Hospitalization Services. CMHCs must provide at least 40% of their services to non-Medicare patients. This requirement is monitored by the Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC).

• Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs)

A conversion survey is required for each new CAH. Prospective CAHs must first be certified and enrolled as a hospital, and only thereafter, may seek conversion to CAH status. Requests from a non-deemed hospital to be certified as a CAH are, therefore, not treated as initial surveys but as conversions, and may be surveyed as a Tier 2, 3, or 4, priority at State option. Similarly, conversion back from CAH status to non-deemed acute care hospital status is treated as a conversion rather than an initial survey, and may be treated as a Tier 2, 3 or 4 priority, at State option.

- Accrediting Organizations (AOs) with a CMS-approved CAH program are able to conduct a CAH conversion survey. There are three AOs with approved CAH accreditation programs: AOA/HFAP, DNV GL, and TJC.
- In order to routinely re-evaluate the compliance of currently certified CAHs with the status and location requirements at 42 CFR 485.610, CMS developed a *CAH Recertification Checklist: Rural and Distance or Necessary Provider Verification* for use by the CMS RO staff when processing CAH re-certifications. See S&C: 16-08-CAH (REVISED 09.02.16) for additional details and a copy of the checklist.
- Swing-bed services will be covered under the Hospitals section. See QSO Memo 18-26-Hospital-CAH for additional swing bed guidance.

• <u>Dialysis (ESRD) Facilities</u>

States are responsible for being informed about the ESRD programs by using the following:

• CMS S&C data web site for ESRD data reports: the State is responsible for assigning a Master Account Holder, and reviewing the State-specific data which is available on the CMS ESRD data Web site at https://www.dialysisdata.org. States are responsible for using these data reports to inform the survey process. Each State is expected to use the

State rank-ordered Outcomes List with frequency rates; the facility-specific Dialysis Facility Reports (DFR); and the facility-specific pre-populated Pre-Survey DFR Extract for these purposes.

- Previously, the Mission and Priority Document instructed States to survey, as a Tier 2 priority, a 10% targeted sample of ESRD facilities that were identified to be most at risk for non-compliance with the Conditions of Participation. For FY 2019, in order to reduce Tier 2 workload and increase the focus on ESRD facilities identified to have poor clinical outcomes, CMS will develop the ESRD Tier 2 Outcomes List focusing on the top 5% of ESRD facilities with poor clinical outcomes across four defined clinical measures. These measures were chosen based upon their potential to significantly impact patient outcomes and include:
 - Mortality
 - Hospitalizations
 - Hospitalizations related to septicemia
 - Catheter use greater than 90 days

Due to the new methodology for development of the Outcomes list, the Tier 2 workload is expected to be reduced nationally by an estimated 350 surveys in FY 2019. While this reduction varies by State, the vast majority of States will see reductions in their Tier 2 workload with a few states seeing only a slight increase in Tier 2 workload from previous years. States are expected to survey *all* identified facilities in their State on the Outcomes List. The annual process for releasing and reviewing the Outcomes List will remain the same.

- The ESRD Core Survey Process has been revised to require that surveyors conduct visits to a minimum of two nursing homes where dialysis patients may be receiving their treatments as home dialysis. This additional task will increase on-site survey time.
- The Bi-Partisan Budget Act of 2018 included a requirement for timing of surveys of new dialysis facilities. An initial survey of a dialysis facility must be initiated not more than 90 days after the applicant's application to the MAC has been determined to be complete by the MAC and the applicant's enrollment status indicates approval is pending a survey.

• Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs)

Certification and recertification surveys are not required for FQHCs. However, CMS investigates complaints that make credible allegations of substantial violations of CMS regulatory standards for FQHCs as a Tier 2 priority. States will use most of the same health and safety standards as they do for RHCs when investigating FQHC complaints.

• Home Health Agencies (HHAs)

CMS will implement a new survey process for HHAs in FY 2019 and will simplify procedures for moving from standard to partially-extended to extended surveys. Additionally:

• QSOG will continue to fund one OASIS Education Coordinator and one OASIS Automation Coordinator per State Agency. The OECs will provide technical assistance to the HHA providers in the administration of the OASIS data set. The OACs will

provide technical assistance to the HHA providers on the transmission of OASIS data. The Division of Chronic and Post-Acute Care (DCPAC) has assumed responsibility for the technical support to OECs. The Division of Quality Systems for Assessments and Surveys (DQSAS) will provide technical support to the OACs.

Hospice Agencies

Under the IMPACT Act of 2014, each Medicare certified hospice must be surveyed no less frequently than every 36 months. This affects non-deemed facilities which are surveyed by State Agencies, since those that are deemed were already surveyed by their respective accrediting agency on a 3-year basis. Funding provided through the IMPACT Act as well as the QSOG S&C Medicare program management budget will assist States to comply with this requirement.

• Hospitals and Psychiatric Hospitals

The below information highlights both hospital and psychiatric hospitals.

Hospitals

Swing-bed requirements will continue to be surveyed as part of a scheduled hospital or CAH survey, and do not need to be targeted for a separate, stand-alone survey, unless:

- There is a swing-bed requirement complaint in a hospital or CAH;
- A non-deemed hospital or CAH is applying for an initial swing-bed approval, in which case, the survey is conducted by the SA; or
- A deemed hospital or CAH is applying for an initial swing bed approval, in which case, the survey is conducted by the AO.

Note - For non-deemed hospitals or CAHs that wish to add swing-beds as a new service, see the "FY19 Survey Frequency and Priority" Tier Status for scheduling those surveys. For swing-bed recertifications, States must include swing-bed recertification during hospital and CAH recertification surveys.

Appendix A (Hospital guidance) and Appendix W (CAH guidance) swing-bed sections have been updated to align with the LTC rules and refer to Appendix PP (LTC guidance). The guidance for Swing-Beds found in Appendix T has been retired. Appendix A and Appendix W will be utilized for surveying hospitals and CAHs, respectively, that have swing-beds. See QSO Memo 18-26-Hospital-CAH for additional details.

Psychiatric Hospitals

• The majority of Medicare-certified psychiatric hospitals participate via deemed status, based on their accreditation by the Joint Commission (TJC). However, a small number of psychiatric hospitals have grandfathered partially deemed status, i.e., they are deemed for the regular hospital CoPs only by the American Osteopathic Association/Healthcare Facilities Accreditation Program (AOA/HFAP) or DNV GL Healthcare (DNV GL), leaving States or CMS contractors responsible for surveying them for the two special conditions. This practice stems from a time when no AO had an approved psychiatric

hospital Medicare deeming program. Although CMS no longer permits AOA/HFAP or DNV GL to partially deem any new psychiatric hospital clients, we have grandfathered their existing psychiatric hospital clients. There are less than ten hospitals that remain partially deemed and partially under State jurisdiction.

- CMS granted approval to the Joint Commission (TJC) for a Medicare psychiatric hospital deeming program, including for the two special conditions for psychiatric hospitals under 1861(f) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR, Section 482.61 and 482.62. (Psychiatric hospitals enrolled in Medicare as of FY 2011 and which were deemed by another AO may continue to be deemed by that AO for the Conditions of Participation specified in Section 482.1 through 482.23 and Section 482.25 through 482.57 only, since no other AO has yet been approved for the two special conditions. There are less than ten grandfathered, partially deemed psychiatric hospitals. However, any initial psychiatric hospital applicant, including previously terminated psychiatric hospitals, will not be afforded the opportunity to be deemed for the Conditions of participation specified in section 482.1 through 482.23 and section 482.25 through 482.57 only.)
- At this time, CMS will continue to maintain (under contract) a panel of psychiatric consultant surveyors to conduct representative sample validation surveys of the two special conditions. The SA is responsible for the representative sample validation survey of the regular hospital CoPs. Supplemental funding will be made available to the States for any psychiatric hospital validation surveys they are assigned. In the case of a sample validation survey involving a CMS contract surveyor, States will be required to coordinate with the contractor on the timing of the surveys to assure that both components of the survey take place within 60 days of the completion of the AO survey.

• <u>Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities</u> (ICF/IID)

- CMS implemented a focused survey process in FY 2018. The revised process is designed
 to reduce surveyor time in paperwork review and increase surveyor observations and
 interactions with the residents and staff.
- CMS completed pilot a surveyor competency test involving surveyors from ten State Survey Agencies to evaluate the knowledge and skills of ICF/IID surveyors. CMS plans to administer the competency test to surveyors nationally to all ICF/IID surveyors in FY2019.

• Long Term Care

Standard Health Survey Process:

- All states have converted to the new long-term care survey process to assess compliance
 with the Requirements for Participation. CMS will continue to make software updates
 and will update the LTCSP Procedures Guide and Training documents accordingly.
- Surveyors will use the new F-Tags to cite noncompliance.

- Resources for all of these changes can be found on the Integrated Surveyor Training
 Website at https://surveyortraining.cms.hhs.gov and at:
 https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Provider-Enrollment-and-Certification/GuidanceforLawsAndRegulations/Nursing-Homes.html.
- **Appendix P:** In FY2018, CMS removed Appendix P and incorporated key policy components into Chapter 7 of the State Operations Manual. The Long-Term Care Survey Procedure Guide will be the reference guide for the survey process and will be available on our website and in the software program.

Other Areas of Importance:

- National Partnership to Improve Dementia Care: CMS has established a public goal of reducing the antipsychotic rate by 15% among those facilities that continue to have high rates of antipsychotic usage by the end of 2019.
- Focused Dementia Care Surveys: FY2019, CMS plans to have federal contract surveyors conduct additional focused dementia care surveys in some states. Due to concerns about facilities using an inappropriate process to diagnose residents with schizophrenia, we also expect to conduct a limited number of surveys focused on this issue. We welcome States that may want surveyors to observe either of these surveys.

• Organ Procurement Organizations (OPOs)

All OPO surveys are conducted by CMS Regional Office Surveyors.

• Portable X-Ray Suppliers

CMS plans to release new interpretive guidance for PXR in FY2019.

• Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities (PRTFs)

PRTFs must be in compliance with the requirements at 42 CFR 441.150-184 and the requirements at 42 CFR 483 Subpart G.

• Religious Nonmedical Health Care Institutions (RNHCIs)

No new changes or efforts in FY18 to FY19. If changes arise, CMS will update this section as appropriate.

• Rural Health Clinics (RHCs)

States will perform surveys on a 5% targeted sample of RHCs, or at least 1, whichever is greater. States will select the sample, focusing on RHCs that have not been surveyed in more than 6 years and/or RHCs that represent a greater risk of having quality problems, based on their recent compliance history or other factors known to the State. States should use their individual history of growth, in addition to any State and local events/initiatives, as a guide to project workloads. This Tier 2 sample is not required for any State that has fewer than 7 RHCs. Since FY2015, RHC initial surveys are a Tier 4 priority since these facilities now have two deeming options. In future years we will, as funding permits, require validation surveys for a representative sample of deemed RHCs.

• Transplant Centers

- The transplant program recertification survey interval has been changed to 5 years maximum to be consistent with the hospital survey interval.
- CMS Central Office will maintain the responsibility for the review of transplant program outcome data and the review of Mitigating Factors applications.
- Effective January 1, 2019 all transplant program survey activity including initials, reapprovals, revisits, and complaint investigations will be performed by the applicable State Survey Agency.

MAJOR PRIORITIES FOR S&C WORKLOAD AND PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

Survey activities must be scheduled and conducted in accordance with the S&C priority ranking provided in this document. The four priority Tiers reflect statutory mandates and program emphases. Planning for lower-tiered items presumes that the State will accomplish higher-tiered workloads. For example, States must assure that Tiers 1 and 2 will be completed as a prerequisite to planning for subsequent Tiers. It is not necessary to complete Tier 1 or Tier 2 work before beginning Tier 3 if the multi-tier work has been included in the State's submission, has been approved by CMS and the higher Tier work will be completed by the end of the FY. We also refer States to SC-13-60-ALL for guidance on the scheduling of initial certification surveys for new owners of previously certified providers and suppliers when those new owners have rejected assignment of the seller's Medicare provider agreement or supplier approval. States must not make the scheduling and conduct of such surveys a higher priority than their Tier 1 and 2 workload, nor of their other initial certification survey workload.

In addition to prioritizing work <u>between</u> Tiers 1-4, we suggest States prioritize their work <u>within</u> Tiers and to consult with the ROs in the prioritization process. States must track their workload quarterly by Tier and report the results to the RO 45 days after the close of the quarter and also report for the full fiscal year 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. As part of their oversight and trouble-shooting responsibilities, ROs will monitor and work with States on the performance of the Tiered workload.

We also note that timely, successful uploading to the national system of completed survey kits in ASPEN and ACTS is an essential component of the States' workload in each Tier. States must implement measures to assure that these uploads are completed.

TIER STATUS FOR FY19

Appendix 1 - Table of Survey Frequencies & Priorities – Tier Chart

2019 SURVEY FREQUENCY & PRIORITY									
Category	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4					
1. Nursing Homes	• 15.9-Mo. Max. Interval: No more than 15.9			Initial Surveys of Nursing Homes					
	months elapses between completed surveys for			that are seeking Medicaid-only –					
	any particular nursing home.			funded only by Medicaid (not					
	• 12.9-Mo. Avg: All nursing homes in the State			Medicare) and surveyed at state					
	are surveyed, on average, once per year. The			priority					
	Statewide average interval between consecutive								
	standard surveys must be 12.9 months or less.			Initial Surveys of Nursing Homes					
				seeking dual Medicare/Medicaid					
				certification*					
2. Home Health	• 36.9-Mo. Max. <u>Interval</u> : No more than 36.9	Substantial Allegation		• 24.9 Mo. Avg: Add'l surveys (beyond					
Agencies	months elapses between completed surveys for	(Complaint)		tiers 1-3) done based on State judgment					
	any particular agency.	Investigations		regarding HHAs most at risk of					
	• Complaint investigations triaged as IJ			providing poor care so all HHAs are					
	Validation Surveys: States annually survey a			surveyed on avg. every 24 mos.					
	representative sample of deemed HHAs			(average of all Tier IV surveys ≤ 24.9					
	specified by CMS during the year. At least 1			mos. in order to optimize					
	deemed HHA is surveyed, unless the State has			unpredictability of surveys)					
	no deemed HHAs, or unless CMS makes no			• Surveys of HHAs de-activated (by the MAC) –for failure to bill Medicare for					
	assignment, based on AO survey schedules. An			,					
	extended survey is required for any validation survey which finds that one or more condition-			12 consecutive months. Initial surveys of HHA's following a CHOW where the					
	level deficiencies. (Each State surveys 1 HHA			provider agreement and billing					
	within its standard budget allocation; additional			privileges do not convey to the new					
	surveys are budgeted for some States via			owner.					
	supplemental allocation.)			Owner.					
	Substantial Allegation Validation								
	(Complaint) Surveys - IJs: Only when								
	authorized by the RO, complaint surveys are to								
	be initiated and completed within the applicable								
	SOM timeframe and are Tier 1 priority.								
3. ICFs/IID	• 15.9 Mo. Max. Interval: No more than 15.9			Initial Surveys					
	months elapses between completed surveys			•					
	for any particular ICF/IID. 12.9-Mo. Avg:								
	All ICF-IIDs in the State are surveyed, on								
	average, once per year. The Statewide average								
	interval between consecutive standard surveys								
	must be 12.9 months or less.								
	Complaint surveys triaged as IJ.								

			 ,
4. Hospitals,	• First (1%) Representative Sample Hospital	• Substantial Allegation	
Psychiatric	Validation Surveys: All States perform at least	Validation	
Hospitals, & CAHs-	one survey and selected States perform	(Complaint)	
Deemed	additional surveys of the States' deemed	Investigations that are	
	hospitals, designed to validate the surveys of	prioritized as non-IJ	
	AOs with CMS identifying the hospitals to be	high must be initiated	
	surveyed by each State. ("first" 1% sample	within 45 days of RO	
	funded via the State's regular budget.)(See	authorization	
	Appendix 3)		
	• Targeted Second (Add'l) Representative		
	Sample Validation Surveys: Some States		
	conduct add'l surveys from a second sample of		
	deemed hospitals identified by CMS (Second		
	sample % budgeted separately and allocated as		
	supplemental funding during the year).(See Appendix 3)		
	• 5% CAH Representative Sample Validation		
	Surveys: States annually survey a representative		
	sample of deemed CAHs specified by CMS		
	during the year (of the total deemed CAHs, 5%		
	of those deemed CAHs have a validation survey		
	conducted by accrediting orgs, or at least 1		
	survey in each state - whichever is greater). At		
	least 1 deemed CAH is surveyed in each State,		
	unless the State has no deemed CAHs, or unless		
	CMS makes no assignment, based on AO		
	survey schedules. (Entirely funded out of each		
	State's regular budget)(See Appendix 3)		
	• Substantial Allegation Validation (Complaint)		
	Surveys: Only when authorized by the RO. IJ		
	complaints, including restraint/seclusion death		
	incidents are to be initiated or completed within		
	the applicable SOM timeframe and are Tier 1		
	priority.		
	• EMTALA Complaint Surveys: Only when		
	authorized by the RO. All EMTALA		
	complaints surveys authorized are prioritized as		
	IJs and are to be completed within the		
	applicable SOM timeframe and are a Tier 1		
	priority.		
	• Full Surveys Pursuant to Complaints: Full		
	surveys may be required by the RO after each		
	complaint investigation that finds condition		
	level non-compliance for deemed hospitals and CAHs. These are a Tier 1 priority.		
	CARS. These are a Her I priority.		

	• Psychiatric Hospital Representative Sample Validation Surveys: Surveys are conducted in a sample of deemed psychiatric hospitals, specified by CMS during the year, depending on the AO's survey schedules for the FY. If States are not equipped to evaluate compliance with the special conditions, CMS' contractor will perform that component of the validation survey. (Budgeted separately and allocated as supplemental funding during the year.)			
5. Hospitals, Psychiatric Hospitals-& CAHs Non-Deemed (1)	Complaint surveys: Complaint allegations prioritized as IJs and RO-authorized EMTALA and restraint/seclusion death incident surveys, initiated or completed within the applicable SOM timeframes.	• 5-Year Max. Interval: No more than 5.0 years elapses between surveys for any particular non-deemed hospital, psychiatric hospital or CAH. • 5% Targeted Sample: States survey at least 1, but not less than 5% of the non-deemed hospitals, 5% of the non-deemed psychiatric hospitals and 5% of non-deemed CAHs in the State, selected by the State based on State judgment regarding those most at risk of providing poor care. Some targeted surveys may qualify to count toward the Tier 3 and 4 priorities. Targeted sample requirements do not apply to States with fewer than 7 non-deemed hospitals, psychiatric hospitals or CAHs.	Recerts: 4.0-Year Max. Interval: No more than 4.0 years elapses between surveys for any particular non-deemed hospital or CAH. Recerts of Psych Hospitals: 3.0 yr average recertification surveys of non-accredited/non deemed psychiatric hospitals only. New IPPS Exclusions: All new rehabilitation hospitals/ units & new psychiatric units seeking exclusion from IPPS (2), as well as existing providers newly seeking such exclusion. The SA does not need to conduct an on-site survey for verification of the exclusion requirements but instead may process	3.0-Year Avg.: Add'l surveys are done (beyond Tiers 2-+3), based on State judgment regarding the non-deemed hospitals and CAHs that are most at risk of providing poor care, such that all non-deemed hospitals/CAHs in the State are surveyed, on avg, every 3.0 years (i.e., total surveys divided by total non-deemed hospitals/CAHs is not more than 3.0 years; separate calculation for hospitals and CAHs). Targeted surveys may count toward the 3.0 yr avg.

¹ Includes critical access hospitals, rehabilitation hospitals, and psychiatric hospitals. IPPS refers to the Inpatient Prospective Payment System. 2 Onsite verifications are to be completed no later than 90 days prior to the beginning of the hospital's cost reporting period.

6. ESRD			an attestation of compliance by the hospital. • IPPS Exclusion Verification (Existing excluded hospitals/units): 5% (but at least 2 per State) of providers already IPPS-excluded. These are rehabilitation hospitals, rehabilitation units and psychiatric units that have attested to continued compliance with the IPPS exclusion requirements (3). These surveys verify that the hospital unit continues to meet IPPS exclusion criteria.	
U. ESRD	 Investigation of complaint allegations triaged as IJ Initial surveys within 90 days of the MAC approval of the CMS-855. 	 Complaint Investigations: non-IJ high Outcomes List: 100% of the ESRD facilities in the State on the Outcome List 	3.5-Year Max Interval (42.9 months): Additional surveys are done to ensure that no more than 3.5 years elapses between surveys for any one particular ESRD facility • Complaint Investigations: non-IJ medium.	3.0-Year Avg: Additional surveys are done (beyond Tiers 2-3) sufficient to ensure that ESRD facilities are surveyed with an average frequency of 3.0 years or less
7. Hospices	36-Month Max. Interval: No more than 36 months between completed surveys for any particular agency. Use the separately-tracked IMPACT hospice funds first.	Complaint investigations: High		Initial Surveys

³ Onsite verifications are to be completed no later than 90 days prior to the beginning of the hospital's cost reporting period.

	Representative Sample validation surveys of deemed hospices: States conduct validation surveys of deemed hospices, specified by CMS, during the year, depending on the AOs' survey schedules for FY 2019(Budgeted)			
	 separately via supplemental allocation). Complaint investigations prioritized as immediate jeopardy – deemed hospices: only with RO authorization; survey to be initiated within 2 days of RO authorization. 			
8. Comprehensive Outpatient Rehabilitation Facilities	Complaint investigations prioritized as immediate jeopardy:	• 5% Targeted Surveys: Each year, the State surveys 5% of the providers in the State (or at least 1, whichever is greater), based on State judgment for those providers more at risk of quality problems. Some of the targeted surveys may qualify to count toward the Tier 3 and 4 priorities. States with fewer than 7 providers of this type are exempt from this requirement.	• 7.0-Year Interval: Additional surveys are done to ensure that no more than 7.0 years elapse between surveys for any one particular provider.	6.0-Year Avg: Add'l surveys are done (beyond Tiers 2-3) such that all non-deemed providers in the State are surveyed, on average, every 6 years. (i.e., total surveys divided by total providers is not less than 16.7% = 6.0 years).
9. Rural Health Clinics and Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs)	 Complaint investigations prioritized as immediate jeopardy – Deemed RHCs: only with RO authorization; survey to be initiated within 2 days of RO authorization. Complaint investigations prioritized as immediate jeopardy FQHCs: only with RO authorization; survey to be initiated within 2 days of RO authorization. 	• 5% Targeted Surveys: Each year, the State surveys 5% of non-deemed RHCs (or at least 1, whichever is greater), based on State judgment for those RHCs most at risk of quality problems Some of the targeted surveys may qualify to count toward the Tier 3 and 4 priorities. States with fewer than 7 RHCs of this type are exempt	7.0-Year Interval: Additional surveys are done to ensure that no more than 7.0 years elapse between surveys for any one particular RHC.	 6.0-Year Avg: Add'l surveys are done (beyond tiers 2-3) such that all non-deemed RHCs in the State are surveyed, on average, every 6 years. (i.e., total surveys divided by total RHCs is not less than 16.7% = 6.0 years). Initial Survey- there is a deemed status option for RHCs. There is no certification or recertification for FQHCs.

		from this requirement. Complaint investigations prioritized as non- IJ high: to be initiated within 45 days (for deemed RHCs, or FQHCs within 45 days of RO authorization).		
10. Ambulatory Surgery Centers	Representative Sample Validation Surveys - Deemed ASCs: States conduct validation surveys of 5% - 10% of deemed ASCs, assigned by CMS based on AO survey schedules. (Budgeted separately via supplemental allocation) Complaint investigations prioritized as immediate jeopardy – deemed ASCs: only with RO authorization; survey to be initiated within 2 days of RO authorization.	• Targeted Surveys (25%): The State performs surveys totaling 25% of all non-deemed ASCs in the State (or at least 1, whichever is greater) focusing on ASCs not surveyed in more than 4 years or based on State judgment for those ASCs more at risk of quality problems. Some of the targeted surveys may qualify to count toward the Tier 3 priority. States with only 7 or fewer non-deemed ASCs must survey at least 1 ASC unless all non-deemed ASCs were surveyed within the prior two years. Included within the targeted surveys is a random sample selected by CMS of roughly 10% of the non- deemed ASCs in the State • Complaint investigations	• 6.0-Year Interval: Additional surveys are done to ensure that no more than 6.0 years elapse between surveys for any one particular non-deemed ASC.	Initial Surveys

		prioritized as non- IJ high: to be initiated within 45 days (for deemed ASCs, within 45 days of RO authorization).		
11. Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facilities (Medicaid Psych < 21)	• Complaint investigations triaged as IJ.	• Complaint investigations triaged as non-IJ 5.0-Year Interval: Survey visits (Validation and Complaint) of 20% of PRTFs).		Initial Surveys
12. Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs)	Complaint investigations triaged as IJ.	• 5% Targeted Surveys: Each year, the State surveys 5% of the providers in the State (or at least 1, whichever is greater), based on CMS judgment for those providers more at risk of quality problems. Some of the targeted surveys may qualify to count toward the Tier 3 priorities. Targeted sample requirements do not apply to States wit.	• 5.0-Year Interval: Survey visits (Validation and Complaint) of 20% of CMHCs).	Initial certification of CMHCs unless there is verification of access concerns.
13. Portable X-Ray Suppliers	Complaint investigations triaged as IJ	• 5% Targeted Surveys: Each year, the State surveys 5% of the providers in the State (or at least 1, whichever is greater), based on State judgment for those providers more at risk of quality problems. Some of the targeted surveys may qualify to count toward the Tier 3	7.0-Year Interval: Additional surveys are done to ensure that no more than 7.0 years elapse between surveys for any one particular provider.	6.0-Year Avg: Add'l surveys are done (beyond Tiers 2-3) such that all non-deemed providers in the State are surveyed, on average, every 6 years

14. Transplant	Complaint – IJ: Investigation of complaint allegations triaged as IJ.	and 4 priorities. States with fewer than 7 providers of this type are exempt from this requirement. • Mandatory Reapproval Surveys: For transplant programs that do not meet the data submission, clinical experience or outcomes requirements.	Initials: Any initial surveys of programs	
15. New Provider-Initial Surveys	Initial certification of the following: ESRD Facilities	Relocations of the parent or main location of existing non-deemed providers or suppliers. Relocations of any provider displaced during a public health emergency declared by HHS.	Initial certification of the following: • Transplant centers • SNF/NFs • Relocations of nondeemed branches or off-site locations. • Note: Conversion of a non-deemed hospital to a CAH, or a nondeemed CAH back to a hospital is a conversion, not an initial certification and at State option may be done as Tier 2, 3, or 4. However, the conversion of a deemed hospital or CAH or the addition of swing beds as a new service in an existing deemed or non-deemed hospital or CAH is a Tier 4 priority.	 Initial certifications of all provider types that have a deemed accreditation option (with the exception of ESRD): hospitals, home health, new home health branches, hospice, expansion of inpatient hospice for a currently certified hospice, ambulatory surgical centers, outpatient physical therapy, and rural health clinics. (While CAHs may also be deemed, these are conversions, not initial certifications; however deemed CAHs are expected to be surveyed by their AOs for their conversion surveys.) The addition of home health branches are administrative actions thus not a deeming option. (AOs deem compliance with CoPs, not administrative actions.) Though surveys may not be involved, these actions should remain in the Tier structure as they are often resource intensive. The addition of hospice multiple locations may warrant a survey. These surveys should be scheduled consistent with the Tier structure as they are often resource intensive. All other newly-applying providers not listed in Tier 3 are Tier 4, unless approved on an exception basis by the CMS RO, due to serious healthcare access considerations or similar special circumstances.

				• Relocations of deemed providers or suppliers
16. Complaint Investigations	 Complaint Investigations triaged as a high potential for immediate jeopardy or, in the case of hospitals, psychiatric hospitals or CAH DPUs, where the RO authorizes investigation of a hospital or CAH DPU restraint/seclusion death incident or an EMTALA complaint. For all deemed non-LTC provider/supplier types for which one or more condition-level deficiencies is determined to be out of compliance pursuant to a complaint investigation, the RO: May require a full survey before proceeding to enforcement. 	Complaint Investigations triaged non-IJ high.	Complaint investigations of non-deemed non-LTC facilities triaged as non-IJ medium are investigated when the next on-site survey occurs. Complaint investigations of LTC facilities triaged as medium	Complaint investigations of LTC facilities triaged as low Complaints of non-deemed non-LTC facilities triaged as non-IJ low are not separately investigated but tracked/trended for potential focus areas during the next on-site survey.
17. Core Infrastructure	Timely ASPEN data entry of survey workload Attendance at mandatory federal surveyor			
	 training MDS, OASIS, QIES and IRF-PAI systems activities Maintenance of the nurse aide registry and assessments of nurse aide training and competency evaluation programs Review of the nurse aide registry to assure that it is being operated in compliance with the requirements. Maintenance of a home health hotline Performance Measurement Activities Implement & promote fulfillment of CMS GPRA goals and Quality Initiative, including collaboration with QIOs on the GPRA goals (pressure ulcer reduction, restraint use reduction). Training of survey & certification staff, including transcript & qualifications maintenance. (See separate Training Mission Letter) Emergency preparedness essential functions (see download) 			

<u>Statistical Convention:</u> Whenever standards are expressed in months, 0.9 of the succeeding month is included in order to permit completion of any survey in progress. Hence a 12 month average is tracked as 12.9 months. Similarly, a 3.0 year interval is tracked as 36.9 months and a 6.0 year interval is tracked at 72.9 months.

	Appendix 2 - FY 2019 Projected State Allocations													
	Α		B1	B1a	B2	В3	B4	B5		C1	C2	С3	D1	D2
State	FY18 Base Budget		Est. Base inc over FY18 Budget	% inc. vs FY18	FY19 Hospice Budget	Non- Bench- marked \$	Bench- marked \$	Sub-Total FY19 not incl. Hospice		Special Project s/ One- Time awards	Non Delivery Deductions	Estimated Supple- mental Validation Funding *	Est. FY19 State Alloc. Incl Supps. and Addtl. One- Time Awards	FY18 Hospice Alloc.)
СТ	\$6,436,167		\$32,181	0.5%	By Request	\$6,468,348	\$0	\$6,468,348				\$0	\$6,468,348	By Request
ME	\$2,485,728		\$12,429	0.5%	By Request	\$2,498,156	\$0	\$2,498,156				\$0	\$2,498,156	By Request
MA	\$8,895,033		\$44,475	0.5%	By Request	\$8,939,508	\$0	\$8,939,508				\$97,800	\$9,037,308	By Request
NH	\$1,422,768		\$7,114	0.5%	By Request	\$1,429,882	\$0	\$1,429,882				\$0	\$1,429,882	By Request
RI	\$1,774,961		\$8,875	0.5%	By Request	\$1,783,836	\$0	\$1,783,836				\$0	\$1,783,836	By Request
VT	\$1,516,394		\$7,582	0.5%	By Request	\$1,523,976	\$0	\$1,523,976				\$0	\$1,523,976	By Request
NJ	\$8,386,098		\$41,930	0.5%	By Request	\$8,428,028	\$0	\$8,428,028				\$136,100	\$8,564,128	By Request
NY	\$16,798,93		\$83,995	0.5%	By Request	\$16,882,92	\$0	\$16,882,92				\$154,800	\$17,037,728	By Request
PR	\$474,117		\$2,371	0.5%	By Request	\$476,488	\$0	\$476,488				\$0	\$476,488	By Request
DE	\$1,162,372		\$5,812	0.5%	By Request	\$1,168,184	\$0	\$1,168,184				\$10,200	\$1,178,384	By Request
DC	\$1,201,457		\$6,007	0.5%	By Request	\$1,207,464	\$0	\$1,207,464				\$0	\$1,207,464	By Request
MD	\$3,976,762		\$19,884	0.5%	By Request	\$3,996,646	\$0	\$3,996,646				\$61,800	\$4,058,446	By Request
PA	\$10,548,59		\$52,743	0.5%	By Request	\$10,601,33	\$0	\$10,601,33				\$102,800	\$10,704,138	By Request
VA	\$5,178,882		\$25,894	0.5%	By Request	\$5,204,776	\$0	\$5,204,776				\$68,900	\$5,273,676	By Request
wv	\$2,601,320		\$13,007	0.5%	By Request	\$2,614,326	\$0	\$2,614,326				\$0	\$2,614,326	By Request
AL	\$5,199,893		\$25,999	0.5%	By Request	\$5,225,893	\$0	\$5,225,893				\$20,000	\$5,245,893	By Request
FL	\$12,677,94		\$63,390	0.5%	By Request	\$12,741,33	\$0	\$12,741,33				\$219,800	\$12,961,133	By Request
GA	\$5,959,329		\$29,797	0.5%	By Request	\$5,989,126	\$0	\$5,989,126				\$136,100	\$6,125,226	By Request
KY	\$5,172,563		\$25,863	0.5%	By Request	\$5,198,426	\$0	\$5,198,426				\$0	\$5,198,426	By Request
MS	\$2,256,696		\$11,283	0.5%	By Request	\$2,267,980	\$0	\$2,267,980				\$53,900	\$2,321,880	By Request
NC	\$8,785,895		\$43,929	0.5%	By Request	\$8,829,824	\$0	\$8,829,824				\$61,400	\$8,891,224	By Request
sc	\$2,712,631		\$13,563	0.5%	By Request	\$2,726,194	\$0	\$2,726,194				\$12,500	\$2,738,694	By Request
TN	\$4,571,024		\$22,855	0.5%	By Request	\$4,593,879	\$0	\$4,593,879				\$43,700	\$4,637,579	By Request
IL	\$16,895,02		\$84,475	0.5%	By Request	\$16,979,50	\$0	\$16,979,50				\$128,000	\$17,107,503	By Request
IN	\$7,275,946		\$36,380	0.5%	By Request	\$7,312,326	\$0	\$7,312,326				\$144,200	\$7,456,526	By Request
MI	\$12,388,50		\$61,943	0.5%	By Request	\$12,450,44	\$0	\$12,450,44				\$157,800	\$12,608,245	By Request
MN	\$9,186,320		\$45,932	0.5%	By Request	\$9,232,252	\$0	\$9,232,252				\$20,400	\$9,252,652	By Request
ОН	\$16,195,66		\$80,978	0.5%	By Request	\$16,276,64	\$0	\$16,276,64				\$181,900	\$16,458,546	By Request
WI	\$6,918,154		\$34,591	0.5%	By Request	\$6,952,745	\$0	\$6,952,745				\$43,100	\$6,995,845	By Request

FY19 MPD Projected Allocations

	Α
State	FY18 Base Budget
СТ	\$6,436,167
ME	\$2,485,728
MA	\$8,895,033
NH	\$1,422,768
RI	\$1,774,961
VT	\$1,516,394
NJ	\$8,386,098
NY	\$16,798,933
PR	\$474,117
DE	\$1,162,372
DC	\$1,201,457
MD	\$3,976,762
PA	\$10,548,595
VA	\$5,178,882
wv	\$2,601,320
AL	\$5,199,893
FL	\$12,677,943
GA	\$5,959,329
KY	\$5,172,563
MS	\$2,256,696
NC	\$8,785,895
sc	\$2,712,631
TN	\$4,571,024
IL	\$16,895,028

B1	B1a	B2	В3	B4	B5
Base Projected inc over FY18 Budget	% inc. vs FY18	FY19 Hospice Budget	Non- Benchmarked \$	Benchmarked \$	Sub-Total FY19 not incl. Hospice
\$32,181	0.5%	Pending	\$6,468,348	\$0	\$6,468,348
\$12,429	0.5%	Pending	\$2,498,156	\$0	\$2,498,156
\$44,475	0.5%	Pending	\$8,939,508	\$0	\$8,939,508
\$7,114	0.5%	Pending	\$1,429,882	\$0	\$1,429,882
\$8,875	0.5%	Pending	\$1,783,836	\$0	\$1,783,836
\$7,582	0.5%	Pending	\$1,523,976	\$0	\$1,523,976
\$41,930	0.5%	Pending	\$8,428,028	\$0	\$8,428,028
\$83,995	0.5%	Pending	\$16,882,928	\$0	\$16,882,928
\$2,371	0.5%	Pending	\$476,488	\$0	\$476,488
\$5,812	0.5%	Pending	\$1,168,184	\$0	\$1,168,184
\$6,007	0.5%	Pending	\$1,207,464	\$0	\$1,207,464
\$19,884	0.5%	Pending	\$3,996,646	\$0	\$3,996,646
\$52,743	0.5%	Pending	\$10,601,338	\$0	\$10,601,338
\$25,894	0.5%	Pending	\$5,204,776	\$0	\$5,204,776
\$13,007	0.5%	Pending	\$2,614,326	\$0	\$2,614,326
\$25,999	0.5%	Pending	\$5,225,893	\$0	\$5,225,893
\$63,390	0.5%	Pending	\$12,741,333	\$0	\$12,741,333
\$29,797	0.5%	Pending	\$5,989,126	\$0	\$5,989,126
\$25,863	0.5%	Pending	\$5,198,426	\$0	\$5,198,426
\$11,283	0.5%	Pending	\$2,267,980	\$0	\$2,267,980
\$43,929	0.5%	Pending	\$8,829,824	\$0	\$8,829,824
\$13,563	0.5%	Pending	\$2,726,194	\$0	\$2,726,194
\$22,855	0.5%	Pending	\$4,593,879	\$0	\$4,593,879
\$84,475	0.5%	Pending	\$16,979,503	\$0	\$16,979,503

C1	C2	C3	C2				
Special Projects / One- Time awards	Non Delivery Deductions	Estimated Supplement al Validation Funding*	Additional Hospice Funds Requeste d				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$97,800	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$136,100	Pending				
		\$154,800	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$10,200	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$61,800	Pending				
		\$102,800	Pending				
		\$68,900	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$20,000	Pending				
		\$219,800	Pending				
		\$136,100	Pending				
		\$0	Pending				
		\$53,900	Pending				
		\$61,400	Pending				
		\$12,500	Pending				
		\$43,700	Pending				
		\$128,000	Pending				

1	
	D1
	FY19
	Projected State Alloc.
	Incl
	Supplements and Addtl.
	Funding
	Adjustments \$6,468,348
	\$2,498,156
	\$9,037,308
	\$1,429,882
	\$1,783,836
	\$1,523,976
	\$8,564,128
	\$17,037,728
	\$476,488
	\$1,178,384
	\$1,207,464
	\$4,058,446
	\$10,704,138
	\$5,273,676
	\$2,614,326
	\$5,245,893
	\$12,961,133
	\$6,125,226
	\$5,198,426
	\$2,321,880
	\$8,891,224
	\$2,738,694
	\$4,637,579
	\$17,107,503

IN	\$7.27E.046
	\$7,275,946
MI	\$12,388,502
MN	\$9,186,320
ОН	\$16,195,668
WI	\$6,918,154
AR	\$6,247,055
LA	\$7,035,000
NM	\$2,412,000
ок	\$7,035,000
TX	\$33,465,500
IA	\$5,615,326
KS(AG)	\$3,446,372
KS(H)	\$1,489,610
МО	\$11,653,829
NE	\$3,022,850
со	\$5,524,690
MT	\$1,809,611
ND	\$1,714,898
SD	\$1,512,678
UT	\$2,408,080
WY	\$1,228,485
AZ	\$3,628,023
CA	\$45,370,185
н	\$1,297,000
NV	\$1,785,827
AK	\$1,095,773
ID	\$1,755,985
OR (Health)	\$1,250,922
OR (HR)	\$3,268,603
WA(H)	\$2,280,997
WA(SS)	\$4,779,070
	\$351,188,54 7

\$36,380	0.5%	Pending	\$7,312,326	\$0	\$7,312,326
\$61,943	0.5%	Pending	\$12,450,445	\$0	\$12,450,445
\$45,932	0.5%	Pending	\$9,232,252	\$0	\$9,232,252
\$80,978	0.5%	Pending	\$16,276,646	\$0	\$16,276,646
\$34,591	0.5%	Pending	\$6,952,745	\$0	\$6,952,745
\$31,235	0.5%	Pending	\$6,278,290	\$0	\$6,278,290
\$35,175	0.5%	Pending	\$7,070,175	\$0	\$7,070,175
\$12,060	0.5%	Pending	\$2,424,060	\$0	\$2,424,060
\$35,175	0.5%	Pending	\$7,070,175	\$0	\$7,070,175
\$167,328	0.5%	Pending	\$33,632,828	\$0	\$33,632,828
\$28,077	0.5%	Pending	\$5,643,403	\$0	\$5,643,403
\$17,232	0.5%	Pending	\$3,463,604	\$0	\$3,463,604
\$7,448	0.5%	Pending	\$1,497,058	\$0	\$1,497,058
\$58,269	0.5%	Pending	\$11,712,098	\$0	\$11,712,098
\$15,114	0.5%	Pending	\$3,037,964	\$0	\$3,037,964
\$27,623	0.5%	Pending	\$5,552,313	\$0	\$5,552,313
\$9,048	0.5%	Pending	\$1,818,659	\$0	\$1,818,659
\$8,574	0.5%	Pending	\$1,723,472	\$0	\$1,723,472
\$7,563	0.5%	Pending	\$1,520,241	\$0	\$1,520,241
\$12,040	0.5%	Pending	\$2,420,120	\$0	\$2,420,120
\$6,142	0.5%	Pending	\$1,234,627	\$0	\$1,234,627
\$18,140	0.5%	Pending	\$3,646,163	\$0	\$3,646,163
\$226,851	0.5%	Pending	\$45,597,036	\$0	\$45,597,036
\$6,485	0.5%	Pending	\$1,303,485	\$0	\$1,303,485
\$8,929	0.5%	Pending	\$1,794,756	\$0	\$1,794,756
\$5,479	0.5%	Pending	\$1,101,252	\$0	\$1,101,252
\$8,780	0.5%	Pending	\$1,764,765	\$0	\$1,764,765
\$6,255	0.5%	\$0	\$1,257,177	\$0	\$1,257,177
\$16,343	0.5%	Pending	\$3,284,946	\$0	\$3,284,946
\$11,405	0.5%	Pending	\$2,292,402	\$0	\$2,292,402
\$23,895	0.5%	\$0	\$4,802,965	\$0	\$4,802,965
\$1,755,943	0.5%	\$0	\$352,944,490	\$0	\$352,944,49 0

		\$144,200	Pending
		\$157,800	Pending
		\$20,400	Pending
		\$181,900	Pending
		\$43,100	Pending
		\$31,200	Pending
		\$114,000	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$20,000	Pending
		\$374,600	Pending
		\$17,700	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$12,500	Pending
		\$102,800	Pending
		\$10,200	Pending
		\$47,900	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$12,500	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$133,000	Pending
		\$436,700	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$47,900	Pending
		\$0	Pending
		\$32,900	Pending
		\$20,400	\$0
		\$0	Pending
		\$30,600	Pending
		\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$3,300,100	\$0

\$7,456,526 \$12,608,245 \$9,252,652 \$16,458,546 \$6,995,845 \$6,309,490 \$7,184,175 \$2,424,060 \$7,090,175 \$34,007,428 \$5,661,103 \$3,463,604 \$1,509,558 \$11,814,898 \$3,048,164 \$5,600,213 \$1,818,659 \$1,723,472 \$1,520,241 \$2,432,620 \$1,234,627 \$3,779,163 \$46,033,736 \$1,303,485 \$1,842,656 \$1,101,252 \$1,797,665 \$1,277,577 \$3,284,946 \$2,323,002 \$4,802,965 \$356,244,590

	CAHS HOSPITALS					PS	ҮСН НОЅРІ	TALS	Н	IOME HEAL	TH AGEN	CIES		HOSPICE		AME	# Supp Wk-load Scs Est. Supp Wk-load Scs Supp Wk-load Supp		
State	Total Dee med # CAHs	Est. Sam ple (Base)	Tota I Dee med # Hos pital s	Est. Sam ple (Bas e)	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award for Hospitals	Total Deemed # Psych Hosp.	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total Deem ed # HHAs	Reg. Budget Wk- Ioad (Base)	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total Deemed # Hospices	Est. Supp Wk-load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total # Dee med ASCs	Supp Wk-	Est. Supp \$ Award
СТ	0	0	32	1	0	\$0	6	0	\$0	20	1	0	\$0	5	0	\$0	7	0	\$0
MA	3	0	72	2	1	\$31,200	13	1	\$31,200	181	1	2	\$15,000	21	0	\$0	23	2	\$20,400
ME	1	0	16	1	0	\$0	3	0	\$0	2	1	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	3	0	\$0
NH	0	0	14	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	4	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	12	0	\$0
RI	0	0	12	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	10	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0
VT	1	0	5	0	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	0	0	0	\$0	1	0	\$0			\$0
R1	5	0	151	6	1	\$31,200	26	1	\$31,200	217	5	2	\$15,000	30	0	\$0	47	2	\$20,400
NJ	0	0	81	1	1	\$31,200	10	1	\$31,200	16	1	0	\$0	37	1	\$12,500	103	6	\$61,200
NY	11	1	140	2	1	\$31,200	26	2	\$62,400	15	1	0	\$0	8	0	\$0	103	6	\$61,200
PR	0	0	27	1	0	\$0	5	0	\$0	13	1	0	\$0	9	0	\$0	3	0	\$0
VI	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	2	1	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	1	0	\$0
R2	11	1	248	4	2	\$62,400	41	3	\$93,600	46	4	0	\$0	54	1	\$12,500	210	12	\$122,400
DC	0	0	11	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	3	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
DE	0	0	8	1	0	\$0	4	0	\$0	13	1	0	\$0	6	0	\$0	11	1	\$10,200
MD	0	0	51	1	1	\$31,200	6	0	\$0	14	1	0	\$0	12	0	\$0	50	3	\$30,600
PA	5	0	158	3	1	\$31,200	17	1	\$31,200	84	1	1	\$7,500	40	1	\$12,500	26	2	\$20,400
VA	7	0	85	2	1	\$31,200	7	0	\$0	119	1	2	\$15,000	51	1	\$12,500	14	1	\$10,200
WV	9	1	32	1	0	\$0	4	0	\$0	3	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0			\$0
R3	21	1	345	9	3	\$93,600	39	1	\$31,200	236	6	3	\$22,500	112	2	\$25,000	102	7	\$71,400
AL	1	0	69	2	0	\$0	6	0	\$0	66	1	1	\$7,500	21	1	\$12,500			\$0
FL	8	0	193	3	2	\$62,400	19	1	\$31,200	682	1	7	\$52,500	22	1	\$12,500	124	6	\$61,200
GA	20	1	116	2	1	\$31,200	13	1	\$31,200	15	1	0	\$0	29	1	\$12,500	103	6	\$61,200
KY	17	1	70	2	0	\$0	9	0	\$0	8	1	0	\$0	4	0	\$0	3	0	\$0
MS	9	1	53	1	1	\$31,200	6	0	\$0	7	1	0	\$0	17	1	\$12,500	15	1	\$10,200

	CAHS HOSPITALS						PS	үсн ноѕрі	TALS	Н	OME HEAL	TH AGEN	CIES		I Wk-load I Award		AMBULATORY SURGICAL CENTERS			
State	Total Dee med # CAHs	Est. Sam ple (Base	Tota I Dee med # Hos pital s	Est. Sam ple (Bas e)	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award for Hospitals	Total Deemed # Psych Hosp.	Est. Supp Wk- Ioad	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total Deem ed # HHAs	Reg. Budget Wk- Ioad (Base)	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total Deemed # Hospices	• •		Total # Dee med ASCs	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award	
NC	19	1	84	1	1	\$31,200	10	0	\$0	75	1	1	\$7,500	39	1	\$12,500	16	1	\$10,200	
SC	3	0	65	2	0	\$0	9	0	\$0	24	1	0	\$0	34	1	\$12,500	11	0	\$0	
TN	10	1	105	2	1	\$31,200	7	0	\$0	21	1	0	\$0	15	1	\$12,500	9	0	\$0	
R4	87	5	755	15	6	\$187,200	79	2	\$62,400	898	8	9	\$67,500	181	7	\$87,500	288	14	\$142,800	
IL	30	1	132	3	1	\$31,200	15	1	\$31,200	246	1	3	\$22,500	68	1	\$12,500	44	3	\$30,600	
IN	31	1	99	3	1	\$31,200	19	2	\$62,400	81	1	1	\$7,500				34	3	\$30,600	
MI	24	1	107	2	1	\$31,200	12	1	\$31,200	321	1	5	\$37,500				31	2	\$20,400	
MN	19	1	49	1	0	\$0	8	0	\$0	18	1	0	\$0				22	2	\$20,400	
ОН	31	1	162	4	1	\$31,200	26	2	\$62,400	214	1	3	\$22,500				69	4	\$40,800	
WI	35	1	72	2	0	\$0	7	0	\$0	31	1	0	\$0	26		\$12,500	47	3	\$30,600	
R5	170	6	621	15	4	\$124,800	87	6	\$187,200	911	6	12	\$90,000	320	8	\$100,000	247	17	\$173,400	
AR	6	0	35	2	0	\$0	11	1	\$31,200	5	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	12	0	\$0	
LA	8	1	86	2	1	\$31,200	21	2	\$62,400	10	1	0	\$0	20	0	\$0	20	2	\$20,400	
NM	6	0	33	2	0	\$0	4	0	\$0	13	1	0	\$0	11	0	\$0	9	0	\$0	
ОК	7	0	70	2	0	\$0	6	0	\$0	36	1	1	\$7,500	21	1	\$12,500	11	0	\$0	
TX	14	1	384	5	3	\$93,600	56	4	\$124,800	478	1	6	\$45,000	355	4	\$50,000	143	6	\$61,200	
R6	41	2	608	13	4	\$124,800	98	7	\$218,400	542	5	7	\$52,500	409	5	\$62,500	195	8	\$81,600	
IA	11	1	29	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	36	1	1	\$7,500	17	0	\$0	14	1	\$10,200	
KS	6	0	44	2	0	\$0	4	0	\$0	29	1	0	\$0	25	1	\$12,500	11	0	\$0	
МО	10	1	77	1	1	\$31,200	12	1	\$31,200	39	1	1	\$7,500	34	1	\$12,500	24	2	\$20,400	
NE	6	0	25	2	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	10	1	0	\$0	11	0	\$0	9	1	\$10,200	
R7	33	2	175	6	1	\$31,200	20	1	\$31,200	114	4	2	\$15,000	87	2	\$25,000	58	4	\$40,800	
со	8	0	57	2	0	\$0	7	0	\$0	99	1	2	\$15,000	34	1	\$12,500	30	2	\$20,400	
MT	3	0	5	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	1	1	0	\$0	6	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	

	CAHS HOSPITALS				PS	PSYCH HOSPITALS			IOME HEAL	TH AGEN	CIES	HOSPICE			AMBULATORY SURGICAL CENTERS				
State	Total Dee med # CAHs	Est. Sam ple (Base)	Tota I Dee med # Hos pital s	Est. Sam ple (Bas e)	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award for Hospitals	Total Deemed # Psych Hosp.	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total Deem ed # HHAs	Reg. Budget Wk- Ioad (Base)	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total Deemed # Hospices	Est. Supp Wk-load	Est. Supp \$ Award	Total # Dee med ASCs	Est. Supp Wk- load	Est. Supp \$ Award
ND	4	0	10	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	2	0	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	3	0	\$0
SD	0	0	10	1	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	0	0	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	2	0	\$0
UT	1	0	33	2	0	\$0	6	0	\$0	12	1	0	\$0	20	1	\$12,500	10	0	\$0
WY	1	0	11	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	3	0	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	3	0	\$0
R8	17	0	126	8	0	\$0	17	0	\$0	117	3	2	\$15,000	64	2	\$25,000	49	2	\$20,400
AZ	8	0	72	2	1	\$31,200	11	1	\$31,200	64	1	2	\$15,000	83	2	\$25,000	51	3	\$30,600
CA	20	1	310	3	3	\$93,600	35	3	\$93,600	925	1	8	\$60,000	812	7	\$87,500	386	10	\$102,000
GU	0	0	1	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	1	1	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
НІ	3	0	13	1	0	\$0	1	0	\$0	6	1	0	\$0	3	0	\$0	5	0	\$0
NV	4	0	29	2	0	\$0	8	0	\$0	66	1	2	\$15,000	34	1	\$12,500	25	2	\$20,400
R9	35	1	425	8	4	\$124,800	55	4	\$124,800	1062	5	12	\$90,000	932	10	\$125,000	467	15	\$153,000
AK	7	1	7	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	5	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	5	0	\$0
ID	16	1	18	1	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	21	1	0	\$0	25	1	\$12,500	21	2	\$20,400
OR	14	1	36	2	0	\$0	2	0	\$0	10	1	0	\$0	14	0	\$0	25	2	\$20,400
WA	9	1	51	2	0	\$0	5	0	\$0	12	1	0	\$0	8	0	\$0	48	3	\$30,600
R10	46	4	112	6	0	\$0	11	0	\$0	48	4	0	\$0	49	1	\$12,500	99	7	\$71,400
Totals	466	22	356 6	90	25	\$780,000	473	25	\$780,000	4191	50	49	\$367,50 0	2238	38	\$475,000	1762	88	\$897,600