

**From:** "Ruggle, Anna"  
**To:** 'Terese Klitenic'.  
**Date:** Wed, Nov 1 2000 11:53 am  
**Subject:** RE: Iowa's SCHIP 4th Amendment

Terese,  
I have added Iowa's response to your questions.  
Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: Terese Klitenic  
sent Tuesday, October 31, 2000 12:54 PM  
To: Ruggle, Anna

Subject: Iowa's SCHIP 4th Amendment

Anna, per the voicemail message I left you today, below are the questions we have about Iowa's SCHIP amendment.

1. The plan indicates that the State is requesting enhanced match for children who are eligible under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(X) of the Act. However, optional targeted low-income children are children that are eligible under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(XIV) of the Act. Please confirm that the state is requesting coverage of optional targeted low income children who are up to 1 year of age in families with income between 185% and 200% of the FPL.

Response: Yes, the state is requesting coverage of optional targeted low income up to age 1 in families between 185% and 200% of the FPL. The wrong reference was used. It should be section 1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(XIV) of the Act.

2. Section 5.1. Please explain whether the State of Iowa received the "Covering Kids" grant from the Robert Wood Johnson (RWJ) Foundation or whether the Caring Foundation for Children received the grant from RWJ. If the Caring Foundation for Children received the RWJ Covering Kids grant, does the Caring Foundation for Children contribute any funding to the State of Iowa?

Response: The Iowa Department of Public Health received the "Covering Kids" grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and is providing community based outreach in selected areas in Iowa. The Caring Foundation for Children was disbanded in July 1999.

3. Please identify the sources of the non-Federal share of title XXI expenditures.

Response: The source for the non-Federal share of title XXI expenditures is the general state funds.

4. On the revised application, only sections 9.8.9 and 9.8.10 are Xed. Because all of the section 9.8 assurances are Xed in the currently approved plan, please clarify that the State intends to continue to comply with all of the 9.8 items.

Response: Yes, all items in section 9.8 should be Xed. There apparently was an error

in the transmission of the plan.

Please call me to confirm your receipt of these questions, at (410) 786-5942.

Thanks for your assistance.

Terese Klitenic

**cc:** "Smith, Anita"