CMS Manual System	**Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS)**
Pub 100-04 Medicare Claims Processing	**Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)**
Transmittal 1640	**Date: NOVEMBER 21, 2008**
	Change Request 6234

Subject: Modifications to the National Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) Process

I. SUMMARY OF CHANGES: Through this instruction, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) directs the Part A shared system to cease creating credit claims (the credit portion of a debit-credit pairing) for national Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) claims crossover purposes in association with replacement/adjustment claims.

New / Revised Material
Effective Date: April 1, 2009
Implementation Date: April 6, 2009

Disclaimer for manual changes only: The revision date and transmittal number apply only to red italicized material. Any other material was previously published and remains unchanged. However, if this revision contains a table of contents, you will receive the new/revised information only, and not the entire table of contents.

II. CHANGES IN MANUAL INSTRUCTIONS: (N/A if manual is not updated)
R=REVISED, N=NEW, D=DELETED-*Only One Per Row.*

R/N/D	Chapter / Section / Subsection / Title
R	28/70.6/ Consolidation of the Claims Crossover Process

III. FUNDING:
SECTION A: For Fiscal Intermediaries and Carriers:
No additional funding will be provided by CMS; Contractor activities are to be carried out within their operating budgets.

SECTION B: For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):
The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

IV. ATTACHMENTS:

Business Requirements
Manual Instruction

**Unless otherwise specified, the effective date is the date of service.*

Attachment - Business Requirements

Pub. 100-04	Transmittal: 1640	Date: November 21, 2008	Change Request: 6234

SUBJECT: **Modifications to the National Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) Crossover Process**

Effective Date: April 1, 2009

Implementation Date: April 6, 2009

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Background: Currently, after the Common Working File (CWF) tags Part A adjustment claims for crossover under the national Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) process and returns a Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29) to the associated Medicare Part A contractors, those contractors' shared system includes the credit claim portion of a debit-credit pairing as part of flat file transmissions to the COB Contractor (COBC). This practice needs to be discontinued.

B. Policy: Effective with the April 2009 release, the Part A shared system shall suppress sending the credit claim portion of the debit-credit pairing (that transaction which cancels the original claim) associated with each affiliated contractor's adjustment claims to the COBC. Upon suppressing the credit claim, the Part A contractor system shall mark the claims history of its affiliate contractor to reflect this action.

II. BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS TABLE

"Shall" denotes a mandatory requirement

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)									
		A/B MAC	DME MAC	FI	CARRIER	RHHI	Shared-System Maintainers				Other
							FISS	MCS	VMS	CWF	
6234.1	Effective with the April 2009 release, the Part A shared system shall suppress sending the credit claim portion of the debit-credit pairing (that transaction which cancels the original claim) associated with each affiliated contractor's adjustment claims to the COBC.						X				
6234.1.1	Upon suppressing the credit claim, the Part A contractor system shall mark the claims history of its affiliate contractor to reflect this action.						X				

III. PROVIDER EDUCATION TABLE

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)									
		A/B MAC	DME MAC	FI	CARRIER	RHHI	Shared-System Maintainers				Other
							FISS	MCS	VMS	CWF	
	None. .										

IV. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Section A: Recommendations and supporting information associated with listed requirements: N/A
"Should" denotes a recommendation.

X-Ref Requirement Number	Recommendations or other supporting information:

Section B: All other recommendations and supporting information: N/A

V. CONTACTS

Pre-Implementation Contact(s): Brian Pabst (brian.pabst@cms.hhs.gov; 410-786-2487)

Post-Implementation Contact(s): Brian Pabst (brian.pabst@cms.hhs.gov; 410-786-2487)

VI. FUNDING

Section A: For *Fiscal Intermediaries (FIs), Carriers, and Regional Home Health Carriers (RHHIs)*: No additional funding will be provided by CMS; contractor activities are to be carried out within their operating budgets.

Section B: *For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs)*: The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

70.6 - Consolidation of the Claims Crossover Process

(Rev. 1640; Issued: 11-21-08; Effective Date: 04-01-09; Implementation Date: 04-06-2009)

The CMS has now streamlined the claims crossover process to better serve its customers. Under the new consolidated claims crossover process, trading partners execute national agreements called Coordination of Benefits Agreements (COBAs) with CMS' Coordination of Benefits Contractor. Through the COBA process, each COBA trading partner will send one national eligibility file that includes eligibility information for each Medicare beneficiary that it insures to the COBC. The COBC will transmit the beneficiary eligibility file(s) to the Common Working File (CWF) via the HUBO maintenance transaction. The transaction is also termed the "Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI)" auxiliary file. (See Pub.100-4, chapter 27, §80.14 for more details about the contents of the BOI auxiliary file.)

During August 2003, the CMS modified CWF to accept both the HUBO (BOI) transaction on a regular basis and COBA Insurance File (COIF) as a weekly file replacement. Upon reading both the BOI and the COIF, CWF applies each COBA trading partner's claims selection criteria against processed claims with service dates that fall between the effective and termination date of one or more BOI records.

Upon receipt of a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains (a) COBA ID (s) and other crossover information required on the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) 835 Electronic Remittance Advice (ERA), Medicare contractors will send processed claims via an 837 COB flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file to the COBC. The COBC, in turn, will cross the claims to the COBA trading partner in the HIPAA American National Standards Institute (ANSI) X12-N 837 or NCPDP formats, following its validation that the incoming Medicare claims are formatted correctly and pass HIPAA or NCPDP compliance editing.

In addition, CMS shall arrange for the invoicing of COBA trading partners for crossover fees.

For more information regarding the COBA Medigap claim-based crossover process, which was enacted on October 1, 2007, consult §70.6.4 of this chapter.

I. Contractor Actions Relating to CWF Claims Crossover Exclusion Logic

A. Determination of Beneficiary Liability for Claims with Denied Services

Effective with the January 2005 release, the Part B and Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carrier (DMERC)/DME Medicare Administrative Contractor (DME MAC) contractor shared systems will be required to include an indicator "L" (beneficiary is liable for the denied service[s]) or "N" (beneficiary is not liable for the denied service[s]) in an available field on the HUBC and HUDC queries to CWF for claims on which all line items

are denied. The liability indicators (L or N) will be at the header or claim level rather than at the line level.

For purposes of applying the liability indicator L or N at the header/claim level and, in turn, including such indicators in the HUBC or HUDC query to CWF, the Part B and DMERC/DME MAC contractor shared systems shall follow these business rules:

- The L or N indicators are not applied at the header/claim level if any service on the claim is payable by Medicare;

- The "L" indicator is applied at the header/claim level if the beneficiary is liable for any of the denied services on a fully denied claim; and

- The "N" indicator is applied at the header/claim level if the beneficiary is not liable for all of the denied services on a fully denied claim.

Effective with October 2007, the CWF maintainer shall create a 1-byte beneficiary liability indicator field within the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC Part A claims transactions (valid values for the field="L," "N," or space).

As Part A contractors adjudicate claims and determine that the beneficiary has payment liability for any part of the fully denied services or service lines, they shall set an "L" indicator within the newly created beneficiary liability field in the header of their HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims that they transmit to CWF. In addition, as Part A contractors adjudicate claims and determine that the beneficiary has no payment liability for any of the fully denied services or service lines—that is, the provider must absorb all costs for the fully denied claims—they shall include an "N" beneficiary indicator within the designated field in the header of their HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims that they transmit to CWF. **NOTE:** Part A contractors shall not set the "L" or "N" indicator on partially denied/partially paid claims.

Upon receipt of an HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claim that contains an "L" or "N" beneficiary liability indicator, CWF shall read the COBA Insurance File (COIF) to determine whether the COBA trading partner wishes to receive "original" fully denied claims with beneficiary liability (crossover indicator "G") or without beneficiary liability (crossover indicator "F") or "adjustment" fully denied claims with beneficiary liability (crossover indicator "U") or without beneficiary liability (crossover indicator "T").

If CWF determines that the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude the claim, as per the COIF, it shall suppress the claim from the crossover process.

CWF shall post the appropriate crossover disposition indicator in association with the adjudicated claim on the HIMR detailed history screen (see §80.15 of this chapter).

In addition, the CWF maintainer shall create and display the new 1-byte beneficiary liability indicator field within the HIMR detailed history screens (INPL, OUTL, HHAL,

and HOSL), to illustrate the indicator ("L" or "N") that appeared on the incoming HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claim transaction.

CWF Editing for Incorrect Values

If a Part A contractor sends values other than "L," "N," or space in the newly defined beneficiary liability field in the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claim, CWF shall reject the claim back to the Part A contractor for correction. Following receipt of the CWF rejection, the Part A contractor shall change the incorrect value placed within the newly defined beneficiary liability field and retransmit the claim to CWF.

B. Developing a Capability to Treat Entry Code "5" and Action Code "3" Claims As Recycled "Original" Claims For Crossover Purposes

Effective with July 2007, in instances when CWF returns an error code 5600 to a contractor, thereby causing it to reset the claim's entry code to "5" to action code to "3," the contractor shall set a newly developed "N"(non-adjustment) claim indicator ("treat as an original claim for crossover purposes") in the header of the HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claim in the newly defined field before retransmitting the claim to CWF. The contractor's system shall then resend the claim to CWF.

Upon receipt of a claim that contains entry code "5" or action code "3" with a non-adjustment claim header value of "N," the CWF shall treat the claim as if it were an "original" claim (i.e., as entry code "1" or action code "1") for crossover inclusion or exclusion determinations. If CWF subsequently determines that the claim meets all other inclusion criteria, it shall mark the claim with an "A" ("claim was selected to be crossed over") crossover disposition indicator.

Following receipt of a Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29) for the recycled claim, the contractors' systems shall ensure that, as part of their 837 flat file creation processes, they populate the 2300 loop CLM05-3 (Claim Frequency Type Code) segment with a value of "1" (original). In addition, the contractors' systems shall ensure that, as part of their 837 flat file creation process, they do not create a corresponding 2330 loop REF*T4*Y segment, which typically signifies "adjustment."

C. Developing a Capability to Treat Claims with Non-Adjustment Entry or Action Codes as Adjustment Claims For Crossover Purposes

Effective with July 2007, in instances where contractors must send adjustment claims to CWF as entry code "1" or as action code "1" (situations where CWF has rejected the claim with edit 6010), they shall set an "A" indicator in a newly defined field within the header of the HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claim.

If contractors send a value other than "A" or spaces within the newly designated header field within their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims, CWF shall apply an edit to reject the claim back to the contractor. Upon receipt of the CWF rejection edit, the contractors' systems shall correct the invalid value and retransmit the claim to CWF for verification and validation.

Upon receipt of a claim that contains entry code "1" or action code "1" with a header value of "A," the CWF shall take the following actions:

- Verify that, as per the COIF, the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude **either** adjustments, monetary or adjustments, non-monetary, **or both**; and

- Suppress the claim if the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude **either** adjustments, monetary or adjustments, non-monetary, **or both**.

NOTE: The expectation is that such claims do not represent mass adjustments tied to the MPFS or mass adjustments-other.

If contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) on a claim that had an "A" indicator set in its header, the contractors' systems shall ensure that, as part of their 837 flat file creation processes, they populate the 2300 loop CLM05-3 ("Claim Frequency Type Code") segment with a value that designates "adjustment" rather than "original" to match the 2330B loop REF*T4*Y that they create to designate "adjustment claim."

If a contractor's system does not presently create a loop 2330B REF*T4*Y to designate adjustments, it shall not make a change to do so as part of this instruction.

Correcting Invalid Claim Header Values Sent to CWF

If contractors send a value other than "A," "N," or spaces within the newly designated header field within their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims, CWF shall apply an edit to reject the claim back to the contractor. Upon receipt of the CWF rejection edit, the contractors' systems shall correct the invalid value and retransmit the claim to CWF for verification and validation.

D. CWF Identification of National Council for Prescription Drug Claims

Currently, the DMERC/DME MAC contractor shared system is able to identify, through the use of an internal indicator, whether a submitted claim is in the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) format. Effective with January 2005, the DMERC/DME MAC contractor shared system shall pass an indicator "P" to CWF in an available field on the HUDC query when the claim is in the NCPDP format. The indicator "P" should be included in a field on the HUDC that is separate from the fields used to indicate whether a beneficiary is liable for all services that are completely denied on his/her claim.

The CWF shall read the new indicators passed via the HUBC or HUDC queries for purposes of excluding 100 percent denied claims with or without beneficiary liability and NCPDP claims. After applying the claims selection options, CWF will return a BOI reply trailer (29) to the Medicare contractor only in those instances when the COBA trading partner expects to receive a Medicare processed claim from the COBC.

Effective with July 2007, CWF shall reject claims back to DMERCs/DME MACs if their HUDC claim contains a value other than "P" in the established field used to identify NCPDP claims.

E. CWF Identification and Auto-Exclusion of 837 Professional Claims That Contain Only Physician Quality Reporting Initiative (PQRI) Codes

Effective October 6, 2008, the CWF maintainer shall create space within the header of its HUBC claim transmission for a 1-byte PQRI indicator (valid values=Q or space).

In addition, CWF shall create a 2-byte field on page 2 of the HIMR claim detail in association with the new category "COBA Bypass" for the value "BQ," which shall designate that CWF auto-excluded the claim because it contained only PQRI codes (see §80.15 of this chapter for more details regarding the bypass indicator).

Prior to transmitting the claim to CWF for normal processing, the Part B shared system shall input the value "Q" in the newly defined PQRI field in the header of the HUBC when **all** service lines on a claim contain PQRI (status M) codes.

Upon receipt of a claim that contains a "Q" in the newly defined PQRI field (which signifies that the claim contains only PQRI codes on all service detail lines, CWF shall auto-exclude the claim from the national COBA eligibility file-based and Medigap claim-based crossover processes. Following exclusion of the claim, CWF shall populate the value "BQ" in association with the newly developed "COBA Bypass" field on page 2 of the HIMR Part B and DME MAC claim detail screens.

Prior to October 6, 2008, all Medicare contractors shall update any of their provider customer service materials geared towards crossover claims related inquiries to reflect the newly developed "BQ" by-pass value, which designates that CWF auto-excluded the claim because it only contained PQRI codes.

The Next Generation Desktop (NGD) contractor shall also modify its user screens and documentation to reflect the new "BQ" code.

F. CWF Identification and Exclusion of Claims Containing Placeholder National Provider Identifiers (NPIs)

Effective October 6, 2008, the CWF maintainer shall create space within the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, HUHC, HUBC, and HUDC claims transactions for a new 1-byte "NPI-Placeholder" field (acceptable values=Y or space).

In addition, the CWF maintainer shall create space within page two (2) of the HIMR detail of the claim screen for 1) a new category "COBA Bypass"; and 2) a 2-byte field for the indicator "BN." (See Pub. 100-04, chapter 27, §80.15 for more details regarding the "BN" bypass indicator.)

NOTE: With the implementation of the October 2008 release, the CWF maintainer shall remove all current logic for placeholder provider values with the implementation of this new solution for identifying claims that contain placeholder provider values.

As contractors, including Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs) and Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractors (DME MACs), adjudicate ***non VA MRA*** claims that fall within any of the NPI placeholder requirements, their shared system shall take the following combined actions:

1) Input a "Y" value in the newly created "NPI Placeholder" field on the HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, HUHC, HUBC, or HUDC claim transaction if a placeholder value exists on or is created anywhere within the SSM claim record. **NOTE**: Contractor systems shall include spaces within the "NPI Placeholder" field when the claim does not contain a placeholder NPI value; **and**

2) Transmit the claim to CWF, as per normal requirements.

Upon receipt of claims where the NPI Placeholder field contains the value "Y," CWF shall auto-exclude the claim from the national COBA crossover process. In addition, CWF shall populate the value "BN" in association with the newly developed "COBA Bypass" field on page 2 of the HIMR Part B and DME MAC claim detail screen and on page 3 of the HIMR intermediary claim detail screen. (see Pub.100-04, chapter 27, §80.14 for more details.)

Prior to October 6, 2008, all Medicare contractors shall update any of their provider customer service materials geared towards crossover claims related inquiries to reflect the newly developed "BN" by-pass value, which designates that CWF auto-excluded the claim because it contained a placeholder provider value.

The Next Generation Desktop (NGD) contractor shall also modify its user screens and documentation to reflect the new "BN" code.

II. Contractor Actions Relating to CWF Claims Crossover Inclusion or Inclusion/Exclusion Logic

A. Inclusion of Two Categories of Mass Adjustment Claims for Crossover Purposes

All Medicare contractors shall continue to identify mass adjustment claims-MPFS and mass adjustment claims—other by including an "M" (mass adjustment claims—MPFS) or

"O" (mass adjustment claims—other) within the header of the HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, HUHC, HUBC, and HUDC claim transactions, as specified in Pub.100-04, chapter 27, §80.16. (Refer to Pub.100-04, chapter 27, §80.18 for CWF specific requirements relating to the unique inclusion of mass adjustment claims for crossover purposes.)

Effective January 5, 2009, the COBC, at CMS's direction, will modify the COIF to allow for the unique **inclusion** of mass adjustment claims—MPFS updates and mass adjustment claims—other. The CWF maintainer shall 1) create these new fields, along with accompanying 1-byte file displacement, within its version of the COIF; and 2) accept and process these new fields when the COBC transmits them as part of its regular COIF updates.

Upon receipt of a HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, HUHC, HUBC, or HUDC claim transaction that contains an "M" or "O" mass adjustment indicator, CWF shall undertake all additional actions with respect to determination as to whether the claim should be included or excluded for crossover purposes as specified in chapter 27, §80.18.

Contractor Flat File Requirements

Before the Part A and Part B shared systems send "mass adjustment claims—MPFS" to the COBC via an 837 flat file transmission, they shall take the following actions with respect to the fields that correspond to the loop 2300 NTE01 and NTE02 segments on the 837 COB flat file only if there was not a pre-existing 2300 NTE segment on the incoming Medicare claim:

1) Populate "ADD" in the field that corresponds to NTE01; and

2) Populate "MP," utilizing bytes 01 through 02, in the field that corresponds to NTE02.

Before the contractors' shared systems send "mass adjustment claims—other" to the COBC via an 837 flat file transmission, they shall take the following actions with respect to the fields that correspond to the loop 2300 NTE01 and NTE02 segments on the 837 COB flat file only if there was not a pre-existing 2300 NTE segment on the incoming Medicare claim:

1) Populate "ADD" in the field that corresponds to NTE01; and

2) Populate "MO," utilizing bytes 01 through 02, in the field that corresponds to NTE02.

B. Inclusion and Exclusion of Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC)-Initiated Adjustment Claims

Effective January 5, 2009, at CMS's direction, the COBC will modify the COIF to allow for the unique **inclusion** and exclusion of RAC-initiated adjustment claims. The CWF

maintainer shall 1) create these new fields, along with accompanying 1-byte file displacement, within its version of the COIF; and 2) accept and process these new fields when the COBC transmits them as part of its regular COIF updates. In addition, the CWF maintainer shall create a 1-byte RAC adjustment value in the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, HUHC, HUBC, and HUDC claims transactions (valid values="R" or spaces).

Through this instruction, all contractor systems shall develop a method for uniquely identifying all varieties of RAC-requested adjustments, which occur as the result of post-payment review activities.

NOTE: Currently, fewer than five (5) contractors process RAC adjustments.

Prior to sending its processed 11X and 12X type of bill RAC-initiated adjustment transactions to CWF for normal verification and validation, the Part A shared system shall input the "R" indicator in the newly defined header field of the HUIP claim transaction if the RAC adjustment claim meets either of the following conditions:

1) The claim resulted in Medicare changing its payment decision from paid to denied (i.e., Medicare paid $0.00 as a result of the adjustment performed)**; or**

2) The claim resulted in a Medicare adjusted payment that falls below the amount of the inpatient hospital deductible.

Prior to sending RAC-initiated adjustment claims **with all other type of bill designations to CWF** for normal processing, the Part A shared system shall input an "R" indicator in the newly defined header field of the HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claim.

Prior to sending their processed RAC adjustment transactions to CWF for normal verification and validation, the Part B and Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractor (DMAC) shared systems shall input the "R" indicator in the newly defined header field of the HUBC and HUDC claim transactions.

Unique COBA ID Assignment to Trading Partners That Accept RAC-Initiated Adjustment Claims Only and Attendant Contractor Responsibilities

The COBC will assign a unique COBA ID range (88000-88999) to COBA trading partners that elect to "include" RAC-initiated adjustment claims for crossover purposes and will **not,** at CMS's direction, charge the trading partner the standard crossover fee for that category of adjustment claims. Therefore, when contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) on a claim that contains **only** a COBA ID in the range 88000 through 88999 (which designates RAC adjustment), the contractor shall not establish an accrual or expect payment for the claim.

Before the contractor systems send "tagged" RAC-initiated adjustment claims to the COBC via an 837 flat file transmission, they shall take the following actions with respect to the fields that correspond to the loop 2300 NTE01 and NTE02 segments on the 837

COB flat file only if there was **not** a pre-existing 2300 NTE segment on the incoming Medicare claim:

1) Populate "ADD" in the field that corresponds to NTE01; and

2) Populate "RA," utilizing bytes 01 through 02, in the field that corresponds to NTE02.

III. CWF Crossover Processes In Association with the Coordination of Benefits Contractor

A. CWF Processing of the COBA Insurance File (COIF) and Returning of BOI Reply Trailers

Effective July 6, 2004, the COBC will begin to send initial copies of the COBA Insurance File (COIF) to the nine CWF host sites. The COIF will contain specific information that will identify the COBA trading partner, including name, COBA ID, address, and tax identification number (TIN). It will also contain each trading partner's claims selection criteria along with an indicator (Y=Yes or N=No) of whether the trading partner wishes its name to be printed on the Medicare Summary Notice (MSN). Effective with the October 2004 systems release, the COIF will also contain a 1-digit Test/Production Indicator that will identify whether a COBA trading partner is in test (T) or production (P) mode. The CWF will be required to return that information as part of the BOI reply trailer (29) to Medicare contractors.

Upon receipt of a claim, CWF shall take the following actions:

- Search for a COBA eligibility record on the BOI auxiliary record for each beneficiary and obtain the associated COBA ID(s) [NOTE: There may be multiple COBA IDs associated with each beneficiary.];

- Refer to the COIF associated with each COBA ID **NOTE**: The CWF shall pull the COBA ID from the BOI auxiliary record to obtain the COBA trading partner's name and claims selection criteria;

- Apply the COBA trading partner's selection criteria; and

- Transmit a BOI reply trailer to the Medicare contractor only if the claim is to be sent, via 837 COB flat file or NCPDP file, to the COBC to be crossed over.

B. BOI Reply Trailer and Claim-based Reply Trailer Processes

1. BOI Reply Trailer Process

For eligibility file-based crossover, Medicare contractors shall send processed claims information to the COBC for crossover to a COBA trading partner in

response to the receipt of a CWF BOI reply trailer (29). Medicare contractors will only receive a BOI reply trailer (29) under the consolidated crossover process for claims that CWF has selected for crossover after reading each COBA trading partner's claims selection criteria as reported on the weekly COIF submission.

When a BOI reply trailer (29) is received, the COBA assigned ID will identify the type of crossover (see the Data Elements Required for the BOI Aux File Record Table in Chapter 27, §24). Although each COBA ID will consist of a five-digit prefix that will be all zeroes, Medicare contractors are only responsible for picking up the last five digits within these ranges, which will be right justified in the COBA number field. In addition to the trading partner's COBA ID, the BOI reply trailer shall also include the COBA trading partner name (s), an "A" crossover indicator that specifies that the claim has been selected to be crossed over, and a one-digit indicator ["Y"=Yes; "N"=No] that specifies whether the COBA trading partner's name should be printed on the beneficiary MSN. As discussed above, effective with the October 2004 systems release, CWF shall also include a 1-digit Test/Production Indicator on the BOI reply trailer (29) that is returned to the Medicare contractor.

Larger-Scale Implementation of the COBA Process

Medicare contractors should note that the larger-scale COBA process, where additional trading partners are first identified as testing participants with the COBC and then are moved to crossover production with the COBC following the successful completion of testing, may be activated at any time during the COBA smaller-scale parallel production period. Activation of the larger-scale COBA process will most likely not occur before the early months of calendar year 2005.

MSN Crossover Messages

Effective with the October 2004 systems release, the Medicare contractor will begin to receive BOI reply trailers (29) that contain an MSN indicator "Y" (Print trading partner name on MSN) or "N" (Do not print trading partner name on MSN).

Also, effective with the October 2004 systems release, when a Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Test/Production Indicator of "T," it shall ignore the MSN indicator on the trailer. Instead, the Medicare contractor shall follow its existing procedures for inclusion of trading partner names on MSNs for those trading partners with whom it has existing TPAs.

When a COBA trading partner is in full production (Test/Production Indicator=P), the Medicare contractor shall read the MSN indicator returned on the BOI reply trailer (29). If the Medicare contractor receives an MSN indicator "N," it shall print its generic crossover message(s) on the MSN rather than including the

trading partner's name. Examples of existing generic MSN messages include the following:

(For all COBA ID ranges other than Medigap)

MSN #35.1 - "This information is being sent to private insurer(s). Send any questions regarding your benefits to them."

(For the Medigap COBA ID range)

MSN#35.2- "We have sent your claim to your Medigap insurer. Send any questions regarding your Medigap benefits to them."

Beginning with the October 2004 systems release, contractors shall follow these procedures when determining whether to update its claims history to show that a beneficiary's claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over.

- If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Test/Production Indicator "T," it shall not update its claims history to show that a beneficiary's claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over.

- If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Test/Production Indicator "P," it shall update its claims history to show that a beneficiary's claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over.

Effective January 5, 2009, when CWF returns a BOI reply trailer (29) to a Medicare contractor that contains **only** a COBA ID in the range 89000 through 89999, the contractor's system shall suppress all crossover information, including name of insurer and generic message#35.1, from all beneficiary MSNs.

Contractors shall **not** update their claims histories to reflect transference of "tagged" claims with COBA ID range 89000 through 89999 to the COBC. Contractors shall, however, accrue for credit (expect payment) on such claims.

Electronic Remittance Advice (835)/Provider Remittance Advice Crossover Messages

Beginning with the October 2004 release, when CWF returns a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a "T" Test/Production Indicator to the Medicare contractors, they shall not print information received from the BOI reply trailer (29) in the required crossover fields on the 835 Electronic Remittance Advice or other provider remittance advices that are in production. Contractors shall, however, populate the 835 ERA (or provider remittance advice(s) in production) with required crossover information when they have existing agreements with trading partners.

Beginning with the October 2004 release, when CWF returns a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a "P" Test/Production Indicator to the Medicare contractors, they shall use the returned BOI trailer information to take the following actions on the provider's 835 Electronic Remittance Advice:

a. Record code 19 in CLP-02 (Claim Status Code) in Loop 2100 (Claim Payment Information) of the 835 ERA (v. 4010-A1). [**NOTE:** Record "20" in CLP-02 (Claim Status Code) in Loop 2100 (Claim Payment Information) when Medicare is the secondary payer.]

b. Update the 2100 Loop (Crossover Carrier Name) on the 835 ERA as follows:

- NM101 [Entity Identifier Code]—Use "TT," as specified in the 835 Implementation Guide.

- NM102 [Entity Type Qualifier]—Use "2," as specified in the 835 Implementation Guide.

- NM103 [Name, Last or Organization Name]—Use the COBA trading partner's name that accompanies the first sorted COBA ID returned to you on the BOI reply trailer.
- NM108 [Identification Code Qualifier]—Use "PI" (Payer Identification)

- NM109 [Identification Code]—Use the first COBA ID returned to you on the BOI reply trailer. (See line 24 of the BOI aux. file record

If the 835 ERA is not in production and the contractor receives a "P" Test/Production Indicator, it shall use the information provided on the BOI reply trailer (29) to populate the existing provider remittance advices that it has in production.

Effective with January 5, 2009, if CWF returns **only** COBA ID range 89000 through 89999 on a BOI reply trailer (29) to a Medicare contractor, the contractor's system shall suppress all crossover information (the entire 2100 loop) on the 835 ERA.

CWF Sort Routine for Multiple COBA IDs

Effective with January 5, 2009, when a beneficiary's claim is associated with more than one COBA ID (i.e., the beneficiary has more than one health insurer/benefit plan that pays after Medicare), CWF shall sort the COBA IDs and trading partner names in the following order on the returned BOI reply trailer (29): 1) Eligibility-based Medigap (30000-54999); 2) Medigap claim-based (55000-59999); 3) Supplemental (00001-29999); 4) TRICARE (60000-69999); 5)

Other Insurer (80000-88999); 6) Medicaid (70000-79999); and 7) Other-Health Care Pre-payment Plan [HCPP] (89000-89999). When two or more COBA IDs fall in the same range (see element 24 of the "Data Elements Required for the BOI Aux File Record" Table in chapter 27, §80.14 for more details), CWF shall sort numerically within the same range.

2. Medicare Summary Notice (MSN) and Electronic Remittance Advice (ERA) Crossover Messages During the Parallel Production Period

During the COBA parallel production period, which began July 6, 2004: 1) CWF will only return an "N" MSN indicator on the BOI reply trailer (29), in accordance with information received via the COIF submission; 2) If a "Y" indicator is returned, the Medicare contractor shall ignore it; and 3) the Medicare contractor shall follow its existing procedures for the printing of MSN crossover messages.

During the COBA parallel production period, Medicare contractors shall follow their current procedures for the reporting of crossover claims information in CLP-02 (Claim Status Payment) and in the NM101, NM102, NM103, NM108, and NM109 segments of Loop 2100 of the provider ERA. They shall also continue with their current procedure for inclusion of COB trading partner names on other kinds of provider remittance advices that you have in production.

3. Business Rules for Receipt of a CWF BOI Reply Trailer When Other Indicators of Crossover Are Present

COBA Parallel Production Period

During the COBA parallel production period, which began July 6, 2004, the Medicare contractor shall observe the following business rules when it receives a BOI reply trailer 29 and some other indication of crossover eligibility:

If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer 29 with COBA IDs that fall in the ranges of 00001-89999, it shall continue to cross over claims a) per its existing TPAs and b) when Medigap or Medicaid information is reported on the claim.

NOTE: The preceding claim-based scenario does not apply to Part A contractors. In addition, the Medicare contractor shall send claims for which it receives BOI reply trailers to the COBC on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file.

NOTE: The COBA trading partner will only be charged for the claims that the Medicare contractor continues to cross to it during the parallel production period.

During the parallel production period, the Medicare contractor shall not change its current procedures regarding suppression of Medicaid claims when a beneficiary has non-Medigap and/or Medigap insurance. The Medicare contractor's Medicaid suppression logic should remain the same as today with its existing trading partners, even when it receives a BOI reply trailer that includes a Medicaid COBA ID.

Larger-Scale Implementation of the COBA Process

Beginning with the October 2004 release, Medicare contractors shall follow these rules when they receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains Test/Production Indicator "T" and there is some other indication of crossover eligibility:

If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) with COBA IDs that fall in the ranges of 00001-89999 (See Attachment A, element 24), it shall cross over claims 1) per its existing TPAs or 2) when Medigap or Medicaid information is reported on the claim (if that is how the Part B or DMERC contractor currently crosses over claims to Medicaid).

NOTE: Claim-based crossover scenarios only apply to Part B and DMERC/ DME MAC contractors.

In addition, the contractor shall send claims for which it receives BOI reply trailer to the COBC on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file.

When a COBA trading partner is in test mode, the contractor shall not change its current procedures regarding suppression of Medicaid claims when a beneficiary has non-Medigap and/or Medigap insurance. The contractor's Medicaid suppression logic should remain the same as with current existing trading partners, even when you receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that includes a Medicaid COBA ID.

Beginning with the October 2004 release, contractors shall follow these rules when they receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains Test/Production Indicator "P" and there is some other indication of crossover eligibility:

a. If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) with a COBA ID that falls in the Medigap eligibility-based range (30000-54999), it shall not cross over claims based on an existing Medigap TPA or when Medigap information is reported on the claim. Instead, the Medicare contractor shall send the claim to the COBC (based on the BOI reply trailer 29) on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or NCPDP file for crossover by the COBC to the COBA trading partner.

NOTE: The assumption is that a beneficiary will have only one true Medigap insurer.

b. If the Medicare contractor receives a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer (29) that falls in the Supplemental range (00001-29999) and it has an existing TPA with a supplemental insurer for the beneficiary, it shall transmit the claim to the COBC for crossover to the COBA trading partner and cross the claim to your existing trading partner.

c. If the Medicare contractor receives a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer (29) that falls in the Supplemental range (00001-29999), and it also receives Medigap crossover information on the claim, it shall cross the claim to the Medigap insurer identified on the claim and transmit the claim to the COBC for crossover to the COBA trading partner based on the Supplemental COBA ID.

d. If the Medicare contractor receives a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer (29) that falls in the Medicaid range (70000-77999), it shall not cross over claims based on an existing Medicaid TPA or when Medicaid information is reported on the claim (if that is how the Part B or DMERC contractor currently crosses over claims to Medicaid). Instead, the Medicare contractor shall send the claim to the COBC (based on the BOI reply trailer 29) on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or NCPDP file for crossover by the COBC to the COBA trading partner.

e. If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Medicaid COBA ID (70000-77999) and it has an existing TPA with a supplemental insurer or Medigap insurer, it shall suppress the Medicaid claim from inclusion on the COB 837 flat file or NCPDP file and cross the claim to the supplemental insurer.

f. If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Supplemental COBA ID (00001-29999) or a Medigap eligibility-based COBA ID (30000-54999) and it has an existing TPA with Medicaid, it shall suppress its crossover to Medicaid but send the claim to the COBC.

NOTE: For the scenarios above, the trading partner shall be responsible for canceling any existing TPA that it has with the Medicare contractor once it has signed a COBA with the Coordination of Benefits Contractor (COBC).

Contractor Actions Relating to the Transition from HIPAA 837 4010-A1 to 5010 and NCPDP 5.1 batch standard 1.1 to NCPDP D.O

1. CWF COIF and BOI Reply Trailer (29) Processes

Effective January 5, 2009, the COBC will, at CMS's direction, create a new 1-byte "5010 Test/Production Indicator" and a new 1-byte "NCPDP D.0 Test/Production Indicator" on the COBA Insurance File [COIF] (valid values= "N"—not applicable or not ready as yet; "T"—test; "P"—production). In addition, the CWF maintainer shall add a new "5010 Test/Production Indicator" and an "NCPDP D.0 Test/Production Indicator" to the BOI reply trailer (29) format. (See Pub.100-04 chapter 27, §80.17 for additional details regarding CWF requirements relating to the new crossover claim formats.)

The CWF shall not post crossover disposition indicators in association with claims whose 5010 and NCPDP D.0 indicators are "N" or "T." (See Pub.100-04 chapter 27, §80.15 for more details regarding claims crossover disposition indicators.)

2. Contractor Actions Regarding Claim Format to Send to COBC

Prior to the initiation of HIPAA 837 5010 or NCPDP D.0 testing with COB trading partners, if CWF returns to a Medicare contractor a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains an "N" 5010 Test/Production indicator or NCPDP D.0 indicator, the contractor's shared system shall 1) ignore the indicator; and 2) continue to send the existing 837 flat file and NCPDP file formats to the COBC.

NOTE: CMS will issue a future instruction that addresses contractor and contractor shared system requirements for receipt of "T" or "P" 5010 and NCPDP D.0 indicators.

C. Transmission of the COB Flat File or NCPDP File to the COBC

Regardless of whether a COBA trading partner is in test mode (Test/Production Indicator returned via the BOI reply trailer 29=T) or production mode (Test/Production Indicator returned via the BOI reply trailer 29=P), Medicare contractors shall transmit all non-NCPDP claims received with a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer to the COBC in an 837 v.4010A1 flat file, as described in Transmittal AB-03-060. In a separate transmission, DMERCs shall send the claims received in the NCPDP file format to the COBC. Medicare contractors shall enter the 5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer (29) in the 1000B loop of the NM1 segment in the NM109 field. In a situation where multiple COBA IDs are received for a claim, Medicare contractors shall send a separate 837 or NCPDP transaction to the COBC for each COBA ID. Medicare contractors shall perform the transmission at the end of their regular batch cycle, when claims come off the payment floor, to ensure crossover claims are not processed by the COBA trading partner prior to Medicare's final payment. Transmission should occur via Network Data Mover (NDM) over AGNS (AT&T Global Network Services).

Effective with October 4, 2005, when contractor systems transfer processed claims to the COBC as part of the COBA process, they shall include an additional 1-digit alpha character ("T"=test or "P"=production) as part of the BHT03 identifier (Beginning of the Hierarchical Transaction Reference Identification) that is included within the 837 flat file or NCPDP submissions. The contractor shared systems shall determine that a COBA trading partner is in test or production mode by referring to the BOI reply trailer (29)

originally received from CWF for the processed claim. (See §70.6.1 of this chapter for further details about the BHT03 identifier.)

Effective with October 2, 2006, the contractors or their Data Centers shall transmit a combined COBA "test" and "production" 837 flat file and a combined "test" and "production" NCPDP file to the COBC.

NOTE: This requirement changes the direction previously provided in October 2005 through the issuance of Transmittal 586.

Flat File Conventions for Transmission to the COBC

With respect to 837 COB flat file submissions to the COBC, Part B contractors, including MACs, and DME MACs shall observe these process rules:

The following segments shall not be passed to the COBC:

1. ISA (Interchange Control Header Segment);

2. IEA (Interchange Control Trailer Segment);

3. GS (Functional Group Header Segment); and

4. GE (Functional Group Trailer Segment).

The 1000B loop of the NM1 segment denotes the crossover partner. If multiple COBA IDs are received via the BOI reply trailer, the contractor system shall ensure that a separate 837 transaction should be submitted for each COBA ID received. As the crossover partner information will be unknown to the standard systems, the following fields should be formatted as indicated for the NM1 segment:

NM103—Use spaces; and
NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2010BA loop denotes the subscriber information. If available, the subscriber name, address, and policy number should be used to complete the NM1, N3, and N4 segments. If unknown, the segments should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM1 segment—For NM103, NM104, NM105, and NM107, use spaces;
NM1 segment—For NM109, include HICN;
N3 segment—Use all spaces; and
N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2010BB loop denotes the payer name. Per the HIPAA Implementation Guide (IG), this loop should define the secondary payer when sending the claim to the second destination payer. Consequently, given that the payer related to the COBA ID will be unknown by the standard systems, the NM1, N3, and N4 segments should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM1 segment—For NM103, use spaces;
NM1 segment—For NM109, include the COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29);
N3 segment—Use all spaces; and
N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2330B loop denotes other payers for the claim. If multiple COBA IDs are returned via the BOI reply trailer, payer information for the additional COBA IDs will be unknown. As with the 2010BB loop, the NM1 segment should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM103—Use spaces; and
NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2330B loop shall be repeated to allow for the inclusion of the name (NM103) and associated Trading Partner ID (NM109) for each existing trading partner.

The 2320 loop denotes other subscriber information. Within the SBR segment, the SBR03 and SBR04 segments are used to define the group/policy number and insured group name, respectively. If the information is available for these fields, those values should be propagated accordingly for both current trading partners and COBA trading partners. The COBC will inspect these values for COBA related eligibility based claims and overlay as appropriate. Spaces should only be used for COBA-related situations.

SBR01—Treat as normally do.

With respect to 837 COB flat file submissions to the COBC, Part A contractors shall observe these process rules:

As the ISA, IEA, and GS segments are included in the “100” record with other required segments, the “100” record must be passed to the COBC. However, as the values for these segments will be recalculated, spaces may be placed in all of the fields related to the ISA, IEA, and GS segments.

The 1000B loop of the NM1 segment denotes the crossover trading partner. If multiple COBA IDs are received via the BOI reply trailer, the contractor system shall ensure that a separate 837 transaction should be submitted for each COBA ID received. As the crossover trading partner information will be unknown to the standard systems, the following fields should be formatted as follows for the NM1 segment on the”100” record:

NM103—Use spaces; and
NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2010BA loop denotes the subscriber information. If available, the subscriber name, address, and policy number should be used to complete the NM1, N3, and N4 segments. If unknown, the segments should be formatted as follows for the "300" record, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM1 segment – For NM103, NM104, NM105, and NM107, use spaces;
NM1 segment—For NM109, include HICN;
N3 segment—Use all spaces; and
N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2010BC loop denotes the payer name. Per the HIPAA IG, this loop should define the secondary payer when sending the claim to the second destination payer. Consequently, since the payer related to the COBA ID will be unknown to the standard systems, the NM1, N3, and N4 segments should be formatted as follows for the "300" record, with COBC completing any missing information:

M1 segment—For NM103, use spaces;
NM1 segment—For NM109, include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29);
N3 segment—Use all spaces; and
N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2330B loop of the "575" record denotes other payers for the claim. If multiple COBA IDs are returned via the BOI reply trailer, payer information for the additional COBA IDs will be unknown. As with the 2010BC loop, the NM1 segment should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM103—Use spaces; and
NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2330B loop shall be repeated to allow for the inclusion of the name (NM103) and associated Trading Partner ID (NM109) for each existing trading partner.

The 2320 loop denotes other subscriber information. Within the SBR segment, the SBR03 and SBR04 segments are used to define the group/policy number and insured group name, respectively. If the information is available for these fields, those values should be propagated accordingly for both current trading partners and COBA trading partners. The COBC will inspect these values for COBA related eligibility based claims and overlay as appropriate. Spaces should only be used for COBA-related situations.

SBR01—Treat as normally do.

D. COBC Processing of COB Flat Files or NCPDP Files

When a Medicare contractor receives the reject indicator "R" via the Claims Response File, it is to retransmit the entire file to the COBC. If the Medicare contractor receives an acceptance indicator "A," this confirms that its entire COB flat file or NCPDP file transmission was accepted. Once COB flat files or NCPDP files are accepted and translated into the appropriate outbound format(s), COBC will cross the claims to the COBA trading partner. The format of the Claims Response File that will be returned to each Medicare contractor by the COBC, following its COB 837 flat file or NCPDP file transmission, appears in the table below. (See §70.6.1 for specifications regarding the receipt and processing of the COBC Detailed Error Reports.)

Claims Response File Layout (80 bytes)				
Field	Name	Size	Displacement	Description
1.	Contractor Number	5	1-5	Contractor Identification Number
2.	Transaction Set Control Number/Batch Number	9	6-14	Found within the ST02 data element from the ST segment of the X12N 837 flat file or in field 806-5C from the batch header of the NCPDP file.
3.	Number of claims	9	15-23	Number of Claims contained in the X12N 837 flat file or NCPDP file. This is a numeric field that will be right justified and zero-filled.
4.	Receipt Date	8	24-31	Receipt Date of X12N 837 flat file or NCPDP file in CCYYMMDD format
5.	Accept/Reject indicator	1	32	Indicator of either the acceptance or rejection of the X12N 837 flat file or NCPDP file. Values will either be an "A" for accepted or "R" for rejected.
6.	Filler	48	33-80	Spaces

Claims response files will be returned to contractors after receipt and initial processing of a claim file. Thus, for example, if a Medicare contractor sends a COB flat file daily, the COBC will return a claim response file to that contractor on a daily basis.

COB 837 flat files and NCPDP files that will be transmitted by the Medicare contractor to the COBC will be assigned the following file names, regardless of whether a COBA trading partner is in test or production mode:

PCOB.BA.NDM.COBA.Cxxxxx.PARTA(+1) [Used for Institutional Claims]
PCOB.BA.NDM.COBA.Cxxxxx.PARTB(+1) [Used for Professional Claims]
PCOB.BA.NDM.COBA.Cxxxxx.NCPDP(+1). [Used for Drug Claims]

Note that "xxxxx" denotes the Medicare contractor number.

Medicare contractors shall perform the 837 flat file and NCPDP file transmission at the end of the regular batch cycle, when claims come off the payment floor, to ensure crossover claims are not processed by the COBA trading partner prior to Medicare's final payment.

Files transmitted by the Medicare contractor to the COBC shall be stored for 51 business days from the date of transmission.

The file names for the Claims Response File returned to the Medicare contractor will be created as part of the NDM set-up process.

Outbound COB files transmitted by COBC to the COBA trading partners will be maintained for 50 business days following the date of transmission.

E. The COBA Medigap Claim-Based Process Involving CWF

Refer to §70.6.4 of this chapter for more information regarding this process.

F. Transition to the National COBA and Customer Service Issues

1. Maintenance of Current Crossover Processes, Including Entry into New Claims Crossover Agreements (also known as Trading Partner Agreements or TPAs)

   Medicare contractors shall keep their present crossover process in place, including invoicing for claims crossed to current trading partners, as described in Pub. 100-06, Financial Management, chapter 1, §450 and §460, until each of their present trading partners has been transitioned to the COBA process. Once CMS has fully consolidated the claims crossover process under the COBC, the COBC will have exclusive responsibility for the collection of crossover claim fees for those Medigap and non-Medigap claims that are sent to the COBC to be crossed over to trading partners. The COBC will also have responsibility for distribution of the collected crossover fees to Medicare Part A contractors and Part B contractors. (See also Pub.100-06, Chapter 1, §450.)

As trading partners are signed on to national COBAs, they will be advised that it is their responsibility to simultaneously cancel current agreements with the Medicare contractors and to cease submission of eligibility files.

NOTE: During the parallel production period, the COBA trading partner will be instructed by CMS to not cancel current TPAs with you.) By current estimates, CMS expects to at least have all current eligibility file-based trading partners in test mode by end of fiscal year 2005 (September 30, 2005.

Medicare contractors shall execute new TPAs only with trading partners that will be converted to full crossover production by April 1, 2005. Therefore, CMS expects contractors to cease execution of new crossover TPAs by January 31, 2005.

Trading partners that either wish to go into live crossover production after January 31, 2005, or have current questions regarding the COBA process shall be referred to the COBC at 1-646-458-6740.

2. Workload and Crossover Financial Reporting In Light of COBA

For workload reporting purposes, Medicare contractors shall provide counts for those claims that they individually cross to current trading partners (including Medicaid), just as they currently do in CAFM II and in CROWD. Medicare contractors shall separately track claims transmitted to the COBC for crossover to the COBA trading partners for future reporting requirements by COBA ID.

Effective with October 4, 2005, contractors or their shared systems shall report the number of claims submitted to the COBC via the 837 flat files or NCPDP files to their associated contractors' financial management staff only for those BHT03 (Beginning of Hierarchical Transaction Reference Identification) indicators that include a "P" in the final position of the BHT03 (position 22).

Reports generated by the contractors or their shared systems to the contractors' financial management staff shall include like data that are submitted following receipt of the COBC Detailed Error Reports to fulfill the necessary provider notification requirements. NOTE: The Detailed Error Reports shall contain the same BHT03 identifier for purposes of reporting to financial management staff as was included by the contractor shared systems on the 837 flat file and NCPDP claim file submissions sent to the COBC.) [See §70.6.1 of this chapter for more information about the COBC Detailed Error Reports]. Minimum information for each BHT03 shall include claim counts sorted by COBA ID and shall be organized into groupings that allow for separate totals by Medicaid (COBA ID range=70000-77999), Medigap (COBA ID range=30000-54999), Supplemental (COBA ID ranges=00001-29999 and 60000-69999), and Other (COBA ID range 80000-89999), as well as grand totals for all less Medicaid.

3. Customer Service

a. COBA Parallel Production or COBA Testing Process

During the parallel production period, and while a COBA trading partner is in test mode with the COBC (Test/Production Indicator="T"), the Medicare contractor shall proceed with its current claims crossover customer service process. In addition, the Medicare contractor's claims history shall not be updated with crossover information based upon the receipt of a CWF BOI reply trailer (29).

b. Updating of the HIMR Detailed History Screens By CWF and the Larger Scale Implementation of COBA

Effective with the October 2004 release, when a COBA trading partner is in production mode (Test/Production Indicator=P), CWF shall annotate each processed claim on detailed history within the Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) with an indicator that will inform all users of the claim's crossover status. (See Pub.100-04, Chapter 27, §80.15 for more information.). CWF shall allow for repeating of the application of crossover disposition indicators for up to ten (10) COBA IDs.

In addition, CWF shall annotate each processed claim with a 10-position COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID preceded by 5 zeroes) to identify the entity to which the claim was crossed or not crossed, in accordance with the COBA.

CWF shall not annotate processed claims on the detailed history screens in HIMR when a COBA trading partner is in test mode (Test/Production Indicator=T).

Effective with the October 2004 systems release, when a COBA trading partner is in production mode, the Medicare contractor's customer service personnel shall answer provider/supplier and beneficiary questions about a claim's crossover status by referring to your internal claims history. In addition, the Medicare contractor's customer service staff shall access information regarding why a claim did not cross by referring to the detailed history screens on HIMR (e.g., INPH, OUTH, HOSH, PTBH, DMEH, and HHAH). [See Pub. 100-04, chapter 27, §80.15 for a listing of all claims crossover disposition indicators.] These screens will also display indicator "A" when a claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over to the COBA ID shown. The BOI auxiliary file will identify the name associated with the COBA ID. Such information may also be available to contractor customer service staff via the Next Generation Desktop (NGD) application.

The CWF maintainer issued instructions on the use of the new HIMR screens as part of the October 2004 release.

c. Medicare Contractors shall use the COBC and CMS COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form to identify and send COBA related problems and issues to the COB contractor for research.

In order to track trading partner requests for research of 837 X12 issues, CMS requires contractors to submit a COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form to the COBC or CMS. This process is being implemented to reduce the number of duplicate issues being researched and to ensure your requests are processed timely. The standard form enables CMS and COBC to track issues through completion and manage the process of addressing post-COBA production issues. Upon receipt the submitter shall receive a response from the COBC with the assigned contact information.

CMS is also requiring Medicare contractors to use the COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form when requesting a COBC representative to research a COBA issue. The combined COBC-CMS COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form appears below.

MEDICARE CONTRACTOR: COBA PROBLEM INQUIRY REQUEST FORM

(Completed by Submitter – control number if applicable *Write in this column only*

Contractor ID*# **(Enter the Contractor ID # assigned by CMS)***		
Contractor Reference ID (If applicable - BHT03)		
Reported By ***(Enter submitter's last name, first name)***		
Date Submitted *(Enter current date – MM/DD/YR)*		
Contact # (Enter submitter's phone #)		
E-mail Address (Enter submitter's e-mail address)		
COBA ID #		

Description of Problem (Check applicable category)

☐ **HIPAA Error Code**

ICN Date (Date file was transmitted to the COBC)	
HIPAA Error Code(s)	
Part A/Part B/NCPDP Claim	

☐ **Technical Issue** (Claims file transmission failures)

File Name	
Transmission Date	

Summary of Issue- Provide detail of problem and note if back-up information will be faxed, e.g., Sample Claims to be Faxed on MM/DD/YR. Indicate whether you would like your issue on the next HIPAA issues log **– do not include any PHI information on this form if sent via email.** All PHI information must be submitted via fax to the COBC contractor to the attention of your COBC representative at 646-458-6761. **Do not include PHI information on the fax cover sheet.** Claim examples of issues to be addressed must include the beneficiary HICN and the claim ICN/DCN.

COBC USE ONLY. Date: Ticket #:

IV. Identification of Mass Adjustments for COBA Crossover Purposes

All contractors and their systems shall develop a method for differentiating "mass adjustments tied to the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS) updates" and "all other mass adjustments" from all other kinds of adjustments and non-adjustment claims.

NOTE: For appropriate classification, all adjustments that do not represent "mass adjustments-MPFS" or "mass adjustments-other" shall be regarded as "other adjustments.") DMERCs/DME MACs and their shared system shall only be required to identify mass adjustments-other, which represents a current functionality available within VMS. This is because DMERCs/DME MACs do not use pricing from the MPFS when processing their claims.

Working Definition of "Mass Adjustment"

For COBA crossover purposes, a "mass adjustment" refers to an action that a contractor undertakes using special software (e.g., Super-Op Events or Express Adjustments) to pull claims with the anticipated purpose of making monetary changes to a high number of those claims. If, however, contractors do not have special software to perform high volume adjustments (i.e., typically adjustments to 100 or more claims), but instead must perform their high volume adjustments manually, this action also fulfills the definition of a "mass adjustment."

Inputting a One-Byte Header Value on Claim Transactions to Designate Mass Adjustment and Associated Processes

Before contractors cable their claims to CWF for verification and validation, they shall populate a 1-byte "mass adjustment" indicator in the header of their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC entry code "5" or action code "3" claim transactions. The CWF maintainer shall create a new 1-byte field within the header of its HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claims transactions for this purpose.

Contractors shall determine whether the "M" or "O" indicator applies in relation to a given claim at the point that they initiate a mass adjustment action on that claim using a manual process or an automated adjustment process; e.g., Super Op Events or Express Adjustments. Upon making this determination, the contractors and their shared systems shall populate one (1) of the following mass adjustment claim indicators, specific to the particular claim situation, within the header of the contractors' processed claims that they will cable to CWF for verification and validation:

"M"—if mass adjustment claim tied to an MPFS update; ***or***
"O"—if mass adjustment claim-other.

If contractors send values other than "M" or "O" within the newly designated field within the header of their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC entry code "5" or

action code “3” claims, CWF shall apply an edit to reject the claims back to the contractor. Upon receipt of the CWF rejection edit, the contractors’ systems shall correct the invalid value and retransmit the claims to CWF for verification and validation.

V. Special 835 ERA and MSN Requirements for Health Care Pre-Payment Plans (HCPPs) that Receive Crossover Claims

Effective January 5, 2009, at CMS’s direction, the COBC will assign all HCPP COBA participants a unique 5-byte COBA ID that falls within the range 89000 through 89999. The CWF system shall accept the reporting of this COBA ID range.

Upon receipt of a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains **only** a COBA ID in the range 89000 through 89999, the contractor’s shared system shall suppress **all** crossover information (including name of the insurer; generic message; and specific code (for 835 ERA, code MA-18; for MSN, code 35.1) indicating that the claim will be crossed over) from the associated 835 ERA and beneficiary MSN. (See §70.6.1 of this chapter for contractor requirements relating to the COBC Detailed Error Report processes and receipt of claims that contain COBA ID range 89000 through 89999.)

VI. Special Suppression Requirements for Part A Credit Claim Portion of Debit-Credit Claim Pairing

Effective with the April 2009 release, the Part A shared system shall suppress sending the credit claim portion of the debit-credit pairing (that transaction which cancels the original claim) associated with each affiliated contractor’s adjustment claims to the COBC. Upon suppressing the credit claim, the Part A contractor system shall mark the claims history of its affiliate contractor to reflect this action.