

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
AK	ALEUTIAN	.	.	19	26.3%	29	.	49	22.4%
AK	ANCHORAGE	222	52.3%	5,033	56.7%	743	26.8%	5,998	52.9%
AK	ANGOON	.	.	.	.	13	.	23	17.4%
AK	BARROW-NORTH SLOPE	.	.	.	.	95	.	104	8.7%
AK	BETHEL	.	.	15	40.0%	229	10.5%	244	12.3%
AK	BRISTOL BAY	.	.	30	46.7%	86	25.6%	116	31.0%
AK	BRISTOL BAY BOROUGH	.	.	52	51.9%	9	.	61	45.9%
AK	CORDOVA-MC CARTHY	.	.	43	55.8%	15	.	58	48.3%
AK	FAIRBANKS	50	52.0%	1,392	55.5%	204	52.0%	1,646	55.0%
AK	HAINES	.	.	90	46.7%	17	.	107	41.1%
AK	JUNEAU	14	57.1%	764	58.6%	175	35.4%	953	54.4%
AK	KENAI-COOK INLET	.	.	1,251	54.6%	98	26.5%	1,354	52.7%
AK	KETCHIKAN	.	.	375	61.3%	67	55.2%	445	60.7%
AK	KOBUK	.	.	.	.	111	.	121	8.3%
AK	KODIAK	.	.	82	64.6%	48	35.4%	131	53.4%
AK	KUSKOKWIN	.	.	16	31.3%	59	.	75	16.0%
AK	MATANUSKA	11	54.5%	1,284	56.6%	30	.	1,325	56.0%
AK	NOME	.	.	35	40.0%	149	39.6%	184	39.7%
AK	OUTER KETCHIKAN	.	.	29	58.6%	40	40.0%	69	47.8%
AK	PRINCE OF WALES	.	.	37	43.2%	25	.	63	36.5%
AK	SEWARD	.	.	148	50.7%	17	.	165	49.7%
AK	SITKA	.	.	224	50.9%	60	33.3%	285	47.4%
AK	SKAGWAY-YAKUTAT	.	.	58	53.4%	29	.	88	42.0%
AK	SOUTHEAST FAIRBANKS	.	.	110	44.5%	27	44.4%	138	44.2%
AK	UNKNOWN	.	.	25	60.0%	2	.	30	50.0%
AK	UPPER YUKON	.	.	11	63.6%	29	55.2%	40	57.5%
AK	VALDZ-CHITNA-WHITIEF	.	.	128	39.8%	25	.	153	36.6%
AK	WADE HAMPTON	.	.	.	.	131	.	139	7.2%
AK	WRANGELL-PETERSBURG	.	.	218	50.5%	43	.	262	45.0%
AK	YUKON-KOYUKUK	.	.	39	59.0%	71	76.1%	110	70.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
AL	AUTAUGA	374	40.6%	1,763	57.8%	14	.	2,151	54.8%
AL	BALDWIN	632	37.3%	8,842	58.4%	42	47.6%	9,516	57.0%
AL	BARBOUR	613	32.0%	1,146	54.4%	4	.	1,763	46.5%
AL	BIBB	165	32.1%	942	49.3%	3	.	1,110	46.6%
AL	BLOUNT	29	37.9%	2,024	50.9%	5	.	2,058	50.9%
AL	BULLOCK	507	32.3%	271	57.6%	7	.	785	41.0%
AL	BUTLER	495	39.2%	1,255	46.7%	6	.	1,756	44.5%
AL	CALHOUN	1,094	41.4%	7,196	50.1%	42	28.6%	8,332	48.9%
AL	CHAMBERS	719	37.8%	2,293	53.3%	8	.	3,020	49.6%
AL	CHEROKEE	61	34.4%	1,371	46.0%	9	.	1,441	45.4%
AL	CHILTON	140	35.7%	1,846	49.3%	0	.	1,986	48.3%
AL	CHOCTAW	360	27.5%	722	46.0%	2	.	1,084	39.9%
AL	CLARKE	601	38.1%	1,320	50.3%	2	.	1,923	46.4%
AL	CLAY	85	29.4%	990	44.1%	5	.	1,080	43.1%
AL	CLEBURNE	29	31.0%	856	41.8%	3	.	888	41.6%
AL	COFFEE	387	47.3%	2,589	55.7%	24	54.2%	3,000	54.6%
AL	COLBERT	543	37.8%	3,614	50.3%	22	.	4,179	48.6%
AL	CONECUH	297	36.0%	691	48.6%	6	.	994	44.8%
AL	COOSA	189	30.2%	568	49.5%	1	.	758	44.6%
AL	COVINGTON	291	34.4%	3,061	53.1%	9	.	3,361	51.5%
AL	CRENSHAW	205	35.6%	992	48.8%	2	.	1,199	46.5%
AL	CULLMAN	36	36.1%	5,468	53.0%	13	.	5,517	52.8%
AL	DALE	713	46.6%	3,115	57.7%	38	.	3,866	55.3%
AL	DALLAS	1,652	31.8%	1,837	52.4%	19	.	3,508	42.5%
AL	DE KALB	52	23.1%	4,073	43.7%	14	.	4,139	43.4%
AL	ELMORE	470	37.9%	3,119	54.8%	8	.	3,597	52.6%
AL	ESCAMBIA	560	42.3%	1,996	54.5%	19	.	2,575	51.7%
AL	ETOWAH	867	31.0%	7,138	39.6%	12	.	8,017	38.7%
AL	FAYETTE	152	38.8%	1,156	50.4%	3	.	1,311	49.0%
AL	FRANKLIN	88	43.2%	2,390	39.6%	10	.	2,488	39.7%
AL	GENEVA	178	42.7%	1,966	54.0%	5	.	2,149	52.9%
AL	GREENE	482	30.3%	197	50.3%	3	.	682	35.9%
AL	HALE	564	34.4%	643	45.3%	5	.	1,212	40.2%
AL	HENRY	332	40.1%	1,006	57.7%	7	.	1,345	53.2%
AL	HOUSTON	671	52.6%	4,123	64.3%	28	46.4%	4,822	62.6%
AL	JACKSON	110	30.0%	3,486	38.0%	16	.	3,612	37.7%
AL	JEFFERSON	6,450	42.7%	25,691	57.8%	173	35.8%	32,314	54.7%
AL	LAMAR	114	35.1%	1,259	48.7%	3	.	1,376	47.5%
AL	LAUDERDALE	473	41.6%	6,155	51.7%	22	.	6,650	51.0%
AL	LAWRENCE	241	35.3%	1,515	43.4%	4	.	1,760	42.3%
AL	LEE	1,155	37.5%	3,202	56.9%	23	.	4,380	51.6%
AL	LIMESTONE	301	33.2%	2,994	46.1%	11	.	3,306	44.8%
AL	LOWNDES	405	37.0%	235	55.3%	2	.	642	43.8%
AL	MACON	1,141	38.9%	285	50.2%	6	.	1,432	41.3%
AL	MADISON	1,757	40.3%	12,040	50.5%	141	29.1%	13,938	49.0%
AL	MARENGO	631	26.0%	832	46.5%	4	.	1,467	37.6%
AL	MARION	59	37.3%	2,217	48.8%	7	.	2,283	48.4%
AL	MARSHALL	64	32.8%	6,251	45.4%	13	.	6,328	45.3%
AL	MOBILE	3,925	38.8%	13,096	54.1%	94	34.0%	17,115	50.5%
AL	MONROE	476	31.1%	1,111	52.9%	7	.	1,594	46.4%
AL	MONTGOMERY	4,234	46.4%	9,075	64.7%	85	37.6%	13,394	58.7%
AL	MORGAN	467	33.6%	6,721	53.5%	20	.	7,208	52.2%
AL	PERRY	430	27.2%	360	47.5%	4	.	794	36.4%
AL	PICKENS	547	36.0%	1,062	54.4%	6	.	1,615	48.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
AL	PIKE	591	39.4%	1,514	56.8%	7	.	2,112	51.8%
AL	RANDOLPH	237	33.3%	1,488	46.0%	5	.	1,730	44.3%
AL	RUSSELL	991	39.9%	2,382	50.3%	27	.	3,400	47.1%
AL	SHELBY	134	38.1%	3,024	56.9%	29	37.9%	3,187	55.9%
AL	ST. CLAIR	164	26.2%	2,444	47.5%	11	.	2,619	46.0%
AL	SUMTER	636	31.1%	387	44.7%	6	.	1,029	36.2%
AL	TALLADEGA	1,032	34.4%	4,109	46.2%	21	.	5,162	43.8%
AL	TALLAPOOSA	563	26.5%	2,533	51.7%	6	.	3,102	47.1%
AL	TUSCALOOSA	1,991	47.7%	6,984	61.8%	45	40.0%	9,020	58.5%
AL	UNKNOWN	.	.	61	50.8%	2	.	68	47.1%
AL	WALKER	154	40.9%	3,830	53.3%	17	.	4,001	52.9%
AL	WASHINGTON	251	42.2%	788	51.3%	10	.	1,049	48.7%
AL	WILCOX	524	32.1%	410	53.4%	5	.	939	41.5%
AL	WINSTON	12	16.7%	1,781	40.5%	5	.	1,798	40.5%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
AR	ARKANSAS	272	21.0%	1,466	39.3%	3		1,741	36.4%
AR	ASHLEY	380	28.2%	1,316	46.8%	7		1,703	42.6%
AR	BAXTER			4,629	50.5%	24	45.8%	4,660	50.5%
AR	BENTON	14	35.7%	9,798	57.7%	55	45.5%	9,867	57.6%
AR	BOONE			2,773	42.8%	12		2,788	42.8%
AR	BRADLEY	239	22.6%	834	46.4%	4		1,077	41.0%
AR	CALHOUN	52	11.5%	297	40.4%	2		351	36.2%
AR	CARROLL			1,760	46.6%	9		1,771	46.4%
AR	CHICOT	510	36.3%	588	55.6%	6		1,104	46.8%
AR	CLARK	296	27.4%	1,486	44.5%	11		1,793	41.4%
AR	CLAY			1,785	43.8%	3		1,789	43.7%
AR	CLEBURNE			2,262	52.1%	10		2,275	51.9%
AR	CLEVELAND	58	24.1%	468	41.2%	4		530	39.4%
AR	COLUMBIA	568	32.0%	1,568	44.9%	8		2,144	41.4%
AR	CONWAY	195	27.7%	1,694	46.3%	10		1,899	44.3%
AR	CRAIGHEAD	125	28.8%	4,696	51.0%	28		4,849	50.3%
AR	CRAWFORD	15	60.0%	2,098	45.7%	25		2,138	45.6%
AR	CRITTENDEN	933	27.4%	1,490	49.0%	15		2,438	40.6%
AR	CROSS	235	23.4%	1,070	39.6%	5		1,310	36.7%
AR	DALLAS	236	26.7%	539	36.9%	3		778	33.9%
AR	DESHA	417	30.9%	733	42.4%	7		1,157	38.1%
AR	DREW	215	33.0%	868	51.2%	9		1,092	47.4%
AR	FAULKNER	204	38.7%	3,803	52.1%	17		4,024	51.4%
AR	FRANKLIN			1,178	36.8%	8		1,190	37.0%
AR	FULTON			1,039	37.7%	4		1,045	37.8%
AR	GARLAND	296	26.0%	7,720	56.4%	48	33.3%	8,064	55.2%
AR	GRANT	36	33.3%	879	40.6%	5		920	40.2%
AR	GREENE			2,642	42.5%	11		2,655	42.4%
AR	HEMPSTEAD	406	23.9%	1,174	41.2%	6		1,586	36.7%
AR	HOT SPRING	157	22.9%	1,639	43.3%	10		1,806	41.5%
AR	HOWARD	158	34.2%	984	47.3%	7		1,149	45.2%
AR	INDEPENDENCE	24	37.5%	2,440	41.9%	12		2,476	41.8%
AR	IZARD			1,296	40.4%	5		1,301	40.3%
AR	JACKSON	389	37.3%	1,577	43.6%	2		1,968	42.3%
AR	JEFFERSON	1,958	37.8%	3,408	53.7%	65	43.1%	5,431	47.8%
AR	JOHNSON			1,480	46.4%	11		1,500	46.2%
AR	LAFAYETTE	216	24.1%	465	39.6%	1		682	34.6%
AR	LAWRENCE	12	25.0%	1,648	49.8%	6		1,666	49.6%
AR	LEE	415	23.4%	413	43.8%	7		835	33.5%
AR	LINCOLN	180	26.7%	565	47.1%	5		750	42.0%
AR	LITTLE RIVER	172	22.7%	787	43.7%	6		965	40.0%
AR	LOGAN			1,675	39.8%	6		1,688	39.9%
AR	LONOKE	190	20.5%	2,224	45.7%	10		2,424	43.8%
AR	MADISON			883	33.5%	1		886	33.4%
AR	MARION			1,211	42.8%	8		1,222	42.8%
AR	MILLER	464	33.2%	2,091	46.7%	9		2,564	44.1%
AR	MISSISSIPPI	621	23.5%	2,509	42.3%	14		3,144	38.7%
AR	MONROE	286	19.6%	585	40.5%	4		875	33.5%
AR	MONTGOMERY			686	39.1%	2		690	39.0%
AR	NEVADA	169	33.1%	614	44.1%	4		787	41.6%
AR	NEWTON			507	32.5%	3		510	32.5%
AR	OUACHITA	719	37.3%	1,829	48.8%	10		2,558	45.6%
AR	PERRY	12	33.3%	704	40.3%	3		719	40.2%
AR	PHILLIPS	935	19.5%	984	41.6%	14		1,933	31.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
AR	PIKE	30	33.3%	848	30.4%	2	.	880	30.6%
AR	POINSETT	116	19.0%	1,773	43.3%	13	.	1,902	41.7%
AR	POLK	.	.	1,606	41.4%	9	.	1,616	41.4%
AR	POPE	37	29.7%	3,053	42.1%	14	.	3,104	42.0%
AR	PRAIRIE	70	30.0%	608	40.5%	2	.	680	39.4%
AR	PULASKI	2,507	33.4%	15,349	57.1%	172	34.3%	18,028	53.6%
AR	RANDOLPH	.	.	1,450	42.3%	8	.	1,468	42.3%
AR	SALINE	50	20.0%	2,743	45.0%	22	.	2,815	44.5%
AR	SCOTT	.	.	785	30.8%	0	.	786	30.8%
AR	SEARCY	.	.	772	37.8%	2	.	774	37.9%
AR	SEBASTIAN	168	35.7%	5,770	51.1%	83	28.9%	6,021	50.3%
AR	SEVIER	50	38.0%	904	37.7%	19	.	973	37.8%
AR	SHARP	.	.	1,812	51.3%	2	.	1,816	51.3%
AR	ST. FRANCIS	745	20.0%	1,125	43.2%	14	.	1,884	33.9%
AR	STONE	.	.	903	42.3%	6	.	909	42.5%
AR	UNION	815	32.3%	2,977	51.3%	14	.	3,806	47.1%
AR	UNKNOWN	.	.	72	41.7%	7	.	84	38.1%
AR	VAN BUREN	.	.	1,613	49.8%	9	.	1,627	49.7%
AR	WASHINGTON	33	36.4%	7,638	49.0%	47	36.2%	7,718	48.9%
AR	WHITE	89	28.1%	4,262	43.4%	20	.	4,371	43.0%
AR	WOODRUFF	204	26.0%	531	43.7%	3	.	738	38.6%
AR	YELL	27	18.5%	1,555	32.5%	12	.	1,594	32.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
AZ	APACHE	11	27.3%	641	44.3%	1,338	7.3%	1,990	19.3%
AZ	COCHISE	51	39.2%	4,065	45.3%	347	33.7%	4,463	44.3%
AZ	COCONINO	50	42.0%	3,662	54.8%	782	15.6%	4,494	47.8%
AZ	GILA	.	.	2,608	50.8%	263	39.5%	2,875	49.8%
AZ	GRAHAM	.	.	980	41.0%	101	38.6%	1,089	40.8%
AZ	GREENLEE	.	.	255	31.0%	41	31.7%	296	31.1%
AZ	LAPAZ	.	.	924	45.8%	69	17.4%	995	43.7%
AZ	MARICOPA	1,113	48.8%	77,316	59.6%	2,002	39.7%	80,431	58.9%
AZ	MOHAVE	35	45.7%	7,615	52.2%	168	35.1%	7,818	51.8%
AZ	NAVAJO	42	35.7%	2,456	44.9%	1,048	14.7%	3,546	35.8%
AZ	PIMA	362	45.0%	23,135	61.3%	1,042	41.6%	24,539	60.2%
AZ	PINAL	63	41.3%	4,266	58.3%	320	33.1%	4,649	56.4%
AZ	SANTA CRUZ	.	.	727	46.8%	300	35.3%	1,029	43.3%
AZ	UNKNOWN	.	.	111	51.4%	19	.	134	49.3%
AZ	YAVAPAI	37	54.1%	11,708	56.3%	178	37.6%	11,923	56.0%
AZ	YUMA	52	50.0%	5,028	55.7%	431	35.7%	5,511	54.1%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
CA	ALAMEDA	4,547	37.2%	18,659	50.5%	5,800	39.8%	29,006	46.3%
CA	ALPINE			29	44.8%	6		35	45.7%
CA	AMADOR			2,272	63.2%	36	61.1%	2,313	63.1%
CA	BUTTE	88	47.7%	10,774	57.2%	265	43.0%	11,127	56.8%
CA	CALAVERAS	11	45.5%	2,473	59.8%	44	56.8%	2,528	59.7%
CA	COLUSA			895	61.6%	92	53.3%	991	60.6%
CA	CONTRA COSTA	1,385	37.6%	16,958	57.3%	1,929	44.6%	20,272	54.8%
CA	DEL NORTE			1,489	56.1%	47	55.3%	1,536	56.1%
CA	EL DORADO	17	70.6%	5,340	55.7%	154	42.2%	5,511	55.4%
CA	FRESNO	1,018	43.3%	19,469	55.0%	3,197	47.5%	23,684	53.5%
CA	GLENN			1,541	54.1%	70	44.3%	1,619	53.6%
CA	HUMBOLDT	24	62.5%	7,326	61.9%	219	47.0%	7,569	61.5%
CA	IMPERIAL	139	47.5%	3,659	46.2%	1,797	41.7%	5,595	44.8%
CA	INYO			1,502	47.3%	93	46.2%	1,596	47.3%
CA	KERN	529	42.3%	12,408	52.2%	1,488	36.6%	14,425	50.2%
CA	KINGS	125	44.0%	3,322	50.0%	444	43.7%	3,891	49.1%
CA	LAKE	107	42.1%	4,058	53.7%	72	48.6%	4,237	53.3%
CA	LASSEN	13	69.2%	1,353	49.2%	41	51.2%	1,407	49.4%
CA	LOS ANGELES	18,061	34.6%	146,342	50.9%	44,612	35.0%	209,015	46.1%
CA	MADERA	165	47.3%	3,927	53.8%	447	46.5%	4,539	52.9%
CA	MARIN	93	43.0%	7,508	57.5%	282	46.1%	7,883	56.9%
CA	MARIPOSA			839	57.4%	26	46.2%	868	57.1%
CA	MENDOCINO	15	46.7%	4,963	57.3%	131	39.7%	5,109	56.8%
CA	MERCED	210	43.8%	4,811	55.7%	642	47.8%	5,663	54.4%
CA	MODOC			613	52.9%	26		642	52.0%
CA	MONO			234	44.4%	16		252	44.0%
CA	MONTEREY	517	57.6%	14,735	57.4%	2,701	54.5%	17,953	57.0%
CA	NAPA	109	24.8%	4,049	54.7%	250	42.4%	4,408	53.2%
CA	NEVADA			6,058	59.9%	82	48.8%	6,147	59.8%
CA	ORANGE	384	41.1%	51,887	57.3%	9,816	37.4%	62,087	54.0%
CA	PLACER	21	38.1%	5,961	60.2%	232	48.7%	6,214	59.7%
CA	PLUMAS	12	50.0%	1,323	59.5%	29	41.4%	1,364	59.0%
CA	RIVERSIDE	1,003	39.3%	28,647	57.1%	2,316	36.4%	31,966	55.0%
CA	SACRAMENTO	1,390	44.0%	18,191	57.1%	2,590	47.1%	22,171	55.1%
CA	SAN BENITO			1,480	58.4%	221	55.7%	1,708	57.9%
CA	SAN BERNARDINO	1,364	35.9%	17,702	49.0%	2,430	36.4%	21,496	46.7%
CA	SAN DIEGO	1,722	42.5%	48,379	54.7%	7,158	39.0%	57,259	52.3%
CA	SAN FRANCISCO	2,217	46.1%	13,231	51.2%	10,434	39.8%	25,882	46.2%
CA	SAN JOAQUIN	631	47.1%	11,417	54.7%	1,847	44.6%	13,895	53.0%
CA	SAN LUIS OBISPO	70	52.9%	11,524	61.9%	346	42.2%	11,940	61.3%
CA	SAN MATEO	561	46.5%	13,688	56.8%	2,674	47.0%	16,923	54.9%
CA	SANTA BARBARA	164	47.6%	12,556	58.9%	970	50.0%	13,690	58.1%
CA	SANTA CLARA	540	40.6%	24,128	52.4%	7,770	39.5%	32,438	49.1%
CA	SANTA CRUZ	42	47.6%	8,235	57.2%	683	49.6%	8,960	56.6%
CA	SHASTA	51	43.1%	11,808	56.4%	203	54.2%	12,062	56.3%
CA	SIERRA			245	53.9%	3		251	54.2%
CA	SISKIYOU	53	56.6%	3,707	60.7%	85	52.9%	3,845	60.5%
CA	SOLANO	612	32.4%	4,565	44.7%	969	33.6%	6,146	41.7%
CA	SONOMA	86	45.3%	12,032	53.7%	471	47.3%	12,589	53.4%
CA	STANISLAUS	114	40.4%	8,382	49.8%	745	40.4%	9,241	48.9%
CA	SUTTER	39	56.4%	3,733	59.7%	378	45.8%	4,150	58.4%
CA	TEHAMA			3,441	53.6%	69	47.8%	3,519	53.5%
CA	TRINITY			890	51.7%	15		905	51.7%
CA	TULARE	192	42.7%	13,376	53.7%	1,727	43.4%	15,295	52.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
CA	TUOLUMNE	.	.	3,363	63.1%	46	52.2%	3,416	63.0%
CA	UNKNOWN	22	31.8%	224	42.9%	39	28.2%	285	40.0%
CA	VENTURA	238	35.7%	17,286	54.2%	1,813	38.4%	19,337	52.5%
CA	YOLO	48	45.8%	2,868	57.6%	291	47.1%	3,207	56.5%
CA	YUBA	47	53.2%	2,466	54.1%	169	46.2%	2,682	53.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
CO	ADAMS	127	36.2%	4,717	49.1%	322	42.5%	5,166	48.4%
CO	ALAMOSA	.	.	493	40.6%	79	40.5%	572	40.6%
CO	ARAPAHOE	185	36.2%	8,171	56.3%	211	42.7%	8,567	55.6%
CO	ARCHULETA	.	.	410	45.1%	17	.	428	44.2%
CO	BACA	.	.	486	44.7%	10	.	496	44.2%
CO	BENT	.	.	303	46.2%	34	.	339	44.0%
CO	BOULDER	34	32.4%	6,466	54.8%	150	47.3%	6,650	54.6%
CO	CHAFFEE	.	.	1,169	55.2%	32	53.1%	1,202	55.1%
CO	CHEYENNE	.	.	177	46.9%	2	.	179	46.9%
CO	CLEAR CREEK	.	.	157	47.8%	0	.	157	47.8%
CO	CONEJOS	.	.	342	26.6%	106	29.2%	448	27.2%
CO	COSTILLA	.	.	171	34.5%	60	25.0%	234	32.5%
CO	CROWLEY	.	.	203	46.8%	17	.	220	45.9%
CO	CUSTER	.	.	176	55.7%	3	.	180	55.0%
CO	DELTA	.	.	1,455	50.9%	27	40.7%	1,484	50.7%
CO	DENVER	1,348	42.0%	11,804	51.6%	778	39.5%	13,930	50.0%
CO	DOLORES	.	.	142	37.3%	1	.	143	37.1%
CO	DOUGLAS	.	.	1,039	53.4%	13	.	1,054	53.2%
CO	EAGLE	.	.	364	52.2%	24	.	389	49.9%
CO	EL PASO	331	40.8%	11,030	51.0%	349	41.3%	11,710	50.4%
CO	ELBERT	.	.	299	51.8%	1	.	301	51.8%
CO	FREMONT	.	.	2,319	54.2%	35	48.6%	2,357	54.2%
CO	GARFIELD	.	.	1,397	52.0%	24	45.8%	1,421	51.9%
CO	GILPIN	.	.	32	43.8%	1	.	33	42.4%
CO	GRAND	.	.	339	52.2%	2	.	341	51.9%
CO	GUNNISON	.	.	364	47.0%	7	.	371	47.2%
CO	HINSDALE	.	.	27	55.6%	0	.	27	55.6%
CO	HUERFANO	.	.	398	44.5%	46	41.3%	444	44.1%
CO	JACKSON	.	.	91	44.0%	0	.	91	44.0%
CO	JEFFERSON	29	44.8%	9,559	52.9%	217	47.9%	9,805	52.8%
CO	KIOWA	.	.	144	33.3%	1	.	145	33.1%
CO	KIT CARSON	.	.	550	44.2%	3	.	553	44.1%
CO	LA PLATA	.	.	1,613	51.0%	65	32.3%	1,679	50.2%
CO	LAKE	.	.	189	40.2%	15	.	204	41.2%
CO	LARIMER	14	35.7%	9,561	58.9%	173	54.3%	9,748	58.8%
CO	LAS ANIMAS	.	.	978	47.4%	115	42.6%	1,095	47.0%
CO	LINCOLN	.	.	364	52.7%	1	.	366	52.7%
CO	LOGAN	.	.	1,459	56.5%	25	48.0%	1,486	56.3%
CO	MESA	.	.	4,015	59.3%	91	46.2%	4,110	59.0%
CO	MINERAL	.	.	38	47.4%	1	.	39	46.2%
CO	MOFFAT	.	.	570	53.9%	9	.	579	53.7%
CO	MONTEZUMA	.	.	1,370	49.3%	52	21.2%	1,422	48.3%
CO	MONTROSE	.	.	1,512	60.6%	34	47.1%	1,549	60.2%
CO	MORGAN	.	.	1,569	55.2%	47	40.4%	1,616	54.8%
CO	OTERO	.	.	1,337	46.5%	157	43.3%	1,498	46.1%
CO	OURAY	.	.	140	60.7%	1	.	142	60.6%
CO	PARK	.	.	237	48.5%	1	.	239	48.5%
CO	PHILLIPS	.	.	446	63.7%	5	.	451	63.9%
CO	PITKIN	.	.	292	65.4%	3	.	295	65.4%
CO	PROWERS	.	.	872	45.4%	38	34.2%	910	44.9%
CO	PUEBLO	82	52.4%	5,047	52.1%	401	47.1%	5,530	51.7%
CO	RIO BLANCO	.	.	249	48.6%	1	.	250	48.8%
CO	RIO GRANDE	.	.	617	38.7%	69	30.4%	686	37.9%
CO	ROUTT	.	.	442	47.5%	2	.	445	47.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
CO	SAGUACHE	.	.	219	44.3%	30	43.3%	250	44.0%
CO	SAN JUAN	.	.	15	33.3%	3	.	18	38.9%
CO	SAN MIGUEL	.	.	77	46.8%	1	.	78	46.2%
CO	SEDGWICK	.	.	282	49.3%	12	.	295	49.2%
CO	SUMMIT	.	.	239	56.1%	4	.	243	56.0%
CO	TELLER	.	.	411	49.1%	8	.	419	48.4%
CO	UNKNOWN	.	.	55	45.5%	12	.	67	43.3%
CO	WASHINGTON	.	.	398	55.5%	2	.	400	55.3%
CO	WELD	.	.	5,809	50.5%	264	38.3%	6,081	49.9%
CO	YUMA	.	.	788	47.3%	3	.	792	47.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
CT	FAIRFIELD	2,155	38.3%	39,334	47.3%	1,080	40.5%	42,569	46.7%
CT	HARTFORD	2,215	48.3%	43,190	53.4%	1,094	44.8%	46,499	52.9%
CT	LITCHFIELD	53	52.8%	10,524	52.2%	106	43.4%	10,683	52.1%
CT	MIDDLESEX	160	48.1%	8,593	54.6%	69	53.6%	8,822	54.5%
CT	NEW HAVEN	1,970	46.9%	39,596	50.2%	730	42.9%	42,296	49.9%
CT	NEW LONDON	425	48.0%	15,885	55.6%	204	47.1%	16,514	55.3%
CT	TOLLAND	35	60.0%	5,198	54.0%	42	52.4%	5,275	54.1%
CT	UNKNOWN			33	33.3%	7		41	29.3%
CT	WINDHAM	41	39.0%	5,716	50.3%	66	37.9%	5,823	50.1%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	18,057	45.7%	7,626	51.0%	853	36.5%	26,536	46.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
DE	KENT	822	46.0%	5,419	55.5%	129	45.0%	6,370	54.0%
DE	NEW CASTLE	3,002	49.0%	22,968	52.7%	497	50.9%	26,467	52.3%
DE	SUSSEX	1,153	48.7%	11,968	62.0%	138	55.1%	13,259	60.8%
DE	UNKNOWN	.	.	41	48.8%	4	.	46	50.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
FL	ALACHUA	1,207	46.2%	7,324	63.1%	133	48.1%	8,664	60.5%
FL	BAKER	45	31.1%	521	56.8%	2		568	54.9%
FL	BAY	651	38.6%	8,486	61.3%	111	48.6%	9,248	59.5%
FL	BRADFORD	132	40.9%	850	57.9%	9		991	55.4%
FL	BREVARD	1,216	44.3%	29,552	58.8%	569	44.8%	31,337	57.9%
FL	BROWARD	2,136	39.3%	54,993	58.5%	1,883	41.1%	59,012	57.3%
FL	CALHOUN	81	50.6%	757	52.6%	6		844	52.3%
FL	CHARLOTTE	404	62.6%	13,875	62.1%	201	49.8%	14,480	62.0%
FL	CITRUS	161	45.3%	14,690	61.1%	152	52.6%	15,003	60.9%
FL	CLAY	124	29.0%	3,752	58.0%	75	40.0%	3,951	56.7%
FL	COLLIER	202	45.0%	20,321	68.0%	353	43.6%	20,876	67.3%
FL	COLUMBIA	336	44.9%	2,522	58.1%	29	41.4%	2,887	56.4%
FL	DADE	4,285	37.4%	43,851	43.2%	21,004	35.0%	69,140	40.4%
FL	DE SOTO	123	42.3%	1,644	59.7%	15		1,782	58.5%
FL	DIXIE	34	23.5%	639	45.4%	3		676	44.4%
FL	DUVAL	4,269	46.4%	20,276	58.0%	577	39.9%	25,122	55.6%
FL	ESCAMBIA	2,334	45.3%	13,371	60.0%	241	41.1%	15,946	57.5%
FL	FLAGLER	340	65.9%	3,394	65.6%	69	49.3%	3,803	65.3%
FL	FRANKLIN	88	25.0%	627	41.0%	4		719	38.8%
FL	GADSDEN	1,123	37.9%	1,281	51.8%	14		2,418	45.2%
FL	GILCHRIST	25	20.0%	698	50.0%	4		727	48.8%
FL	GLADES	15	20.0%	289	48.8%	4		308	47.4%
FL	GULF	132	43.9%	851	54.4%	9		992	52.9%
FL	HAMILTON	169	29.6%	497	50.5%	6		672	45.1%
FL	HARDEE	59	22.0%	1,194	49.6%	51	33.3%	1,304	47.7%
FL	HENDRY	174	37.4%	1,074	44.8%	75	37.3%	1,323	43.4%
FL	HERNANDO	231	49.8%	12,023	65.5%	145	55.9%	12,399	65.1%
FL	HIGHLANDS	335	29.3%	10,793	57.9%	215	47.9%	11,343	56.9%
FL	HILLSBOROUGH	2,497	38.4%	30,281	54.1%	1,854	45.7%	34,632	52.5%
FL	HOLMES	54	29.6%	1,330	44.7%	9		1,393	44.1%
FL	INDIAN RIVER	401	42.1%	13,567	63.2%	105	54.3%	14,073	62.5%
FL	JACKSON	771	41.5%	2,638	54.1%	23		3,432	51.1%
FL	JEFFERSON	292	29.5%	459	46.4%	6		757	40.0%
FL	LAFAYETTE	18	22.2%	264	45.8%	1		283	44.5%
FL	LAKE	844	42.9%	24,140	64.1%	254	57.9%	25,238	63.4%
FL	LEE	535	43.6%	30,587	63.7%	395	47.1%	31,517	63.1%
FL	LEON	1,606	47.9%	6,217	58.6%	98	49.0%	7,921	56.3%
FL	LEVY	166	36.1%	1,716	52.6%	32	37.5%	1,914	50.9%
FL	LIBERTY	42	33.3%	267	48.3%	2		311	46.3%
FL	MADISON	403	39.7%	869	51.9%	12		1,284	48.2%
FL	MANATEE	556	41.9%	21,357	61.7%	190	44.7%	22,103	61.0%
FL	MARION	1,756	50.3%	26,300	64.2%	420	55.2%	28,476	63.2%
FL	MARTIN	154	39.6%	11,918	63.1%	100	48.0%	12,172	62.7%
FL	MONROE	145	33.1%	3,208	50.4%	144	29.9%	3,497	48.8%
FL	NASSAU	133	36.1%	1,742	57.0%	11		1,886	55.5%
FL	OKALOOSA	312	48.4%	9,264	61.8%	198	54.5%	9,774	61.2%
FL	OKEECHOBEE	62	25.8%	2,314	49.5%	35	45.7%	2,411	48.9%
FL	ORANGE	2,181	41.2%	21,801	56.0%	1,425	42.0%	25,407	53.9%
FL	OSCEOLA	209	36.8%	3,901	51.0%	378	39.9%	4,488	49.4%
FL	PALM BEACH	1,434	42.4%	70,225	69.0%	1,219	48.4%	72,878	68.1%
FL	PASCO	173	45.1%	21,563	61.5%	231	51.9%	21,967	61.3%
FL	PINELLAS	1,632	42.6%	56,950	58.5%	729	46.1%	59,311	57.9%
FL	POLK	2,494	42.0%	34,325	58.3%	509	47.5%	37,328	57.1%
FL	PUTNAM	559	44.4%	4,543	60.4%	62	37.1%	5,164	58.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
FL	SANTA ROSA	111	30.6%	4,649	60.5%	56	46.4%	4,816	59.6%
FL	SARASOTA	640	47.3%	41,720	65.3%	333	52.9%	42,693	64.9%
FL	SEMINOLE	676	43.9%	8,666	55.8%	349	51.3%	9,691	54.8%
FL	ST. JOHNS	382	47.1%	7,094	61.1%	90	44.4%	7,566	60.2%
FL	ST. LUCIE	1,022	44.4%	12,660	60.7%	233	53.6%	13,915	59.3%
FL	SUMTER	210	43.3%	3,140	57.4%	25	44.0%	3,375	56.4%
FL	SUWANNEE	207	42.5%	1,906	54.9%	17		2,130	53.6%
FL	TAYLOR	166	27.7%	1,048	49.4%	6		1,220	46.5%
FL	UNION	52	42.3%	376	59.8%	4		432	57.6%
FL	UNKNOWN	16	37.5%	380	51.3%	36		432	48.1%
FL	VOLUSIA	1,003	44.7%	25,743	60.0%	395	50.1%	27,141	59.2%
FL	WAKULLA	81	56.8%	666	52.3%	2		749	52.7%
FL	WALTON	104	24.0%	1,878	51.4%	10		1,992	49.8%
FL	WASHINGTON	143	33.6%	1,274	47.3%	11		1,428	46.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
GA	APPLING	147	36.1%	791	43.6%	1	.	939	42.4%
GA	ATKINSON	76	31.6%	318	41.8%	1	.	395	39.7%
GA	BACON	42	19.0%	546	40.1%	0	.	588	38.6%
GA	BAKER	89	28.1%	111	54.1%	1	.	201	42.3%
GA	BALDWIN	605	36.9%	1,467	57.1%	15	.	2,087	51.1%
GA	BANKS	13	30.8%	535	38.3%	4	.	552	37.9%
GA	BARROW	176	40.3%	1,853	52.9%	21	.	2,050	51.5%
GA	BARTOW	257	31.9%	3,117	42.6%	18	.	3,392	41.7%
GA	BEN HILL	293	25.3%	966	41.0%	10	.	1,269	37.1%
GA	BERRIEN	82	34.1%	931	49.2%	5	.	1,018	47.8%
GA	BIBB	3,333	40.6%	7,619	61.9%	57	42.1%	11,009	55.4%
GA	BLECKLEY	123	34.1%	705	50.4%	0	.	828	47.9%
GA	BRANTLEY	29	37.9%	536	48.3%	5	.	570	47.4%
GA	BROOKS	323	36.5%	670	52.2%	4	.	997	46.9%
GA	BRYAN	122	30.3%	824	50.0%	4	.	950	47.4%
GA	BULLOCH	488	25.2%	1,800	49.4%	12	.	2,300	44.2%
GA	BURKE	514	34.0%	674	47.3%	2	.	1,190	41.6%
GA	BUTTS	215	19.1%	811	41.6%	7	.	1,033	36.8%
GA	CALHOUN	220	36.4%	290	54.5%	2	.	512	46.5%
GA	CAMDEN	230	53.5%	757	57.9%	12	.	999	56.9%
GA	CANDLER	145	31.0%	464	43.3%	2	.	611	40.3%
GA	CARROLL	447	34.7%	4,250	44.8%	28	39.3%	4,725	43.8%
GA	CATOOSA	24	45.8%	2,104	47.7%	4	.	2,132	47.6%
GA	CHARLTON	107	42.1%	378	52.1%	2	.	487	49.9%
GA	CHATHAM	4,502	46.4%	9,955	61.2%	148	41.2%	14,605	56.4%
GA	CHATTAHOOCHEE	38	42.1%	68	52.9%	1	.	107	49.5%
GA	CHATTOOGA	100	25.0%	1,778	42.1%	3	.	1,881	41.2%
GA	CHEROKEE	73	39.7%	3,658	51.3%	25	48.0%	3,756	51.0%
GA	CLARKE	959	38.5%	3,285	58.7%	35	48.6%	4,279	54.1%
GA	CLAY	134	41.8%	150	54.7%	0	.	284	48.6%
GA	CLAYTON	984	34.9%	3,952	47.6%	90	24.4%	5,026	44.7%
GA	CLINCH	71	36.6%	287	48.1%	1	.	359	46.0%
GA	COBB	913	35.4%	16,313	52.6%	218	29.8%	17,444	51.4%
GA	COFFEE	284	26.4%	1,563	41.8%	8	.	1,855	39.4%
GA	COLQUITT	416	32.7%	2,254	53.2%	15	.	2,685	49.9%
GA	COLUMBIA	345	33.6%	3,171	52.3%	79	43.0%	3,595	50.3%
GA	COOK	203	36.9%	798	49.1%	3	.	1,004	46.7%
GA	COWETA	567	27.7%	2,852	44.6%	11	.	3,430	41.8%
GA	CRAWFORD	142	22.5%	172	50.0%	3	.	317	37.5%
GA	CRISP	382	42.4%	1,032	59.6%	5	.	1,419	55.0%
GA	DADE	.	.	947	43.9%	5	.	957	44.1%
GA	DAWSON	.	.	650	54.6%	4	.	655	55.0%
GA	DE KALB	4,446	40.1%	14,467	57.3%	309	34.3%	19,222	53.0%
GA	DECATUR	592	28.7%	1,228	50.9%	5	.	1,825	43.6%
GA	DODGE	226	31.9%	953	44.6%	5	.	1,184	42.2%
GA	DOOLY	231	33.3%	483	54.7%	1	.	715	47.8%
GA	DOUGHERTY	2,088	49.4%	3,725	62.8%	38	42.1%	5,851	57.9%
GA	DOUGLAS	157	35.7%	2,632	49.5%	19	.	2,808	48.5%
GA	EARLY	307	36.2%	624	44.6%	2	.	933	41.7%
GA	ECHOLS	.	.	50	68.0%	0	.	55	65.5%
GA	EFFINGHAM	179	43.6%	1,095	53.5%	5	.	1,279	52.2%
GA	ELBERT	297	34.0%	1,274	43.3%	5	.	1,576	41.6%
GA	EMANUEL	346	34.7%	1,180	43.9%	1	.	1,527	41.8%
GA	EVANS	177	29.9%	482	48.5%	2	.	661	43.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
GA	FANNIN			1,715	45.1%	11		1,728	44.9%
GA	FAYETTE	111	41.4%	2,827	57.2%	36		2,974	56.3%
GA	FLOYD	559	43.6%	5,696	50.6%	22		6,277	49.9%
GA	FORSYTH			2,107	50.1%	12		2,125	50.1%
GA	FRANKLIN	113	28.3%	1,570	45.6%	10		1,693	44.3%
GA	FULTON	9,703	41.5%	16,824	54.3%	310	34.8%	26,837	49.4%
GA	GILMER			1,382	38.6%	5		1,387	38.5%
GA	GLASCOCK	41	22.0%	204	39.7%	0		245	36.7%
GA	GLYNN	870	47.9%	3,873	61.5%	30	50.0%	4,773	59.0%
GA	GORDON	74	28.4%	2,278	41.0%	10		2,362	40.6%
GA	GRADY	352	49.1%	1,116	63.6%	3		1,471	60.2%
GA	GREENE	300	15.3%	686	41.0%	5		991	33.0%
GA	GWINNETT	388	34.0%	10,227	52.1%	221	24.9%	10,836	50.9%
GA	HABERSHAM	47	27.7%	2,330	53.5%	12		2,389	52.9%
GA	HALL	339	36.9%	6,042	52.7%	40	35.0%	6,421	51.7%
GA	HANCOCK	395	38.2%	219	47.5%	3		617	41.5%
GA	HARALSON	62	29.0%	1,615	38.6%	2		1,679	38.3%
GA	HARRIS	299	31.8%	859	49.4%	5		1,163	44.8%
GA	HART	157	29.3%	1,288	45.4%	8		1,453	43.6%
GA	HEARD	57	29.8%	405	42.7%	2		464	40.9%
GA	HENRY	313	32.3%	3,005	52.2%	22	50.0%	3,340	50.3%
GA	HOUSTON	623	42.2%	3,930	58.4%	45	46.7%	4,598	56.1%
GA	IRWIN	120	26.7%	444	47.1%	3		567	42.9%
GA	JACKSON	126	26.2%	2,075	42.0%	10		2,211	41.0%
GA	JASPER	176	35.8%	384	54.4%	3		563	48.7%
GA	JEFF DAVIS	99	50.5%	644	46.9%	1		744	47.4%
GA	JEFFERSON	564	34.9%	792	50.1%	3		1,359	43.7%
GA	JENKINS	201	19.4%	389	42.7%	1		591	34.7%
GA	JOHNSON	130	31.5%	480	56.7%	3		613	51.1%
GA	JONES	208	35.1%	482	57.1%	5		695	50.6%
GA	LAMAR	232	33.2%	711	43.6%	4		947	41.2%
GA	LANIER	44	27.3%	271	53.1%	1		316	49.4%
GA	LAURENS	769	36.2%	2,181	55.9%	11		2,961	50.7%
GA	LEE	150	53.3%	509	55.0%	3		662	54.7%
GA	LIBERTY	427	38.2%	652	45.4%	21		1,100	42.4%
GA	LINCOLN	132	29.5%	430	47.2%	1		563	43.2%
GA	LONG	47	42.6%	202	46.5%	1		250	45.6%
GA	LOWNDES	1,118	48.9%	3,275	64.1%	30	40.0%	4,423	60.1%
GA	LUMPKIN	18	22.2%	808	51.4%	2		828	50.7%
GA	MACON	378	29.1%	394	49.5%	8		780	39.4%
GA	MADISON	151	26.5%	1,277	44.2%	6		1,434	42.3%
GA	MARION	135	27.4%	156	41.7%	1		292	34.9%
GA	MC DUFFIE	355	25.6%	925	47.4%	6		1,286	41.4%
GA	MC INTOSH	212	48.6%	323	59.4%	3		538	55.2%
GA	MERIWETHER	422	25.6%	1,005	39.9%	8		1,435	35.5%
GA	MILLER	103	26.2%	407	41.3%	0		510	38.2%
GA	MITCHELL	488	33.2%	928	55.2%	5		1,421	47.6%
GA	MONROE	295	26.8%	660	37.6%	4		959	34.2%
GA	MONTGOMERY	78	33.3%	349	44.4%	2		429	42.2%
GA	MORGAN	234	42.7%	685	55.0%	3		922	51.8%
GA	MURRAY			1,300	42.8%	7		1,311	42.9%
GA	MUSCOGEE	3,102	39.6%	8,144	51.2%	146	33.6%	11,392	47.8%
GA	NEWTON	340	32.4%	2,035	57.1%	16		2,391	53.5%
GA	OCONEE	68	50.0%	974	51.3%	11		1,053	50.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
GA	OGLETHORPE	83	28.9%	357	49.0%	1	.	441	45.1%
GA	PAULDING	63	27.0%	1,656	41.5%	9	.	1,728	40.9%
GA	PEACH	457	46.0%	875	55.8%	8	.	1,340	52.2%
GA	PICKENS	20	25.0%	1,160	46.4%	5	.	1,185	46.2%
GA	PIERCE	94	48.9%	860	45.1%	11	.	965	45.3%
GA	PIKE	124	30.6%	605	45.0%	3	.	732	42.8%
GA	POLK	271	36.5%	2,426	45.8%	13	.	2,710	44.8%
GA	PULASKI	190	47.4%	468	55.3%	0	.	658	53.0%
GA	PUTNAM	213	32.4%	836	56.0%	5	.	1,054	51.1%
GA	QUITMAN	90	30.0%	157	53.5%	0	.	247	44.9%
GA	RABUN			1,187	46.3%	3	.	1,197	46.0%
GA	RANDOLPH	324	40.7%	322	52.2%	1	.	647	46.4%
GA	RICHMOND	3,497	39.2%	6,784	50.4%	182	29.1%	10,463	46.3%
GA	ROCKDALE	169	36.7%	2,173	57.8%	23	.	2,365	56.2%
GA	SCHLEY	72	43.1%	153	52.9%	1	.	226	49.6%
GA	SCREVEN	336	42.3%	717	54.3%	3	.	1,056	50.5%
GA	SEMINOLE	173	17.9%	597	48.6%	2	.	772	41.7%
GA	SPALDING	585	25.1%	2,250	41.8%	12	.	2,847	38.3%
GA	STEPHENS	203	39.9%	2,120	52.3%	15	.	2,338	51.2%
GA	STEWART	212	29.7%	207	40.6%	0	.	419	35.1%
GA	SUMTER	674	30.4%	1,337	53.0%	14	.	2,025	45.5%
GA	TALBOT	211	31.3%	214	48.1%	3	.	428	39.7%
GA	TALIAFERRO	75	20.0%	95	36.8%	1	.	171	29.2%
GA	TATTNALL	215	30.2%	1,054	43.5%	3	.	1,272	41.3%
GA	TAYLOR	182	33.0%	403	46.2%	2	.	587	41.9%
GA	TELFAIR	247	25.9%	716	41.2%	1	.	964	37.2%
GA	TERRELL	320	42.2%	427	53.9%	3	.	750	48.9%
GA	THOMAS	906	40.0%	2,302	59.7%	12	.	3,220	54.1%
GA	TIFT	433	36.0%	1,895	55.9%	12	.	2,340	52.2%
GA	TOOMBS	297	35.0%	1,404	44.2%	10	.	1,711	42.7%
GA	TOWNS			1,006	48.6%	7	.	1,013	48.7%
GA	TREUTLEN	102	25.5%	327	52.6%	1	.	430	46.3%
GA	TROUP	947	35.3%	3,187	49.1%	23	.	4,157	45.9%
GA	TURNER	142	43.7%	536	55.6%	2	.	680	53.1%
GA	TWIGGS	240	31.3%	294	55.4%	4	.	538	44.4%
GA	UNION			1,587	52.7%	4	.	1,593	52.7%
GA	UNKNOWN	38	26.3%	147	42.2%	15	.	200	38.0%
GA	UPSON	370	31.6%	1,713	41.0%	7	.	2,090	39.3%
GA	WALKER	139	32.4%	4,676	41.7%	22	.	4,837	41.3%
GA	WALTON	316	31.0%	2,597	47.6%	14	.	2,927	45.7%
GA	WARE	554	44.4%	2,333	54.9%	35	45.7%	2,922	52.8%
GA	WARREN	217	32.3%	252	47.6%	2	.	471	40.6%
GA	WASHINGTON	566	47.9%	800	59.5%	6	.	1,372	54.7%
GA	WAYNE	173	27.2%	1,312	42.6%	10	.	1,495	40.7%
GA	WEBSTER	50	20.0%	86	46.5%	0	.	136	36.8%
GA	WHEELER	79	41.8%	302	44.4%	0	.	381	43.8%
GA	WHITE	18	33.3%	1,278	51.4%	10	.	1,306	51.1%
GA	WHITFIELD	154	28.6%	4,355	47.1%	21	.	4,530	46.4%
GA	WILCOX	112	33.9%	475	53.1%	1	.	588	49.3%
GA	WILKES	356	28.9%	588	41.0%	7	.	951	36.3%
GA	WILKINSON	195	39.0%	460	56.3%	3	.	658	51.2%
GA	WORTH	274	28.1%	761	47.3%	4	.	1,039	42.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
HI	HAWAII	18	16.7%	2,056	52.2%	3,248	53.7%	5,322	53.0%
HI	HONOLULU	165	40.0%	5,812	45.7%	23,636	52.1%	29,613	50.8%
HI	KALAWAO	.	.	.	.	14	78.6%	18	77.8%
HI	KAUAI	11	27.3%	673	57.8%	1,990	61.4%	2,674	60.4%
HI	MAUI	19	36.8%	1,064	47.8%	1,734	44.1%	2,817	45.4%
HI	UNKNOWN	.	.	24	62.5%	3	.	28	60.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
IA	ADAIR	.	.	792	40.2%	1	.	793	40.1%
IA	ADAMS	.	.	474	52.3%	1	.	475	52.2%
IA	ALLAMAKEE	.	.	1,296	46.8%	7	.	1,303	46.7%
IA	APPANOOSE	.	.	1,390	37.1%	4	.	1,400	36.9%
IA	AUDUBON	.	.	808	49.0%	4	.	813	48.7%
IA	BENTON	.	.	1,879	50.5%	14	.	1,895	50.3%
IA	BLACK HAWK	328	49.7%	7,249	60.9%	46	39.1%	7,623	60.3%
IA	BOONE	.	.	2,050	53.5%	26	.	2,078	53.0%
IA	BREMER	.	.	1,915	49.0%	13	.	1,929	48.9%
IA	BUCHANAN	.	.	1,510	50.9%	11	.	1,522	50.9%
IA	BUENA VISTA	.	.	1,846	54.8%	10	.	1,858	54.6%
IA	BUTLER	.	.	1,572	54.2%	8	.	1,580	54.2%
IA	CALHOUN	.	.	1,268	49.1%	5	.	1,273	49.0%
IA	CARROLL	.	.	2,128	53.6%	2	.	2,131	53.6%
IA	CASS	.	.	1,631	51.2%	3	.	1,635	51.1%
IA	CEDAR	.	.	1,386	53.0%	7	.	1,394	52.7%
IA	CERRO GORDO	12	66.7%	4,268	59.2%	37	35.1%	4,317	59.0%
IA	CHEROKEE	.	.	1,310	53.9%	8	.	1,318	53.9%
IA	CHICKASAW	.	.	1,164	57.6%	3	.	1,167	57.6%
IA	CLARKE	.	.	750	43.5%	2	.	752	43.5%
IA	CLAY	.	.	1,619	52.3%	9	.	1,630	52.3%
IA	CLAYTON	.	.	1,590	50.5%	6	.	1,597	50.5%
IA	CLINTON	26	34.6%	4,010	51.8%	29	41.4%	4,065	51.7%
IA	CRAWFORD	.	.	1,466	40.2%	12	.	1,478	40.1%
IA	DALLAS	.	.	2,273	47.8%	10	.	2,289	47.7%
IA	DAVIS	.	.	706	47.3%	3	.	711	47.3%
IA	DECATUR	.	.	788	35.9%	2	.	792	36.0%
IA	DELAWARE	.	.	1,222	48.0%	4	.	1,226	48.0%
IA	DES MOINES	45	33.3%	3,596	50.8%	32	37.5%	3,673	50.4%
IA	DICKINSON	.	.	1,727	47.6%	3	.	1,731	47.6%
IA	DUBUQUE	.	.	4,552	62.9%	26	46.2%	4,585	62.7%
IA	EMMET	.	.	1,153	48.6%	3	.	1,156	48.5%
IA	FAYETTE	.	.	2,029	45.8%	22	.	2,053	45.6%
IA	FLOYD	.	.	1,624	51.2%	4	.	1,629	51.1%
IA	FRANKLIN	.	.	1,052	52.9%	5	.	1,057	52.8%
IA	FREMONT	.	.	783	41.8%	4	.	787	41.8%
IA	GREENE	.	.	1,150	46.5%	4	.	1,154	46.4%
IA	GRUNDY	.	.	1,194	55.2%	9	.	1,204	55.0%
IA	GUTHRIE	.	.	1,197	45.7%	4	.	1,201	45.7%
IA	HAMILTON	.	.	1,471	60.0%	9	.	1,480	59.9%
IA	HANCOCK	.	.	1,081	59.1%	6	.	1,088	59.0%
IA	HARDIN	.	.	2,105	54.7%	11	.	2,118	54.8%
IA	HARRISON	.	.	1,384	48.8%	2	.	1,388	48.8%
IA	HENRY	.	.	1,612	50.4%	13	.	1,627	50.2%
IA	HOWARD	.	.	957	58.8%	3	.	961	58.6%
IA	HUMBOLDT	.	.	1,076	54.6%	2	.	1,080	54.5%
IA	IDA	.	.	860	46.0%	3	.	863	46.0%
IA	IOWA	.	.	1,356	48.0%	8	.	1,364	47.9%
IA	JACKSON	.	.	1,529	50.7%	12	.	1,541	50.7%
IA	JASPER	.	.	2,823	48.8%	11	.	2,835	48.7%
IA	JEFFERSON	.	.	1,133	51.7%	5	.	1,142	51.7%
IA	JOHNSON	19	57.9%	3,910	57.7%	42	42.9%	3,971	57.6%
IA	JONES	.	.	1,560	50.3%	7	.	1,569	50.2%
IA	KEOKUK	.	.	1,239	43.5%	4	.	1,243	43.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
IA	KOSSUTH	.	.	1,696	61.3%	5	.	1,702	61.2%
IA	LEE	40	40.0%	2,992	48.2%	29	44.8%	3,061	48.1%
IA	LINN	112	43.8%	11,868	58.3%	82	45.1%	12,062	58.1%
IA	LOUISA	.	.	845	52.8%	7	.	853	52.5%
IA	LUCAS	.	.	936	40.4%	4	.	941	40.2%
IA	LYON	.	.	1,091	49.9%	5	.	1,096	49.7%
IA	MADISON	.	.	1,002	47.8%	2	.	1,004	47.8%
IA	MAHASKA	.	.	1,836	46.6%	7	.	1,846	46.4%
IA	MARION	.	.	2,457	51.2%	12	.	2,473	51.2%
IA	MARSHALL	.	.	3,113	49.4%	26	46.2%	3,148	49.3%
IA	MILLS	.	.	882	48.8%	5	.	888	48.5%
IA	MITCHELL	.	.	1,238	57.6%	3	.	1,241	57.6%
IA	MONONA	.	.	1,158	50.8%	8	.	1,166	50.7%
IA	MONROE	.	.	735	45.4%	1	.	739	45.5%
IA	MONTGOMERY	.	.	1,232	58.0%	2	.	1,236	57.9%
IA	MUSCATINE	.	.	2,788	49.4%	24	.	2,822	49.2%
IA	OBRIEN	.	.	1,671	48.1%	11	.	1,683	48.1%
IA	OSCEOLA	.	.	709	52.9%	3	.	712	52.8%
IA	PAGE	.	.	1,786	49.4%	8	.	1,797	49.4%
IA	PALO ALTO	.	.	1,124	45.5%	5	.	1,129	45.5%
IA	PLYMOUTH	.	.	1,967	55.4%	10	.	1,977	55.2%
IA	POCAHONTAS	.	.	1,042	42.7%	5	.	1,047	42.6%
IA	POLK	664	46.2%	19,821	53.0%	224	29.5%	20,709	52.5%
IA	POTTAWATTAMIE	15	60.0%	4,461	49.9%	60	31.7%	4,536	49.7%
IA	POWESHIEK	.	.	1,659	36.2%	10	.	1,670	36.0%
IA	RINGGOLD	.	.	606	54.5%	1	.	610	54.8%
IA	SAC	.	.	1,366	49.7%	0	.	1,367	49.7%
IA	SCOTT	192	56.8%	8,850	54.2%	109	46.8%	9,151	54.2%
IA	SHELBY	.	.	1,299	51.5%	7	.	1,306	51.4%
IA	SIOUX	.	.	2,443	52.6%	15	.	2,460	52.6%
IA	STORY	.	.	4,060	59.7%	27	77.8%	4,095	59.9%
IA	TAMA	.	.	1,695	51.1%	30	.	1,725	50.8%
IA	TAYLOR	.	.	793	52.7%	2	.	795	52.6%
IA	UNION	.	.	1,277	53.9%	7	.	1,284	53.7%
IA	UNKNOWN	.	.	48	39.6%	3	.	51	39.2%
IA	VAN BUREN	.	.	802	38.8%	2	.	805	38.6%
IA	WAPELLO	13	30.8%	2,982	44.0%	12	.	3,007	43.8%
IA	WARREN	.	.	2,110	47.3%	9	.	2,124	47.1%
IA	WASHINGTON	.	.	1,993	51.0%	10	.	2,006	50.9%
IA	WAYNE	.	.	797	45.5%	8	.	807	45.4%
IA	WEBSTER	23	43.5%	3,511	50.3%	23	.	3,557	50.2%
IA	WINNEBAGO	.	.	1,222	54.6%	1	.	1,223	54.5%
IA	WINNESHIEK	.	.	1,619	55.6%	2	.	1,627	55.5%
IA	WOODBURY	53	41.5%	7,173	52.0%	75	29.3%	7,301	51.7%
IA	WORTH	.	.	748	54.5%	6	.	756	54.4%
IA	WRIGHT	.	.	1,596	56.3%	6	.	1,602	56.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
ID	ADA	16	56.3%	11,146	58.6%	155	45.2%	11,317	58.5%
ID	ADAMS	.	.	242	45.5%	4	.	246	44.7%
ID	BANNOCK	17	35.3%	3,191	45.3%	101	29.7%	3,309	44.8%
ID	BEAR LAKE	.	.	406	27.1%	2	.	408	27.0%
ID	BENEWAH	.	.	467	51.6%	16	.	484	51.2%
ID	BINGHAM	.	.	1,947	43.8%	103	37.9%	2,053	43.4%
ID	BLAINE	.	.	568	51.2%	8	.	576	50.9%
ID	BOISE	.	.	198	55.6%	1	.	199	55.3%
ID	BONNER	.	.	1,786	45.2%	13	.	1,800	45.2%
ID	BONNEVILLE	.	.	4,023	51.7%	65	52.3%	4,094	51.7%
ID	BOUNDARY	.	.	503	38.4%	1	.	504	38.3%
ID	BUTTE	.	.	172	43.6%	0	.	172	43.6%
ID	CAMAS	.	.	54	51.9%	1	.	55	50.9%
ID	CANYON	.	.	5,407	52.2%	175	41.7%	5,589	51.8%
ID	CARIBOU	.	.	487	40.5%	3	.	490	40.2%
ID	CASSIA	.	.	1,200	52.2%	42	31.0%	1,242	51.4%
ID	CLARK	.	.	39	38.5%	1	.	40	37.5%
ID	CLEARWATER	.	.	495	49.7%	0	.	495	49.7%
ID	CUSTER	.	.	270	38.9%	1	.	271	38.7%
ID	ELMORE	12	16.7%	788	47.0%	17	.	817	46.3%
ID	FRANKLIN	.	.	651	35.3%	3	.	654	35.3%
ID	FREMONT	.	.	658	40.0%	5	.	663	40.0%
ID	GEM	.	.	837	47.3%	9	.	846	47.5%
ID	GOODING	.	.	997	45.5%	14	.	1,011	45.2%
ID	IDAHO	.	.	901	37.6%	4	.	907	37.9%
ID	JEFFERSON	.	.	888	42.2%	16	.	904	42.3%
ID	JEROME	.	.	1,012	48.6%	17	.	1,029	48.3%
ID	KOOTENAI	.	.	5,067	53.0%	51	49.0%	5,119	52.9%
ID	LATAH	.	.	1,308	55.9%	21	.	1,330	55.6%
ID	LEMHI	.	.	602	45.3%	1	.	604	45.2%
ID	LEWIS	.	.	384	44.8%	5	.	390	44.9%
ID	LINCOLN	.	.	214	49.1%	9	.	223	48.0%
ID	MADISON	.	.	767	39.5%	15	.	783	39.7%
ID	MINIDOKA	.	.	1,219	49.6%	40	32.5%	1,261	49.1%
ID	NEZ PERCE	.	.	2,449	61.5%	46	56.5%	2,498	61.3%
ID	ONEIDA	.	.	293	41.3%	5	.	298	41.6%
ID	OWYHEE	.	.	404	48.0%	10	.	414	47.6%
ID	PAYETTE	.	.	1,097	47.7%	29	37.9%	1,127	47.5%
ID	POWER	.	.	328	34.8%	6	.	334	34.4%
ID	SHOSHONE	.	.	1,001	48.3%	5	.	1,007	48.2%
ID	TETON	.	.	231	40.3%	2	.	233	40.3%
ID	TWIN FALLS	.	.	4,356	51.8%	58	56.9%	4,417	51.9%
ID	UNKNOWN	.	.	31	48.4%	1	.	33	45.5%
ID	VALLEY	.	.	482	50.6%	4	.	486	50.4%
ID	WASHINGTON	.	.	737	43.8%	23	.	760	43.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
IL	ADAMS	85	36.5%	5,850	50.8%	24	.	5,959	50.5%
IL	ALEXANDER	160	30.0%	625	45.1%	2	.	787	42.2%
IL	BOND	24	29.2%	1,219	45.9%	7	.	1,250	45.7%
IL	BOONE	.	.	2,056	48.4%	15	.	2,074	48.3%
IL	BROWN	.	.	461	38.6%	1	.	462	38.5%
IL	BUREAU	.	.	3,225	42.4%	21	.	3,248	42.2%
IL	CALHOUN	.	.	445	46.5%	0	.	445	46.5%
IL	CARROLL	.	.	1,556	48.0%	18	.	1,582	47.7%
IL	CASS	.	.	1,101	45.7%	2	.	1,103	45.7%
IL	CHAMPAIGN	478	48.5%	6,816	60.8%	89	48.3%	7,383	59.8%
IL	CHRISTIAN	.	.	2,954	52.7%	19	.	2,981	52.6%
IL	CLARK	.	.	1,595	42.8%	7	.	1,604	42.7%
IL	CLAY	.	.	1,400	51.2%	13	.	1,413	51.1%
IL	CLINTON	16	43.8%	2,162	51.1%	17	.	2,195	50.9%
IL	COLES	30	33.3%	3,510	54.2%	36	41.7%	3,576	53.9%
IL	COOK	35,304	34.6%	184,666	46.0%	7,896	32.5%	227,866	43.8%
IL	CRAWFORD	.	.	1,835	43.9%	4	.	1,841	43.8%
IL	CUMBERLAND	.	.	816	48.7%	12	.	831	48.0%
IL	DE KALB	29	41.4%	4,507	55.6%	36	.	4,572	55.3%
IL	DE WITT	.	.	1,224	39.9%	5	.	1,231	39.7%
IL	DOUGLAS	.	.	1,227	49.3%	17	.	1,245	49.2%
IL	DU PAGE	231	43.7%	36,746	50.2%	808	32.4%	37,785	49.8%
IL	EDGAR	.	.	1,715	48.3%	10	.	1,730	48.2%
IL	EDWARDS	.	.	617	45.4%	6	.	624	45.5%
IL	EFFINGHAM	.	.	2,517	51.6%	12	.	2,529	51.5%
IL	FAYETTE	.	.	1,677	43.6%	7	.	1,686	43.6%
IL	FORD	.	.	1,271	55.0%	10	.	1,283	54.7%
IL	FRANKLIN	.	.	3,523	43.3%	18	.	3,543	43.2%
IL	FULTON	12	50.0%	3,530	47.1%	12	.	3,554	47.1%
IL	GALLATIN	.	.	554	44.0%	1	.	557	44.3%
IL	GREENE	.	.	1,326	44.6%	6	.	1,332	44.4%
IL	GRUNDY	.	.	2,368	44.3%	12	.	2,384	44.3%
IL	HAMILTON	.	.	827	42.1%	6	.	834	42.0%
IL	HANCOCK	.	.	1,928	45.1%	12	.	1,945	44.9%
IL	HARDIN	.	.	383	30.3%	0	.	385	30.4%
IL	HENDERSON	.	.	597	43.4%	1	.	599	43.2%
IL	HENRY	33	30.3%	3,990	44.0%	23	.	4,046	43.8%
IL	IROQUOIS	21	57.1%	2,774	49.1%	20	.	2,815	49.0%
IL	JACKSON	184	37.5%	3,016	47.6%	29	.	3,229	46.9%
IL	JASPER	.	.	832	49.2%	4	.	836	49.2%
IL	JEFFERSON	87	39.1%	2,889	46.9%	12	.	2,988	46.6%
IL	JERSEY	.	.	1,138	51.9%	6	.	1,145	51.9%
IL	JO DAVIESS	.	.	1,482	54.0%	6	.	1,488	54.0%
IL	JOHNSON	.	.	905	50.6%	5	.	914	50.4%
IL	KANE	325	40.3%	14,427	48.0%	292	35.6%	15,044	47.6%
IL	KANKAKEE	394	38.1%	5,749	53.6%	44	43.2%	6,187	52.6%
IL	KENDALL	.	.	1,468	50.7%	16	.	1,493	50.6%
IL	KNOX	117	45.3%	4,387	54.0%	56	35.7%	4,560	53.6%
IL	LA SALLE	23	26.1%	9,370	46.8%	56	25.0%	9,449	46.6%
IL	LAKE	885	40.6%	22,387	49.7%	541	31.2%	23,813	48.9%
IL	LAWRENCE	15	46.7%	1,531	45.3%	9	.	1,555	45.3%
IL	LEE	18	55.6%	2,656	52.3%	19	.	2,693	52.2%
IL	LIVINGSTON	.	.	2,824	55.1%	24	.	2,856	55.0%
IL	LOGAN	12	41.7%	2,354	55.7%	14	.	2,380	55.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
IL	MACON	568	45.8%	8,185	54.7%	43	27.9%	8,796	54.0%
IL	MACOUPIN	22	50.0%	4,177	49.0%	37	43.2%	4,236	49.0%
IL	MADISON	417	35.3%	12,847	50.4%	76	25.0%	13,340	49.8%
IL	MARION	83	26.5%	3,662	41.2%	29		3,774	40.7%
IL	MARSHALL			1,087	52.4%	0		1,088	52.5%
IL	MASON			1,466	52.3%	8		1,474	52.2%
IL	MASSAC	67	38.8%	1,243	44.5%	5		1,315	44.2%
IL	MC DONOUGH	12	41.7%	2,385	45.3%	21		2,418	45.1%
IL	MC HENRY	13	38.5%	10,735	44.3%	107	36.4%	10,855	44.2%
IL	MCCLEAN	125	43.2%	7,073	57.8%	50	40.0%	7,248	57.5%
IL	MENARD			799	51.7%	3		802	51.6%
IL	MERCER			1,199	48.9%	4		1,204	48.8%
IL	MONROE			1,398	49.1%	17		1,416	48.7%
IL	MONTGOMERY			2,680	47.9%	11		2,697	47.8%
IL	MORGAN	55	27.3%	2,768	43.3%	12		2,835	43.0%
IL	MOULTRIE			1,382	54.2%	5		1,387	54.1%
IL	OGLE			3,210	49.4%	23		3,237	49.3%
IL	PEORIA	747	41.5%	12,013	52.2%	97	39.2%	12,857	51.5%
IL	PERRY	27	25.9%	1,824	36.0%	8		1,859	35.8%
IL	PIATT			1,254	60.8%	4		1,259	60.7%
IL	PIKE			1,682	51.8%	13		1,697	51.7%
IL	POPE			303	40.3%	1		306	40.2%
IL	PULASKI	158	34.8%	477	48.4%	5		640	45.2%
IL	PUTNAM			479	45.9%	3		482	46.1%
IL	RANDOLPH	36	27.8%	2,611	47.5%	8		2,655	47.1%
IL	RICHLAND			1,489	47.3%	8		1,499	47.3%
IL	ROCK ISLAND	310	48.1%	9,608	54.3%	139	41.0%	10,057	53.9%
IL	SALINE	41	36.6%	2,492	39.8%	8		2,541	39.7%
IL	SANGAMON	532	41.7%	12,175	51.3%	89	46.1%	12,796	50.8%
IL	SCHUYLER			661	34.0%	2		663	33.9%
IL	SCOTT			436	40.6%	3		439	40.8%
IL	SHELBY			1,947	41.5%	9		1,957	41.5%
IL	ST. CLAIR	2,126	40.7%	9,503	49.8%	125	33.6%	11,754	48.0%
IL	STARK			625	51.0%	6		631	50.7%
IL	STEPHENSON	119	43.7%	4,081	50.6%	29	51.7%	4,229	50.5%
IL	TAZEWELL	18	50.0%	9,371	53.2%	56	39.3%	9,445	53.1%
IL	UNION			1,480	48.0%	4		1,487	48.0%
IL	UNKNOWN	37	13.5%	249	38.2%	36		322	32.6%
IL	VERMILION	318	47.8%	5,858	52.3%	54	37.0%	6,230	51.9%
IL	WABASH			1,097	43.0%	8		1,107	43.0%
IL	WARREN	15	60.0%	1,536	46.7%	7		1,558	46.6%
IL	WASHINGTON			1,222	44.4%	3		1,226	44.5%
IL	WAYNE			1,622	55.7%	5		1,628	55.6%
IL	WHITE			1,685	50.9%	7		1,697	50.8%
IL	WHITESIDE			4,966	55.3%	75	54.7%	5,049	55.3%
IL	WILL	847	38.3%	16,926	48.3%	290	33.8%	18,063	47.6%
IL	WILLIAMSON	48	47.9%	4,571	48.7%	26		4,645	48.6%
IL	WINNEBAGO	800	40.5%	16,698	51.8%	115	43.5%	17,613	51.3%
IL	WOODFORD			2,351	50.9%	10		2,362	50.8%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
IN	ADAMS	.	.	2,251	43.4%	19	.	2,273	43.3%
IN	ALLEN	1,053	36.3%	17,909	46.4%	182	35.2%	19,144	45.8%
IN	BARTHOLOMEW	36	41.7%	4,259	55.1%	18	.	4,313	55.0%
IN	BENTON	.	.	719	52.0%	2	.	722	51.9%
IN	BLACKFORD	.	.	1,124	41.7%	4	.	1,129	41.8%
IN	BOONE	.	.	2,350	51.0%	8	.	2,363	51.0%
IN	BROWN	.	.	530	50.4%	2	.	533	50.3%
IN	CARROLL	.	.	990	49.7%	2	.	994	49.9%
IN	CASS	15	53.3%	2,894	54.3%	28	.	2,937	54.0%
IN	CLARK	219	33.3%	5,034	51.1%	33	42.4%	5,286	50.3%
IN	CLAY	15	40.0%	2,191	41.5%	7	.	2,213	41.5%
IN	CLINTON	.	.	2,125	48.0%	19	.	2,147	48.0%
IN	CRAWFORD	.	.	727	47.7%	2	.	732	47.4%
IN	DAVISS	.	.	1,972	45.6%	23	.	2,003	45.3%
IN	DE KALB	.	.	2,326	45.8%	13	.	2,343	45.6%
IN	DEARBORN	14	21.4%	2,563	50.3%	12	.	2,589	50.2%
IN	DECATUR	.	.	1,712	45.7%	9	.	1,722	45.6%
IN	DELAWARE	376	38.3%	7,722	49.9%	45	44.4%	8,143	49.3%
IN	DUBOIS	.	.	2,608	46.1%	12	.	2,624	46.0%
IN	ELKHART	212	38.7%	9,630	54.0%	76	36.8%	9,918	53.6%
IN	FAYETTE	34	52.9%	1,970	45.2%	10	.	2,014	45.3%
IN	FLOYD	123	28.5%	3,871	50.0%	22	.	4,016	49.3%
IN	FOUNTAIN	.	.	1,513	39.2%	6	.	1,520	39.2%
IN	FRANKLIN	.	.	1,218	46.9%	2	.	1,220	47.0%
IN	FULTON	.	.	1,506	45.2%	10	.	1,525	45.0%
IN	GIBSON	37	43.2%	2,380	48.7%	21	.	2,438	48.5%
IN	GRANT	235	49.4%	5,292	54.5%	36	63.9%	5,563	54.4%
IN	GREENE	.	.	2,451	46.9%	13	.	2,468	46.8%
IN	HAMILTON	38	42.1%	5,779	55.3%	58	41.4%	5,875	55.0%
IN	HANCOCK	.	.	2,725	54.6%	14	.	2,741	54.5%
IN	HARRISON	11	18.2%	1,965	50.0%	12	.	1,988	49.8%
IN	HENDRICKS	.	.	4,313	56.5%	24	54.2%	4,344	56.5%
IN	HENRY	29	44.8%	3,943	49.1%	15	73.3%	3,987	49.2%
IN	HOWARD	212	40.6%	5,594	52.4%	34	38.2%	5,840	51.9%
IN	HUNTINGTON	.	.	2,936	41.2%	25	.	2,962	41.2%
IN	JACKSON	14	21.4%	2,873	47.0%	10	.	2,897	46.8%
IN	JASPER	.	.	1,609	50.1%	12	.	1,622	49.9%
IN	JAY	.	.	1,736	46.1%	6	.	1,744	46.0%
IN	JEFFERSON	24	33.3%	1,990	43.5%	5	.	2,019	43.4%
IN	JENNINGS	14	71.4%	1,360	52.3%	6	.	1,380	52.4%
IN	JOHNSON	26	50.0%	5,960	51.9%	36	36.1%	6,022	51.8%
IN	KNOX	22	40.9%	3,049	44.8%	25	.	3,096	44.7%
IN	KOSCIUSKO	.	.	4,228	46.3%	31	.	4,265	46.2%
IN	LA PORTE	303	31.0%	6,985	49.1%	48	35.4%	7,336	48.3%
IN	LAGRANGE	.	.	1,433	47.2%	4	.	1,438	47.1%
IN	LAKE	4,435	33.5%	23,135	41.2%	694	35.0%	28,264	39.8%
IN	LAWRENCE	.	.	3,266	40.5%	13	.	3,289	40.5%
IN	MADISON	384	43.8%	9,787	52.2%	42	47.6%	10,213	51.9%
IN	MARION	7,282	45.1%	35,204	53.8%	363	43.3%	42,849	52.3%
IN	MARSHALL	.	.	3,021	46.5%	19	.	3,044	46.4%
IN	MARTIN	.	.	774	41.1%	2	.	776	41.1%
IN	MIAMI	21	47.6%	2,296	46.2%	20	.	2,337	46.1%
IN	MONROE	47	48.9%	5,522	54.1%	49	46.9%	5,618	54.0%
IN	MONTGOMERY	12	50.0%	2,546	48.2%	8	.	2,566	48.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
IN	MORGAN	.	.	3,285	50.3%	13	.	3,305	50.3%
IN	NEWTON	.	.	748	48.4%	4	.	752	48.5%
IN	NOBLE	.	.	2,410	36.7%	12	.	2,424	36.6%
IN	OHIO	.	.	354	42.9%	1	.	360	42.5%
IN	ORANGE	.	.	1,336	39.7%	7	.	1,348	39.5%
IN	OWEN	.	.	1,121	40.8%	4	.	1,132	40.7%
IN	PARKE	.	.	1,178	41.9%	5	.	1,185	41.8%
IN	PERRY	.	.	1,442	46.3%	5	.	1,450	46.2%
IN	PIKE	.	.	876	43.6%	8	.	885	43.7%
IN	PORTER	.	19 52.6%	7,436	45.6%	102	29.4%	7,557	45.4%
IN	POSEY	.	13 38.5%	1,424	49.2%	5	.	1,442	49.3%
IN	PULASKI	.	.	986	44.5%	7	.	996	44.6%
IN	PUTNAM	.	11 36.4%	2,012	44.3%	13	.	2,036	44.3%
IN	RANDOLPH	.	.	2,238	48.0%	7	.	2,249	47.9%
IN	RIPLEY	.	.	1,962	42.5%	11	.	1,975	42.4%
IN	RUSH	.	16 31.3%	1,281	44.5%	3	.	1,300	44.2%
IN	SCOTT	.	.	1,352	40.8%	8	.	1,362	40.8%
IN	SHELBY	.	21 61.9%	2,468	51.8%	11	.	2,500	51.8%
IN	SPENCER	.	.	1,208	50.7%	2	.	1,217	50.7%
IN	ST. JOSEPH	.	1,171 46.5%	17,808	52.2%	123	39.8%	19,102	51.8%
IN	STARKE	.	.	1,363	41.7%	8	.	1,376	41.5%
IN	STEUBEN	.	.	2,063	46.5%	6	.	2,074	46.5%
IN	SULLIVAN	.	.	1,533	37.0%	3	.	1,537	36.9%
IN	SWITZERLAND	.	.	505	38.0%	1	.	507	37.9%
IN	TIPPECANOE	.	36 33.3%	5,318	53.1%	46	43.5%	5,400	52.9%
IN	TIPTON	.	.	1,134	50.4%	10	.	1,144	50.1%
IN	UNION	.	.	474	52.5%	1	.	477	52.4%
IN	UNKNOWN	.	.	90	38.9%	7	.	105	35.2%
IN	VANDERBURGH	.	594 43.4%	11,563	60.3%	79	44.3%	12,236	59.4%
IN	VERMILLION	.	.	1,291	39.6%	7	.	1,299	39.5%
IN	VIGO	.	252 41.7%	7,744	48.0%	53	49.1%	8,049	47.8%
IN	WABASH	.	.	2,816	48.8%	8	.	2,830	48.8%
IN	WARREN	.	.	409	45.0%	1	.	410	44.9%
IN	WARRICK	.	13 76.9%	2,487	56.9%	16	.	2,516	56.9%
IN	WASHINGTON	.	.	1,510	39.7%	9	.	1,524	39.4%
IN	WAYNE	.	230 45.7%	5,587	53.5%	22	.	5,839	53.1%
IN	WELLS	.	.	1,812	51.7%	12	.	1,826	51.4%
IN	WHITE	.	.	1,899	52.1%	9	.	1,909	52.1%
IN	WHITLEY	.	.	2,170	42.1%	8	.	2,180	42.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
KS	ALLEN	18	44.4%	1,276	45.1%	15	.	1,309	45.0%
KS	ANDERSON	.	.	799	48.7%	10	.	812	48.8%
KS	ATCHISON	56	33.9%	1,320	47.5%	25	.	1,401	46.8%
KS	BARBER	.	.	595	38.8%	9	.	605	38.5%
KS	BARTON	16	43.8%	2,564	62.1%	23	.	2,603	61.9%
KS	BOURBON	35	34.3%	1,427	51.1%	13	.	1,475	50.5%
KS	BROWN	16	37.5%	1,043	47.1%	28	.	1,087	46.2%
KS	BUTLER	24	45.8%	3,476	54.1%	21	.	3,521	54.0%
KS	CHASE	.	.	247	59.1%	2	.	250	58.8%
KS	CHAUTAUQUA	.	.	456	46.1%	4	.	460	46.1%
KS	CHEROKEE	17	35.3%	1,719	46.9%	7	.	1,743	46.7%
KS	CHEYENNE	.	.	410	51.2%	2	.	412	51.2%
KS	CLARK	.	.	262	53.8%	1	.	263	54.0%
KS	CLAY	.	.	911	66.7%	4	.	915	66.7%
KS	CLOUD	.	.	1,292	59.9%	6	.	1,299	59.7%
KS	COFFEY	.	.	745	50.7%	3	.	750	50.8%
KS	COMANCHE	.	.	248	55.2%	1	.	250	55.2%
KS	COWLEY	55	34.5%	2,784	49.0%	38	47.4%	2,877	48.7%
KS	CRAWFORD	27	37.0%	3,102	47.9%	19	.	3,148	47.7%
KS	DECATUR	.	.	454	56.8%	2	.	457	56.9%
KS	DICKINSON	.	.	1,838	57.1%	14	.	1,862	57.0%
KS	DONIPHAN	.	.	635	46.5%	6	.	648	46.3%
KS	DOUGLAS	111	40.5%	3,707	58.4%	69	46.4%	3,887	57.7%
KS	EDWARDS	.	.	361	56.0%	5	.	366	55.7%
KS	ELK	.	.	373	45.0%	3	.	378	45.2%
KS	ELLIS	.	.	1,946	59.2%	8	.	1,954	59.2%
KS	ELLSWORTH	.	.	642	48.0%	14	.	656	47.4%
KS	FINNEY	.	.	1,458	45.4%	71	25.4%	1,538	44.3%
KS	FORD	19	10.5%	1,601	54.0%	52	32.7%	1,672	52.9%
KS	FRANKLIN	11	27.3%	1,710	48.3%	21	.	1,742	48.1%
KS	GEARY	133	45.1%	1,031	59.7%	74	40.5%	1,238	56.9%
KS	GOVE	.	.	352	57.1%	3	.	355	57.2%
KS	GRAHAM	22	63.6%	304	68.4%	0	.	326	68.1%
KS	GRANT	.	.	347	55.0%	19	.	366	54.4%
KS	GRAY	.	.	402	44.8%	1	.	403	44.7%
KS	GREELEY	.	.	139	38.8%	1	.	141	38.3%
KS	GREENWOOD	.	.	868	41.8%	4	.	872	41.6%
KS	HAMILTON	.	.	243	49.4%	3	.	246	48.8%
KS	HARPER	.	.	752	42.0%	4	.	756	41.9%
KS	HARVEY	29	41.4%	2,640	65.2%	65	50.8%	2,734	64.6%
KS	HASKELL	.	.	202	36.6%	2	.	205	36.6%
KS	HODGEMAN	.	.	192	60.9%	0	.	193	60.6%
KS	JACKSON	.	.	866	47.7%	31	.	900	46.7%
KS	JEFFERSON	.	.	1,080	44.9%	8	.	1,094	44.7%
KS	JEWELL	.	.	458	55.7%	0	.	458	55.7%
KS	JOHNSON	113	46.0%	15,414	57.4%	200	26.5%	15,727	56.9%
KS	KEARNY	.	.	218	50.9%	8	.	226	50.0%
KS	KINGMAN	.	.	802	50.4%	5	.	808	50.0%
KS	KIOWA	.	.	355	60.0%	1	.	356	60.1%
KS	LABETTE	66	36.4%	1,917	49.2%	37	32.4%	2,020	48.5%
KS	LANE	.	.	232	50.0%	1	.	233	49.8%
KS	LEAVENWORTH	159	36.5%	2,579	53.0%	36	30.6%	2,774	51.7%
KS	LINCOLN	.	.	401	53.4%	1	.	402	53.2%
KS	LINN	.	.	785	46.8%	4	.	792	46.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
KS	LOGAN	.	.	326	64.4%	4	.	332	64.2%
KS	LYON	21	71.4%	2,008	65.0%	39	43.6%	2,068	64.7%
KS	MARION	.	.	1,384	57.0%	13	.	1,403	56.6%
KS	MARSHALL	.	.	1,157	55.1%	5	.	1,165	55.0%
KS	MCPHERSON	.	.	2,671	61.4%	14	.	2,690	61.3%
KS	MEADE	.	.	441	44.9%	1	.	442	45.0%
KS	MIAMI	24	8.3%	1,344	48.4%	18	.	1,386	47.8%
KS	MITCHELL	.	.	773	53.4%	5	.	778	53.5%
KS	MONTGOMERY	185	28.6%	3,350	46.9%	38	42.1%	3,573	45.9%
KS	MORRIS	.	.	613	62.6%	4	.	618	62.9%
KS	MORTON	.	.	242	28.9%	1	.	243	29.2%
KS	NEMAHA	.	.	1,083	45.2%	4	.	1,091	45.3%
KS	NEOSHO	17	17.6%	1,488	46.2%	22	.	1,527	45.7%
KS	NESS	.	.	431	45.5%	4	.	435	45.5%
KS	NORTON	.	.	600	53.3%	2	.	603	53.2%
KS	OSAGE	.	.	1,237	51.4%	16	.	1,255	51.1%
KS	OSBORNE	.	.	589	51.4%	4	.	594	51.0%
KS	OTTAWA	.	.	508	50.4%	1	.	511	50.7%
KS	PAWNEE	.	.	637	54.5%	7	.	651	53.8%
KS	PHILLIPS	.	.	689	56.0%	1	.	692	55.9%
KS	POTTAWATOMIE	.	.	1,140	51.6%	10	.	1,152	51.2%
KS	PRATT	.	.	927	64.0%	6	.	941	63.8%
KS	RAWLINS	.	.	364	47.3%	2	.	366	47.3%
KS	RENO	54	53.7%	5,204	63.4%	96	44.8%	5,354	63.0%
KS	REPUBLIC	.	.	755	41.7%	2	.	758	41.7%
KS	RICE	.	.	998	59.5%	14	.	1,020	59.2%
KS	RILEY	35	57.1%	2,224	62.6%	49	46.9%	2,308	62.2%
KS	ROOKS	.	.	633	58.9%	6	.	641	58.5%
KS	RUSH	.	.	469	63.1%	1	.	471	63.1%
KS	RUSSELL	.	.	948	50.6%	2	.	952	50.5%
KS	SALINE	76	55.3%	3,712	65.7%	61	55.7%	3,849	65.4%
KS	SCOTT	.	.	216	50.9%	2	.	218	50.9%
KS	SEDGWICK	1,342	43.3%	21,972	55.8%	392	37.5%	23,706	54.8%
KS	SEWARD	24	29.2%	894	44.2%	16	.	934	43.7%
KS	SHAWNEE	616	44.2%	10,280	57.5%	239	47.7%	11,135	56.5%
KS	SHERIDAN	.	.	276	59.1%	1	.	278	59.0%
KS	SHERMAN	.	.	583	49.4%	7	.	590	49.0%
KS	SMITH	.	.	621	62.2%	2	.	623	62.1%
KS	STAFFORD	.	.	528	54.7%	3	.	531	54.8%
KS	STANTON	.	.	141	51.1%	3	.	144	51.4%
KS	STEVENS	.	.	400	45.8%	4	.	409	46.2%
KS	SUMNER	.	.	2,059	47.3%	36	36.1%	2,105	47.0%
KS	THOMAS	.	.	610	60.2%	1	.	611	60.1%
KS	TREGO	.	.	378	57.7%	2	.	381	57.2%
KS	UNKNOWN	.	.	63	38.1%	10	.	75	34.7%
KS	WABAUNSEE	.	.	460	51.5%	2	.	467	51.4%
KS	WALLACE	.	.	157	52.2%	1	.	159	52.2%
KS	WASHINGTON	.	.	801	57.8%	4	.	807	57.7%
KS	WICHITA	.	.	186	47.3%	3	.	189	47.6%
KS	WILSON	.	.	984	44.7%	3	.	991	44.7%
KS	WOODSON	.	.	441	45.8%	0	.	442	45.7%
KS	WYANDOTTE	1,145	37.8%	4,233	46.3%	137	28.5%	5,515	44.1%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
KY	ADAIR	44	27.3%	1,101	41.7%	4	.	1,149	41.2%
KY	ALLEN	18	16.7%	1,105	30.8%	6	.	1,129	30.6%
KY	ANDERSON	28	32.1%	981	45.8%	3	.	1,012	45.3%
KY	BALLARD	13	23.1%	793	53.6%	0	.	806	53.1%
KY	BARREN	97	33.0%	2,602	39.1%	13	.	2,712	38.9%
KY	BATH	16	43.8%	730	43.3%	3	.	749	43.3%
KY	BELL	52	32.7%	1,830	39.1%	5	.	1,887	38.8%
KY	BOONE	13	46.2%	2,381	49.2%	21	.	2,415	49.0%
KY	BOURBON	118	49.2%	1,162	51.4%	9	.	1,289	51.1%
KY	BOYD	38	47.4%	4,070	47.3%	26	.	4,134	47.2%
KY	BOYLE	129	32.6%	1,926	48.2%	16	.	2,071	47.1%
KY	BRACKEN	.	.	547	34.4%	1	.	550	34.4%
KY	BREATHITT	.	.	709	32.7%	4	.	716	32.4%
KY	BRECKINRIDGE	24	41.7%	1,217	39.7%	3	.	1,244	39.7%
KY	BULLITT	16	37.5%	1,595	46.0%	9	.	1,620	45.8%
KY	BUTLER	.	.	722	37.4%	3	.	727	37.1%
KY	CALDWELL	51	33.3%	1,138	49.3%	7	.	1,196	48.5%
KY	CALLOWAY	50	36.0%	2,448	48.2%	13	.	2,511	47.9%
KY	CAMPBELL	20	35.0%	3,893	41.1%	15	.	3,928	41.0%
KY	CARLISLE	.	.	480	50.2%	4	.	487	50.3%
KY	CARROLL	.	.	618	43.7%	2	.	629	44.2%
KY	CARTER	.	.	1,561	38.8%	12	.	1,573	38.7%
KY	CASEY	.	.	974	36.9%	4	.	981	36.9%
KY	CHRISTIAN	538	38.7%	2,777	55.7%	16	.	3,331	52.9%
KY	CLARK	115	40.9%	1,861	46.7%	8	.	1,984	46.4%
KY	CLAY	19	21.1%	1,012	30.8%	8	.	1,039	30.9%
KY	CLINTON	.	.	721	24.0%	2	.	727	24.1%
KY	CRITTENDEN	13	30.8%	711	47.0%	1	.	725	46.6%
KY	CUMBERLAND	24	12.5%	578	19.6%	1	.	603	19.2%
KY	DAVISS	201	50.2%	6,583	61.3%	16	.	6,800	60.9%
KY	EDMONSON	.	.	579	37.8%	1	.	581	37.9%
KY	ELLIOTT	.	.	299	38.8%	0	.	299	38.8%
KY	ESTILL	.	.	1,196	44.7%	13	.	1,210	44.8%
KY	FAYETTE	1,380	46.9%	11,494	57.5%	99	46.5%	12,973	56.2%
KY	FLEMING	11	18.2%	936	41.7%	2	.	949	41.4%
KY	FLOYD	.	.	1,815	39.6%	9	.	1,827	39.5%
KY	FRANKLIN	216	26.4%	3,334	48.5%	16	.	3,566	47.1%
KY	FULTON	73	46.6%	700	49.4%	5	.	778	49.2%
KY	GALLATIN	11	36.4%	329	30.1%	0	.	340	30.3%
KY	GARRARD	31	16.1%	878	44.4%	1	.	910	43.4%
KY	GRANT	.	.	1,086	35.0%	3	.	1,093	35.0%
KY	GRAVES	104	42.3%	3,035	52.5%	8	.	3,147	52.1%
KY	GRAYSON	.	.	1,540	42.3%	4	.	1,552	42.1%
KY	GREEN	21	33.3%	847	37.3%	1	.	869	37.2%
KY	GREENUP	14	21.4%	2,255	41.3%	18	.	2,287	41.1%
KY	HANCOCK	.	.	456	52.9%	0	.	458	52.6%
KY	HARDIN	193	46.1%	4,165	48.2%	78	37.2%	4,436	47.9%
KY	HARLAN	58	48.3%	1,769	40.4%	6	.	1,833	40.6%
KY	HARRISON	32	31.3%	1,186	36.7%	3	.	1,221	36.5%
KY	HART	72	34.7%	1,060	44.0%	4	.	1,136	43.3%
KY	HENDERSON	171	41.5%	2,746	47.7%	10	.	2,927	47.2%
KY	HENRY	39	41.0%	938	44.7%	8	.	985	44.6%
KY	HICKMAN	31	38.7%	335	48.1%	2	.	368	47.0%
KY	HOPKINS	145	44.8%	3,094	49.6%	14	.	3,253	49.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
KY	JACKSON	.	.	694	26.9%	0	.	695	26.9%
KY	JEFFERSON	4,293	42.2%	37,559	54.7%	306	37.6%	42,158	53.3%
KY	JESSAMINE	54	35.2%	1,626	49.0%	4	.	1,684	48.5%
KY	JOHNSON	.	.	1,291	38.2%	5	.	1,297	38.2%
KY	KENTON	86	34.9%	5,765	47.2%	37	.	5,888	46.9%
KY	KNOTT	.	.	674	47.8%	0	.	678	47.8%
KY	KNOX	17	23.5%	1,332	37.5%	4	.	1,353	37.3%
KY	LARUE	33	36.4%	914	45.3%	2	.	949	45.0%
KY	LAUREL	13	15.4%	2,409	38.9%	9	.	2,431	38.7%
KY	LAWRENCE	.	.	859	30.0%	5	.	867	30.0%
KY	LEE	.	.	476	33.0%	2	.	479	33.0%
KY	LESLIE	.	.	615	37.6%	2	.	617	37.4%
KY	LETCHER	12	41.7%	1,290	43.0%	4	.	1,306	42.9%
KY	LEWIS	.	.	780	30.3%	3	.	785	30.1%
KY	LINCOLN	59	47.5%	1,479	47.3%	5	.	1,543	47.2%
KY	LIVINGSTON	.	.	723	50.3%	3	.	727	50.2%
KY	LOGAN	111	40.5%	1,812	41.1%	9	.	1,932	41.1%
KY	LYON	.	.	580	50.2%	3	.	593	50.1%
KY	MADISON	156	49.4%	3,248	55.9%	15	.	3,419	55.6%
KY	MAGOFFIN	.	.	585	34.9%	0	.	586	35.0%
KY	MARION	70	32.9%	1,233	44.7%	6	.	1,309	43.9%
KY	MARSHALL	.	.	2,414	50.9%	7	.	2,423	50.7%
KY	MARTIN	.	.	452	36.1%	0	.	454	35.9%
KY	MASON	89	34.8%	1,168	41.3%	4	.	1,261	40.8%
KY	MC CRACKEN	323	46.4%	4,903	57.3%	29	55.2%	5,255	56.6%
KY	MC CREARY	.	.	785	37.5%	2	.	788	37.3%
KY	MC LEAN	.	.	695	48.5%	5	.	702	48.1%
KY	MEADE	19	47.4%	822	44.5%	10	.	851	44.2%
KY	MENIFEE	.	.	312	42.9%	1	.	315	42.9%
KY	MERCER	59	37.3%	1,512	41.1%	4	.	1,575	40.8%
KY	METCALFE	15	26.7%	729	31.6%	2	.	746	31.4%
KY	MONROE	23	13.0%	903	23.3%	4	.	930	23.1%
KY	MONTGOMERY	77	46.8%	1,286	51.5%	6	.	1,369	51.1%
KY	MORGAN	.	.	717	36.7%	0	.	717	36.7%
KY	MUHLENBERG	65	32.3%	2,092	46.3%	8	.	2,165	45.9%
KY	NELSON	97	32.0%	2,181	45.7%	14	.	2,292	45.1%
KY	NICHOLAS	.	.	515	47.0%	4	.	523	47.0%
KY	OHIO	11	27.3%	1,467	54.1%	7	.	1,485	53.9%
KY	OLDHAM	32	50.0%	1,207	55.6%	7	.	1,246	55.3%
KY	OWEN	15	26.7%	512	34.2%	2	.	529	33.8%
KY	OWSLEY	.	.	345	30.4%	1	.	349	30.4%
KY	PENDLETON	.	.	690	36.7%	0	.	692	36.7%
KY	PERRY	18	44.4%	1,567	37.8%	8	.	1,593	37.8%
KY	PIKE	14	50.0%	3,107	44.4%	10	.	3,131	44.3%
KY	POWELL	.	.	350	48.9%	0	.	353	48.7%
KY	PULASKI	43	30.2%	4,026	45.7%	12	.	4,081	45.5%
KY	ROBERTSON	.	.	160	45.6%	1	.	161	45.3%
KY	ROCKCASTLE	.	.	869	38.7%	12	.	881	38.9%
KY	ROWAN	.	.	1,067	42.9%	3	.	1,070	42.9%
KY	RUSSELL	.	.	1,241	36.9%	5	.	1,252	36.9%
KY	SCOTT	84	28.6%	1,379	43.7%	7	.	1,470	42.7%
KY	SHELBY	118	32.2%	1,488	49.9%	4	.	1,610	48.5%
KY	SIMPSON	93	19.4%	969	35.5%	3	.	1,065	34.0%
KY	SPENCER	.	.	457	47.0%	5	.	471	46.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
KY	TAYLOR	78	41.0%	1,672	51.6%	2 .		1,752	51.0%
KY	TODD	85	38.8%	694	45.2%	4 .		783	44.6%
KY	TRIGG	84	32.1%	887	51.3%	2 .		973	49.6%
KY	TRIMBLE	.	.	433	40.4%	1 .		434	40.6%
KY	UNION	57	36.8%	954	52.8%	2 .		1,013	52.0%
KY	UNKNOWN	.	.	101	40.6%	8 .		113	39.8%
KY	WARREN	272	42.6%	4,833	50.5%	25	48.0%	5,130	50.1%
KY	WASHINGTON	55	50.9%	777	45.7%	5 .		837	45.9%
KY	WAYNE	.	.	1,116	38.7%	8 .		1,134	38.5%
KY	WEBSTER	52	28.8%	976	46.1%	1 .		1,029	45.2%
KY	WHITLEY	13	30.8%	2,761	40.1%	23 .		2,797	40.0%
KY	WOLFE	.	.	397	32.0%	0 .		397	32.0%
KY	WOODFORD	94	39.4%	1,083	47.6%	3 .		1,180	47.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
LA	ACADIA	493	34.3%	2,943	47.6%	15	.	3,451	45.7%
LA	ALLEN	190	31.1%	987	38.6%	7	.	1,184	37.4%
LA	ASCENSION	309	34.6%	1,230	50.2%	12	.	1,551	47.1%
LA	ASSUMPTION	200	33.0%	604	42.2%	7	.	811	39.7%
LA	AVOYELLES	448	25.4%	1,961	35.4%	18	.	2,427	33.5%
LA	BEAUREGARD	156	34.0%	1,452	43.5%	19	.	1,627	42.3%
LA	BIENVILLE	409	35.9%	762	42.1%	0	.	1,171	40.0%
LA	BOSSIER	517	30.8%	3,295	51.8%	35	51.4%	3,847	49.0%
LA	CADDO	4,417	39.1%	10,983	53.5%	106	36.8%	15,506	49.3%
LA	CALCASIEU	1,628	44.1%	8,111	51.5%	51	43.1%	9,790	50.3%
LA	CALDWELL	119	27.7%	616	35.6%	5	.	740	34.2%
LA	CAMERON	19	31.6%	301	49.8%	1	.	321	48.6%
LA	CATAHOULA	169	28.4%	536	39.9%	3	.	708	37.3%
LA	CLAIBORNE	346	30.1%	708	44.1%	5	.	1,059	39.4%
LA	CONCORDIA	428	24.5%	849	43.6%	2	.	1,279	37.1%
LA	DE SOTO	597	36.5%	879	48.6%	7	.	1,483	43.7%
LA	EAST BATON ROUGE	3,149	41.8%	8,998	58.0%	121	34.7%	12,268	53.6%
LA	EAST CARROLL	266	22.6%	257	44.0%	5	.	528	33.0%
LA	EAST FELICIANA	268	31.3%	396	39.4%	7	.	671	36.4%
LA	EVANGELINE	280	36.1%	1,642	38.8%	8	.	1,930	38.3%
LA	FRANKLIN	343	23.9%	1,193	38.3%	5	.	1,541	35.0%
LA	GRANT	132	19.7%	790	39.4%	8	.	930	36.3%
LA	IBERIA	914	38.9%	3,037	50.9%	25	.	3,976	48.1%
LA	IBERVILLE	407	27.5%	649	47.3%	12	.	1,068	40.0%
LA	JACKSON	311	37.9%	938	41.8%	6	.	1,255	40.7%
LA	JEFFERSON	1,098	33.5%	14,082	50.1%	423	34.3%	15,603	48.5%
LA	JEFFERSON DAVIS	268	38.4%	1,475	51.9%	11	.	1,754	49.7%
LA	LA SALLE	59	25.4%	922	31.5%	2	.	983	31.2%
LA	LAFAYETTE	1,088	40.3%	6,853	53.9%	71	43.7%	8,012	52.0%
LA	LAFOURCHE	245	38.8%	2,780	47.6%	25	48.0%	3,050	46.9%
LA	LINCOLN	703	34.1%	1,649	47.2%	10	.	2,362	43.4%
LA	LIVINGSTON	131	29.8%	1,518	50.1%	12	.	1,661	48.5%
LA	MADISON	369	19.5%	364	38.2%	6	.	739	29.0%
LA	MOREHOUSE	696	29.3%	1,660	39.0%	11	.	2,367	36.1%
LA	NATCHITOCHE	617	32.3%	1,305	43.8%	24	.	1,946	40.0%
LA	ORLEANS	7,611	33.5%	9,427	44.6%	437	27.9%	17,475	39.3%
LA	OUACHITA	1,908	35.3%	6,823	55.7%	50	42.0%	8,781	51.2%
LA	PLAQUEMINES	121	19.8%	592	48.0%	17	.	730	43.3%
LA	POINTE COUPEE	265	43.8%	556	50.7%	9	.	830	48.4%
LA	RAPIDES	1,546	31.7%	5,730	48.0%	52	30.8%	7,328	44.4%
LA	RED RIVER	136	17.6%	335	32.5%	4	.	475	28.2%
LA	RICHLAND	430	33.7%	1,154	45.9%	11	.	1,595	42.6%
LA	SABINE	156	32.1%	1,189	39.5%	13	.	1,358	38.7%
LA	ST. BERNARD	97	29.9%	3,092	42.0%	41	.	3,230	41.4%
LA	ST. CHARLES	181	40.3%	830	53.0%	10	.	1,021	50.6%
LA	ST. HELENA	150	22.7%	129	41.1%	0	.	279	31.2%
LA	ST. JAMES	268	31.3%	481	50.7%	0	.	749	43.8%
LA	ST. JOHN BAPTIST	206	36.4%	555	45.8%	9	.	770	42.9%
LA	ST. LANDRY	1,332	38.4%	3,337	51.2%	15	.	4,684	47.5%
LA	ST. MARTIN	480	29.6%	1,346	44.2%	10	.	1,836	40.4%
LA	ST. MARY	538	40.5%	2,130	49.4%	36	.	2,704	47.3%
LA	ST. TAMMANY	319	32.6%	5,112	53.9%	53	49.1%	5,484	52.6%
LA	TANGIPAHOA	823	28.7%	2,766	42.4%	17	.	3,606	39.2%
LA	TENSAS	242	13.2%	277	36.8%	2	.	521	25.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
LA	TERREBONNE	403	42.9%	2,730	46.6%	52	50.0%	3,185	46.2%
LA	UNION	314	28.0%	1,237	47.5%	1	.	1,552	43.6%
LA	UNKNOWN	13	7.7%	55	58.2%	4	.	72	47.2%
LA	VERMILION	293	31.1%	3,261	38.9%	20	.	3,574	38.1%
LA	VERNON	118	26.3%	1,440	36.0%	39	.	1,597	34.9%
LA	WASHINGTON	487	35.1%	1,885	41.8%	13	.	2,385	40.4%
LA	WEBSTER	806	39.5%	2,324	47.7%	20	.	3,150	45.5%
LA	WEST BATON ROUGE	187	31.0%	357	54.1%	1	.	545	46.1%
LA	WEST CARROLL	112	37.5%	873	39.6%	5	.	990	39.3%
LA	WEST FELICIANA	111	40.5%	167	49.7%	0	.	278	46.0%
LA	WINN	230	29.6%	823	45.6%	8	.	1,061	42.1%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MA	BARNSTABLE	218	52.8%	21,234	63.4%	173	52.0%	21,625	63.2%
MA	BERKSHIRE	103	41.7%	11,236	56.1%	58	32.8%	11,397	55.9%
MA	BRISTOL	353	50.1%	26,089	50.9%	410	48.0%	26,852	50.9%
MA	DUKES	63	61.9%	1,071	55.9%	20		1,154	56.1%
MA	ESSEX	289	49.8%	33,565	55.4%	1,021	49.9%	34,875	55.2%
MA	FRANKLIN	16	12.5%	3,206	53.8%	24	45.8%	3,246	53.6%
MA	HAMPDEN	1,032	54.9%	22,364	53.8%	677	53.2%	24,073	53.9%
MA	HAMPSHIRE	35	42.9%	7,073	54.8%	91	40.7%	7,199	54.6%
MA	MIDDLESEX	1,070	50.7%	60,830	53.6%	1,621	37.5%	63,521	53.1%
MA	NANTUCKET			482	47.7%	6		497	47.3%
MA	NORFOLK	431	49.4%	30,832	55.1%	747	37.2%	32,010	54.6%
MA	PLYMOUTH	445	43.4%	17,167	52.8%	341	42.8%	17,953	52.3%
MA	SUFFOLK	4,094	49.3%	21,101	49.8%	2,185	37.8%	27,380	48.8%
MA	UNKNOWN			82	36.6%	11		97	36.1%
MA	WORCESTER	221	45.7%	23,784	49.7%	536	38.8%	24,541	49.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MD	ALLEGANY	80	51.3%	6,619	57.3%	56	37.5%	6,755	57.1%
MD	ANNE ARUNDEL	1,884	45.2%	17,378	53.1%	324	34.0%	19,586	52.0%
MD	BALTIMORE	3,754	49.8%	38,789	56.3%	582	38.0%	43,125	55.5%
MD	BALTIMORE CITY	15,836	48.9%	18,573	49.2%	430	27.4%	34,839	48.8%
MD	CALVERT	408	48.5%	2,299	58.5%	24	54.2%	2,731	56.9%
MD	CAROLINE	245	48.2%	1,264	55.2%	8		1,517	53.9%
MD	CARROLL	151	40.4%	7,320	51.4%	52	44.2%	7,523	51.2%
MD	CECIL	101	37.6%	3,260	47.4%	34	41.2%	3,395	47.0%
MD	CHARLES	608	40.3%	2,894	50.9%	57	29.8%	3,559	48.7%
MD	DORCHESTER	499	50.3%	1,440	55.1%	5		1,944	53.7%
MD	FREDERICK	342	44.7%	7,993	51.2%	102	39.2%	8,437	50.8%
MD	GARRETT			1,763	57.3%	9		1,774	57.3%
MD	HARFORD	525	44.6%	7,781	51.9%	125	44.0%	8,431	51.3%
MD	HOWARD	587	45.0%	4,697	52.1%	181	39.8%	5,465	50.9%
MD	KENT	248	50.0%	1,435	60.2%	12		1,695	58.5%
MD	MONTGOMERY	2,732	47.9%	33,089	56.6%	2,591	37.0%	38,412	54.7%
MD	PRINCE GEORGES	7,658	43.8%	13,222	49.0%	846	32.3%	21,726	46.5%
MD	QUEEN ANNES	213	45.5%	1,419	55.3%	8		1,640	53.8%
MD	SOMERSET	411	50.6%	1,007	53.5%	4		1,422	52.7%
MD	ST. MARYS	438	49.8%	2,636	54.7%	31		3,105	53.7%
MD	TALBOT	325	45.8%	2,361	62.2%	4		2,690	60.2%
MD	UNKNOWN	15	26.7%	75	44.0%	7		97	38.1%
MD	WASHINGTON	122	42.6%	8,325	57.2%	78	34.6%	8,525	56.7%
MD	WICOMICO	793	49.9%	3,642	59.5%	30	50.0%	4,465	57.7%
MD	WORCESTER	503	39.2%	3,152	59.8%	22		3,677	56.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
ME	ANDROSCOGGIN	.	.	7,364	59.4%	29	51.7%	7,401	59.4%
ME	AROOSTOOK	.	.	6,079	61.6%	32	40.6%	6,118	61.5%
ME	CUMBERLAND	53	54.7%	17,616	59.0%	94	41.5%	17,763	58.9%
ME	FRANKLIN	.	.	2,052	62.3%	10	.	2,062	62.2%
ME	HANCOCK	.	.	4,071	62.5%	18	.	4,091	62.5%
ME	KENNEBEC	.	.	8,276	61.7%	49	40.8%	8,334	61.5%
ME	KNOX	.	.	3,289	62.4%	14	.	3,305	62.4%
ME	LINCOLN	.	.	2,925	61.7%	14	.	2,942	61.5%
ME	OXFORD	.	.	4,093	62.6%	19	57.9%	4,113	62.6%
ME	PENOBSCOT	13	69.2%	9,383	62.6%	83	56.6%	9,479	62.6%
ME	PISCATAQUIS	.	.	1,534	62.1%	18	.	1,556	61.8%
ME	SAGADAHOC	.	.	2,085	55.6%	16	.	2,104	55.6%
ME	SOMERSET	.	.	3,456	62.2%	15	.	3,474	62.1%
ME	UNKNOWN	.	.	54	59.3%	3	.	59	57.6%
ME	WALDO	.	.	2,336	64.3%	13	.	2,352	64.2%
ME	WASHINGTON	.	.	2,889	55.4%	28	53.6%	2,919	55.4%
ME	YORK	18	61.1%	12,082	59.4%	62	51.6%	12,162	59.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
MI	ALCONA	.	.	1,207	65.5%	5	.	1,215	65.4%
MI	ALGER	.	.	823	60.4%	6	.	829	60.6%
MI	ALLEGAN	60	36.7%	4,772	55.7%	42	35.7%	4,874	55.3%
MI	ALPENA	.	.	2,825	62.8%	4	.	2,834	62.7%
MI	ANTRIM	.	.	1,719	63.5%	6	.	1,728	63.5%
MI	ARENAC	.	.	1,415	58.9%	5	.	1,421	59.0%
MI	BARAGA	.	.	720	52.9%	17	.	737	53.1%
MI	BARRY	.	.	2,831	57.4%	8	.	2,846	57.5%
MI	BAY	54	53.7%	8,034	52.2%	62	29.0%	8,150	52.0%
MI	BENZIE	.	.	1,232	61.9%	10	.	1,244	61.7%
MI	BERRIEN	964	53.7%	11,458	57.8%	98	42.9%	12,520	57.4%
MI	BRANCH	.	.	2,872	54.9%	15	.	2,891	54.9%
MI	CALHOUN	713	50.4%	8,854	61.2%	87	39.1%	9,654	60.2%
MI	CASS	211	34.1%	2,581	53.8%	19	.	2,811	52.2%
MI	CHARLEVOIX	.	.	1,957	62.7%	20	65.0%	1,977	62.8%
MI	CHEBOYGAN	.	.	1,976	64.4%	10	.	1,989	64.4%
MI	CHIPPEWA	.	.	2,390	62.2%	30	70.0%	2,422	62.3%
MI	CLARE	.	.	2,578	53.0%	11	.	2,592	52.8%
MI	CLINTON	.	.	2,423	62.5%	17	.	2,447	62.4%
MI	CRAWFORD	.	.	874	60.1%	3	.	877	60.0%
MI	DELTA	.	.	3,317	60.8%	40	37.5%	3,360	60.5%
MI	DICKINSON	.	.	2,589	59.4%	8	.	2,598	59.4%
MI	EATON	24	66.7%	3,718	58.5%	33	39.4%	3,775	58.4%
MI	EMMET	.	.	2,306	64.3%	32	53.1%	2,344	64.0%
MI	GENESEE	3,102	56.4%	20,918	62.9%	174	52.9%	24,194	62.0%
MI	GLADWIN	.	.	2,165	56.8%	8	.	2,174	56.7%
MI	GOGEBIC	.	.	2,063	48.6%	14	.	2,082	48.5%
MI	GRAND TRAVERSE	.	.	5,761	67.2%	29	41.4%	5,795	67.1%
MI	GRATIOT	.	.	2,915	55.6%	29	37.9%	2,949	55.5%
MI	HILLSDALE	.	.	2,910	54.9%	13	.	2,926	54.9%
MI	HOUGHTON	.	.	2,720	49.4%	9	.	2,731	49.4%
MI	HURON	.	.	3,527	57.5%	22	54.5%	3,553	57.5%
MI	INGHAM	810	54.8%	12,491	62.0%	225	46.7%	13,526	61.3%
MI	IONIA	.	.	3,022	56.7%	14	.	3,043	56.5%
MI	IOSCO	.	.	2,785	63.9%	15	.	2,805	63.8%
MI	IRON	.	.	1,711	49.0%	9	.	1,721	49.0%
MI	ISABELLA	15	46.7%	2,620	63.3%	33	42.4%	2,668	63.0%
MI	JACKSON	377	55.2%	9,407	62.4%	68	44.1%	9,852	62.0%
MI	KALAMAZOO	665	48.9%	13,138	60.3%	117	37.6%	13,920	59.5%
MI	KALKASKA	.	.	853	63.1%	5	.	858	63.1%
MI	KENT	1,397	50.3%	29,401	58.5%	350	37.7%	31,148	57.9%
MI	KEWEENAW	.	.	207	49.3%	0	.	208	49.0%
MI	LAKE	117	42.7%	749	59.1%	6	.	872	56.8%
MI	LAPEER	.	.	3,662	51.6%	31	.	3,700	51.4%
MI	LEELANAU	.	.	1,312	71.3%	16	.	1,329	71.1%
MI	LENAWEE	20	50.0%	6,633	57.5%	96	52.1%	6,749	57.4%
MI	LIVINGSTON	16	62.5%	4,733	55.9%	16	.	4,765	55.8%
MI	LUCE	.	.	511	66.7%	4	.	515	66.6%
MI	MACKINAC	.	.	926	60.5%	5	.	931	60.5%
MI	MACOMB	467	52.5%	49,300	55.7%	519	36.6%	50,286	55.5%
MI	MANISTEE	.	.	2,110	56.6%	12	.	2,127	56.6%
MI	MARQUETTE	.	.	4,340	62.8%	37	45.9%	4,380	62.6%
MI	MASON	.	.	2,255	62.7%	19	.	2,279	62.6%
MI	MECOSTA	18	66.7%	2,392	66.1%	9	.	2,419	66.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
MI	MENOMINEE	.	.	2,078	46.1%	16	.	2,095	46.0%
MI	MIDLAND	.	.	4,881	60.5%	35	57.1%	4,926	60.5%
MI	MISSAUKEE	.	.	910	61.9%	3	.	913	61.8%
MI	MONROE	.	83 47.0%	7,578	48.6%	38	42.1%	7,699	48.5%
MI	MONTCALM	.	.	3,953	54.9%	12	.	3,972	54.8%
MI	MONTMORENCY	.	.	1,246	58.3%	7	.	1,255	58.3%
MI	MUSKEGON	.	996 53.0%	10,333	64.6%	78	55.1%	11,407	63.5%
MI	NEWAYGO	.	42 42.9%	2,532	57.1%	23	.	2,597	56.6%
MI	OAKLAND	.	3,737 49.8%	58,056	58.8%	967	42.1%	62,760	58.0%
MI	OCEANA	.	.	1,839	63.0%	22	.	1,868	62.7%
MI	OGEMAW	.	.	1,733	59.7%	3	.	1,738	59.6%
MI	ONTONAGON	.	.	753	44.2%	4	.	758	44.3%
MI	OSCEOLA	.	.	1,756	58.1%	3	.	1,761	58.2%
MI	OSCODA	.	.	644	56.1%	3	.	648	56.0%
MI	OTSEGO	.	.	1,582	60.1%	4	.	1,590	60.2%
MI	OTTAWA	.	34 29.4%	12,482	61.2%	145	35.9%	12,661	60.8%
MI	PRESQUE ISLE	.	.	1,627	61.3%	4	.	1,633	61.3%
MI	ROSCOMMON	.	.	2,920	60.9%	11	.	2,937	60.7%
MI	SAGINAW	.	1,461 50.0%	12,092	59.4%	227	51.5%	13,780	58.3%
MI	SANILAC	.	.	3,326	53.6%	17	.	3,346	53.5%
MI	SCHOOLCRAFT	.	.	830	60.1%	5	.	835	60.0%
MI	SHIAWASSEE	.	.	4,469	61.5%	31	48.4%	4,505	61.4%
MI	ST. CLAIR	.	139 54.0%	9,283	54.6%	72	30.6%	9,494	54.4%
MI	ST. JOSEPH	.	98 44.9%	3,897	51.7%	20	.	4,015	51.5%
MI	TUSCOLA	.	30 60.0%	3,735	53.8%	31	35.5%	3,796	53.7%
MI	UNKNOWN	.	20 30.0%	106	40.6%	14	.	140	37.1%
MI	VAN BUREN	.	313 45.0%	4,275	54.2%	44	56.8%	4,632	53.6%
MI	WASHTENAW	.	878 50.7%	9,406	61.2%	166	59.0%	10,450	60.3%
MI	WAYNE	.	35,582 48.5%	74,413	52.3%	1,491	36.5%	111,486	50.9%
MI	WEXFORD	.	.	2,194	60.3%	5	.	2,201	60.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
MN	AITKIN	.	.	1,347	60.6%	8	.	1,357	60.4%
MN	ANOKA	16	31.3%	5,448	52.5%	84	35.7%	5,548	52.2%
MN	BECKER	.	.	2,189	59.8%	40	.	2,232	59.1%
MN	BELTRAMI	.	.	2,187	56.8%	101	14.9%	2,290	55.0%
MN	BENTON	.	.	1,308	52.1%	9	.	1,318	52.0%
MN	BIG STONE	.	.	708	57.9%	4	.	712	57.9%
MN	BLUE EARTH	.	.	3,999	56.1%	22	50.0%	4,023	56.1%
MN	BROWN	.	.	2,555	51.4%	11	.	2,567	51.3%
MN	CARLTON	.	.	2,338	56.2%	31	.	2,369	55.8%
MN	CARVER	.	.	1,720	53.5%	14	.	1,739	53.4%
MN	CASS	.	.	1,999	52.1%	70	24.3%	2,071	51.1%
MN	CHIPPEWA	.	.	1,295	57.8%	4	.	1,300	57.7%
MN	CHISAGO	.	.	1,808	50.3%	11	.	1,820	50.2%
MN	CLAY	.	.	3,188	64.0%	33	66.7%	3,227	64.1%
MN	CLEARWATER	.	.	640	51.1%	14	.	654	50.6%
MN	COOK	.	.	337	64.1%	7	.	344	64.2%
MN	COTTONWOOD	.	.	1,394	53.4%	3	.	1,397	53.3%
MN	CROW WING	.	.	4,558	59.1%	24	.	4,586	59.0%
MN	DAKOTA	46	52.2%	7,659	54.6%	113	32.7%	7,818	54.2%
MN	DODGE	.	.	1,037	55.1%	5	.	1,044	55.1%
MN	DOUGLAS	.	.	2,921	57.1%	12	.	2,935	57.0%
MN	FARIBAULT	.	.	1,885	55.6%	13	.	1,899	55.5%
MN	FILLMORE	.	.	2,139	53.2%	9	.	2,148	53.3%
MN	FREEBORN	.	.	3,016	53.9%	27	.	3,046	53.7%
MN	GOODHUE	.	.	3,192	55.2%	14	.	3,209	55.1%
MN	GRANT	.	.	709	56.3%	4	.	714	56.4%
MN	HENNEPIN	956	48.8%	35,000	57.2%	784	37.2%	36,740	56.5%
MN	HOUSTON	.	.	1,537	57.2%	8	.	1,545	57.1%
MN	HUBBARD	.	.	1,393	54.7%	7	.	1,402	54.6%
MN	ISANTI	.	.	1,251	48.4%	4	.	1,259	48.3%
MN	ITASCA	.	.	3,517	59.7%	43	25.6%	3,564	59.3%
MN	JACKSON	.	.	1,029	53.4%	7	.	1,037	53.1%
MN	KANABEC	.	.	798	47.7%	2	.	801	47.8%
MN	KANDIYOHI	.	.	2,991	60.7%	20	.	3,013	60.7%
MN	KITSON	.	.	582	47.6%	3	.	586	47.6%
MN	KOOCHICHING	.	.	1,274	47.4%	11	.	1,285	47.2%
MN	LAC QUI PARLE	.	.	895	53.0%	7	.	902	52.5%
MN	LAKE	.	.	573	58.5%	7	.	580	57.9%
MN	LAKE OF THE WOODS	.	.	359	47.9%	0	.	359	47.9%
MN	LE SUEUR	.	.	1,955	48.5%	6	.	1,964	48.5%
MN	LINCOLN	.	.	749	62.5%	4	.	754	62.3%
MN	LYON	.	.	2,044	54.4%	13	.	2,057	54.5%
MN	MAHNOMEN	.	.	383	37.9%	26	.	409	36.2%
MN	MARSHALL	.	.	946	60.3%	1	.	949	60.3%
MN	MARTIN	.	.	2,279	55.1%	5	.	2,285	55.0%
MN	MC LEOD	.	.	2,223	49.3%	16	.	2,240	49.1%
MN	MEEKER	.	.	1,503	52.4%	15	.	1,518	52.2%
MN	MILLE LACS	.	.	1,655	53.2%	19	.	1,677	52.6%
MN	MORRISON	.	.	2,190	53.5%	13	.	2,205	53.4%
MN	MOWER	.	.	3,952	65.6%	22	.	3,977	65.5%
MN	MURRAY	.	.	932	59.2%	8	.	940	59.1%
MN	NICOLLET	.	.	1,210	53.8%	9	.	1,220	53.6%
MN	NOBLES	.	.	1,858	62.1%	21	.	1,880	61.6%
MN	NORMAN	.	.	764	56.5%	6	.	770	56.5%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MN	OLMSTED	19	63.2%	6,555	63.6%	88	52.3%	6,662	63.5%
MN	OTTER TAIL	.	.	4,930	55.6%	31	.	4,965	55.4%
MN	PENNINGTON	.	.	1,104	54.3%	5	.	1,109	54.4%
MN	PINE	.	.	1,599	48.4%	15	.	1,617	48.1%
MN	PIPESTONE	.	.	1,096	53.1%	5	.	1,101	53.1%
MN	POLK	.	.	2,653	56.6%	26	.	2,682	56.3%
MN	POPE	.	.	1,106	49.5%	10	.	1,117	49.2%
MN	RAMSEY	499	40.5%	20,854	53.7%	651	23.0%	22,004	52.5%
MN	RED LAKE	.	.	371	49.9%	0	.	372	50.0%
MN	REDWOOD	.	.	1,673	47.5%	12	.	1,687	47.4%
MN	RENVILLE	.	.	1,628	52.5%	9	.	1,638	52.6%
MN	RICE	.	.	3,116	59.0%	19	.	3,138	58.9%
MN	ROCK	.	.	1,004	53.8%	2	.	1,006	53.8%
MN	ROSEAU	.	.	980	51.0%	5	.	986	50.7%
MN	SCOTT	.	.	1,732	53.3%	22	.	1,759	53.3%
MN	SHERBURNE	.	.	1,625	51.7%	7	.	1,637	51.6%
MN	SIBLEY	.	.	992	52.7%	8	.	1,000	52.7%
MN	ST. LOUIS	34	67.6%	15,762	54.6%	141	41.8%	15,937	54.5%
MN	STEARNS	.	.	7,791	55.9%	47	38.3%	7,845	55.8%
MN	STEELE	.	.	2,318	61.2%	12	.	2,331	61.1%
MN	STEVENS	.	.	875	62.5%	4	.	880	62.7%
MN	SWIFT	.	.	1,182	51.9%	8	.	1,190	51.7%
MN	TODD	.	.	1,736	45.7%	7	.	1,744	45.7%
MN	TRAVERSE	.	.	508	66.1%	6	.	514	65.8%
MN	UNKNOWN	.	.	100	45.0%	16	.	118	41.5%
MN	WABASHA	.	.	1,699	55.3%	7	.	1,708	55.3%
MN	WADENA	.	.	1,361	50.3%	6	.	1,369	50.4%
MN	WASECA	.	.	1,439	54.3%	5	.	1,445	54.3%
MN	WASHINGTON	.	.	3,857	53.2%	37	.	3,902	52.8%
MN	WATONWAN	.	.	1,140	51.8%	19	.	1,159	51.3%
MN	WILKIN	.	.	590	56.8%	7	.	598	56.9%
MN	WINONA	.	.	3,202	51.2%	18	.	3,223	51.1%
MN	WRIGHT	.	.	3,419	53.9%	15	.	3,439	53.8%
MN	YELLOW MEDICINE	.	.	1,253	60.8%	13	.	1,266	60.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
MO	ADAIR	.	.	1,506	38.4%	6	.	1,516	38.5%
MO	ANDREW	.	.	973	44.6%	8	.	981	44.3%
MO	ATCHISON	.	.	732	44.3%	2	.	736	44.0%
MO	AUDRAIN	90	42.2%	2,077	52.6%	7	.	2,174	52.2%
MO	BARRY	.	.	2,671	45.3%	20	.	2,692	45.2%
MO	BARTON	.	.	989	49.3%	9	.	998	49.4%
MO	BATES	.	.	1,377	38.4%	6	.	1,390	38.3%
MO	BENTON	.	.	1,581	40.0%	10	.	1,597	39.9%
MO	BOLLINGER	.	.	775	42.2%	4	.	779	42.0%
MO	BOONE	272	41.2%	5,135	58.3%	39	51.3%	5,446	57.4%
MO	BUCHANAN	140	40.7%	6,810	45.0%	67	32.8%	7,017	44.8%
MO	BUTLER	100	34.0%	3,079	48.6%	16	.	3,195	48.0%
MO	CALDWELL	.	.	756	41.3%	1	.	758	41.2%
MO	CALLAWAY	91	33.0%	1,888	45.2%	11	.	1,990	44.6%
MO	CAMDEN	.	.	2,394	50.6%	13	.	2,410	50.6%
MO	CAPE GIRARDEAU	88	42.0%	4,636	50.7%	31	45.2%	4,755	50.5%
MO	CARROLL	.	.	1,050	43.0%	6	.	1,066	42.8%
MO	CARTER	.	.	457	43.5%	3	.	461	43.2%
MO	CASS	23	52.2%	3,126	46.4%	15	.	3,164	46.4%
MO	CEDAR	.	.	1,334	38.5%	5	.	1,342	38.3%
MO	CHARITON	37	32.4%	760	40.1%	4	.	801	39.7%
MO	CHRISTIAN	.	.	2,402	49.8%	12	.	2,416	49.8%
MO	CLARK	.	.	592	42.2%	2	.	594	42.1%
MO	CLAY	52	36.5%	6,934	53.1%	68	30.9%	7,054	52.8%
MO	CLINTON	20	20.0%	1,373	38.4%	7	.	1,400	38.1%
MO	COLE	101	56.4%	4,120	55.3%	29	.	4,250	55.1%
MO	COOPER	47	46.8%	1,199	45.5%	13	.	1,259	45.4%
MO	CRAWFORD	.	.	1,194	40.9%	7	.	1,205	40.7%
MO	DADE	.	.	759	45.5%	4	.	764	45.4%
MO	DALLAS	.	.	941	39.3%	6	.	947	39.2%
MO	DAVISS	.	.	657	37.7%	3	.	660	37.6%
MO	DE KALB	.	.	628	43.9%	2	.	631	43.9%
MO	DENT	.	.	1,246	37.2%	6	.	1,253	37.2%
MO	DOUGLAS	.	.	902	41.9%	1	.	903	41.9%
MO	DUNKLIN	89	23.6%	2,724	41.1%	10	.	2,823	40.5%
MO	FRANKLIN	25	40.0%	3,825	45.8%	23	.	3,873	45.6%
MO	GASCONADE	.	.	1,431	45.6%	4	.	1,435	45.7%
MO	GENTRY	.	.	760	46.8%	5	.	765	46.5%
MO	GREENE	140	29.3%	14,144	54.7%	148	35.1%	14,432	54.3%
MO	GRUNDY	.	.	1,103	44.8%	7	.	1,111	44.7%
MO	HARRISON	.	.	978	46.1%	4	.	982	46.0%
MO	HENRY	15	60.0%	2,105	39.5%	12	.	2,132	39.5%
MO	HICKORY	.	.	882	48.9%	5	.	891	48.6%
MO	HOLT	.	.	589	51.1%	1	.	590	51.0%
MO	HOWARD	54	40.7%	760	41.3%	7	.	821	41.2%
MO	HOWELL	.	.	3,015	42.7%	13	.	3,034	42.6%
MO	IRON	.	.	858	36.4%	9	.	873	36.3%
MO	JACKSON	3,950	45.3%	23,549	52.7%	395	42.5%	27,894	51.5%
MO	JASPER	78	48.7%	8,284	53.4%	42	33.3%	8,404	53.2%
MO	JEFFERSON	54	44.4%	5,135	46.2%	36	33.3%	5,225	46.1%
MO	JOHNSON	46	37.0%	2,018	47.8%	14	.	2,078	47.5%
MO	KNOX	.	.	438	37.9%	2	.	442	37.8%
MO	LACLEDE	.	.	2,047	39.7%	11	.	2,068	39.6%
MO	LAFAYETTE	35	28.6%	2,428	41.1%	12	.	2,475	40.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MO	LAWRENCE	.	.	2,506	45.4%	11	.	2,518	45.4%
MO	LEWIS	17	5.9%	835	38.3%	3	.	855	37.5%
MO	LINCOLN	28	21.4%	1,703	45.1%	8	.	1,739	44.6%
MO	LINN	13	30.8%	1,449	37.3%	15	.	1,477	37.1%
MO	LIVINGSTON	14	35.7%	1,407	48.7%	4	.	1,425	48.5%
MO	MACON	20	45.0%	1,484	39.4%	7	.	1,511	39.4%
MO	MADISON	.	.	1,026	40.3%	4	.	1,031	40.3%
MO	MARIES	.	.	499	45.9%	1	.	501	45.9%
MO	MARION	86	30.2%	2,288	46.3%	21	.	2,395	45.6%
MO	MC DONALD	.	.	1,068	37.5%	8	.	1,079	37.3%
MO	MERCER	.	.	408	41.9%	0	.	408	41.9%
MO	MILLER	.	.	1,802	45.2%	7	.	1,812	45.0%
MO	MISSISSIPPI	132	18.9%	1,040	38.7%	2	.	1,174	36.5%
MO	MONITEAU	.	.	1,032	44.4%	8	.	1,045	44.3%
MO	MONROE	20	35.0%	793	45.3%	8	.	821	44.9%
MO	MONTGOMERY	18	33.3%	998	48.0%	4	.	1,020	47.6%
MO	MORGAN	11	27.3%	1,604	45.6%	4	.	1,619	45.5%
MO	NEW MADRID	156	28.8%	1,268	41.4%	6	.	1,430	39.9%
MO	NEWTON	.	.	2,588	49.0%	15	.	2,607	48.9%
MO	NODAWAY	.	.	1,535	39.7%	7	.	1,543	39.7%
MO	OREGON	.	.	836	40.2%	8	.	846	40.3%
MO	OSAGE	.	.	820	50.6%	3	.	824	50.5%
MO	OZARK	.	.	755	41.9%	4	.	760	41.8%
MO	PEMISCOT	251	31.9%	1,263	43.0%	1	.	1,515	41.1%
MO	PERRY	.	.	1,345	47.4%	2	.	1,348	47.5%
MO	PETTIS	67	34.3%	2,938	41.6%	29	.	3,034	41.2%
MO	PHELPS	11	72.7%	2,660	47.1%	21	.	2,692	47.1%
MO	PIKE	64	28.1%	1,254	36.8%	9	.	1,327	36.4%
MO	PLATTE	16	12.5%	2,108	51.6%	15	.	2,139	51.4%
MO	POLK	.	.	2,058	46.8%	12	.	2,073	46.6%
MO	PULASKI	35	28.6%	1,612	35.0%	43	.	1,690	34.4%
MO	PUTNAM	.	.	537	35.9%	2	.	540	35.7%
MO	RALLS	11	27.3%	496	44.8%	3	.	510	44.3%
MO	RANDOLPH	60	33.3%	1,737	43.1%	14	.	1,811	42.7%
MO	RAY	11	45.5%	1,197	40.2%	9	.	1,217	40.0%
MO	REYNOLDS	.	.	428	42.8%	2	.	430	42.6%
MO	RIPLEY	.	.	1,043	37.2%	2	.	1,048	37.3%
MO	SALINE	80	43.8%	1,963	51.8%	14	.	2,057	51.2%
MO	SCHUYLER	.	.	446	38.1%	0	.	446	38.1%
MO	SCOTLAND	.	.	467	36.8%	2	.	469	36.7%
MO	SCOTT	120	22.5%	2,910	43.3%	26	.	3,056	42.3%
MO	SHANNON	.	.	525	36.0%	1	.	526	35.9%
MO	SHELBY	.	.	742	42.2%	5	.	754	41.8%
MO	ST. CHARLES	99	47.5%	7,884	54.4%	61	26.2%	8,044	54.1%
MO	ST. CLAIR	.	.	845	38.3%	6	.	851	38.4%
MO	ST. FRANCOIS	11	27.3%	4,122	45.1%	20	.	4,153	45.1%
MO	ST. LOUIS	4,329	44.5%	46,484	56.7%	466	45.7%	51,279	55.6%
MO	ST. LOUIS CITY	6,684	41.5%	9,194	44.8%	160	36.9%	16,038	43.4%
MO	STE. GENEVIEVE	.	.	1,156	50.4%	6	.	1,167	50.5%
MO	STODDARD	18	5.6%	2,589	41.2%	5	.	2,612	41.0%
MO	STONE	.	.	2,051	51.6%	10	.	2,062	51.5%
MO	SULLIVAN	.	.	640	30.8%	3	.	643	30.6%
MO	TANEY	.	.	2,941	52.2%	20	.	2,965	51.9%
MO	TEXAS	.	.	1,855	38.7%	5	.	1,861	38.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MO	UNKNOWN	.	.	105	42.9%	16	.	128	39.8%
MO	VERNON	.	.	1,567	36.0%	16	.	1,583	35.8%
MO	WARREN		17 29.4%	914	45.3%	8	.	939	44.8%
MO	WASHINGTON	.	.	1,065	40.3%	3	.	1,074	40.0%
MO	WAYNE	.	.	1,243	44.7%	3	.	1,247	44.7%
MO	WEBSTER	.	.	1,720	44.7%	6	.	1,728	44.6%
MO	WORTH	.	.	269	36.1%	1	.	270	35.9%
MO	WRIGHT	.	.	1,553	42.7%	6	.	1,562	42.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MS	ADAMS	1,100	33.0%	1,576	48.0%	12	.	2,688	41.9%
MS	ALCORN	182	29.1%	2,351	39.0%	11	.	2,544	38.2%
MS	AMITE	330	37.3%	566	45.8%	5	.	901	42.6%
MS	ATTALA	498	33.7%	1,197	43.2%	6	.	1,701	40.3%
MS	BENTON	150	36.0%	456	39.0%	2	.	608	38.3%
MS	BOLIVAR	1,193	24.8%	1,243	47.9%	17	.	2,453	36.6%
MS	CALHOUN	209	23.9%	1,034	34.1%	7	.	1,250	32.2%
MS	CARROLL	189	24.3%	415	36.1%	1	.	605	32.4%
MS	CHICKASAW	383	22.5%	1,075	40.2%	4	.	1,462	35.5%
MS	CHOCTAW	139	27.3%	428	41.6%	2	.	569	38.0%
MS	CLAIBORNE	376	28.2%	206	44.2%	2	.	584	33.7%
MS	CLARKE	380	29.5%	921	43.6%	6	.	1,307	39.3%
MS	CLAY	554	27.1%	853	46.0%	2	.	1,409	38.5%
MS	COAHOMA	1,055	26.5%	946	51.9%	21	.	2,022	38.6%
MS	COPIAH	737	27.3%	1,439	46.1%	6	.	2,182	39.6%
MS	COVINGTON	284	23.2%	985	40.5%	6	.	1,275	36.5%
MS	DE SOTO	443	29.3%	4,116	49.4%	31	.	4,590	47.3%
MS	FORREST	899	35.2%	3,976	52.6%	34	52.9%	4,909	49.4%
MS	FRANKLIN	129	16.3%	430	36.0%	4	.	563	31.4%
MS	GEORGE	76	44.7%	1,058	42.3%	6	.	1,140	42.5%
MS	GREENE	110	30.9%	433	37.0%	2	.	545	35.6%
MS	GRENADA	521	20.5%	1,168	37.2%	7	.	1,696	32.0%
MS	HANCOCK	133	36.8%	2,093	50.7%	20	.	2,246	49.8%
MS	HARRISON	1,087	35.1%	7,583	50.0%	138	23.2%	8,808	47.7%
MS	HINDS	5,158	39.6%	8,777	59.1%	81	42.0%	14,016	51.8%
MS	HOLMES	902	19.5%	626	35.9%	8	.	1,536	26.1%
MS	HUMPHREYS	423	14.7%	307	39.7%	4	.	734	25.2%
MS	ISSAQUENA	37	13.5%	14	64.3%	0	.	51	27.5%
MS	ITAWAMBA	78	28.2%	1,219	33.4%	3	.	1,300	33.2%
MS	JACKSON	733	43.9%	4,996	51.9%	47	42.6%	5,776	50.8%
MS	JASPER	466	23.2%	782	41.6%	7	.	1,255	34.8%
MS	JEFFERSON	338	21.6%	139	45.3%	1	.	478	28.5%
MS	JEFFERSON DAVIS	302	29.1%	543	41.3%	1	.	846	36.9%
MS	JONES	801	39.1%	3,858	47.4%	18	.	4,677	45.9%
MS	KEMPER	313	31.0%	411	42.1%	4	.	728	37.1%
MS	LAFAYETTE	365	26.6%	1,216	49.6%	4	.	1,585	44.2%
MS	LAMAR	131	35.1%	1,336	41.5%	5	.	1,472	40.9%
MS	LAUDERDALE	1,436	35.2%	4,292	51.9%	32	.	5,760	47.6%
MS	LAWRENCE	271	28.0%	845	40.4%	4	.	1,120	37.5%
MS	LEAKE	381	23.6%	1,168	34.6%	18	.	1,567	31.6%
MS	LEE	683	28.7%	4,150	42.9%	26	.	4,859	40.8%
MS	LEFLORE	1,156	21.5%	1,330	38.3%	13	.	2,499	30.5%
MS	LINCOLN	450	36.7%	1,753	51.4%	6	.	2,209	48.3%
MS	LOWNDES	1,014	39.0%	2,535	54.7%	23	.	3,572	50.1%
MS	MADISON	1,091	27.0%	2,402	59.5%	17	.	3,510	49.3%
MS	MARION	458	26.2%	1,549	42.2%	6	.	2,013	38.5%
MS	MARSHALL	775	28.3%	1,111	44.6%	6	.	1,892	37.8%
MS	MONROE	532	30.5%	2,078	44.9%	15	.	2,625	41.9%
MS	MONTGOMERY	349	19.5%	755	37.7%	3	.	1,107	32.0%
MS	NESHOBA	256	28.1%	1,303	38.2%	58	.	1,617	35.6%
MS	NEWTON	335	26.9%	1,600	44.3%	19	.	1,954	41.0%
MS	NOXUBEE	468	37.6%	407	44.5%	2	.	877	40.7%
MS	OKTIBBEHA	583	31.2%	1,318	52.0%	11	.	1,912	45.7%
MS	PANOLA	681	28.8%	1,435	44.9%	6	.	2,122	39.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
MS	PEARL RIVER	263	27.0%	2,482	41.3%	13	.	2,758	39.9%
MS	PERRY	88	19.3%	488	43.4%	3	.	579	39.7%
MS	PIKE	876	28.0%	1,949	44.8%	29	.	2,854	39.3%
MS	PONTOTOC	127	24.4%	1,502	39.9%	4	.	1,633	38.6%
MS	PRENTISS	122	26.2%	1,893	37.2%	4	.	2,019	36.6%
MS	QUITMAN	360	22.5%	333	53.2%	6	.	699	36.9%
MS	RANKIN	630	30.5%	4,471	56.1%	26	42.3%	5,127	52.9%
MS	SCOTT	464	28.4%	1,380	45.0%	7	.	1,851	40.8%
MS	SHARKEY	208	16.3%	222	41.4%	3	.	433	29.1%
MS	SIMPSON	363	22.9%	1,274	36.6%	11	.	1,648	33.6%
MS	SMITH	195	26.7%	725	37.8%	3	.	923	35.3%
MS	STONE	96	33.3%	702	40.9%	1	.	799	39.9%
MS	SUNFLOWER	833	17.9%	835	41.8%	11	.	1,679	29.7%
MS	TALLAHATCHIE	365	19.2%	541	40.1%	8	.	914	31.7%
MS	TATE	359	27.3%	1,068	36.6%	4	.	1,431	34.3%
MS	TIPPAH	159	18.9%	1,506	32.7%	3	.	1,668	31.4%
MS	TISHOMINGO	38	36.8%	1,788	36.3%	4	.	1,830	36.3%
MS	TUNICA	268	25.4%	196	32.1%	0	.	464	28.2%
MS	UNION	176	24.4%	1,577	42.2%	3	.	1,756	40.4%
MS	UNKNOWN	.	.	26	42.3%	2	.	38	31.6%
MS	WALTHALL	264	27.3%	633	39.7%	4	.	901	36.1%
MS	WARREN	1,023	28.3%	1,843	44.7%	23	.	2,889	38.8%
MS	WASHINGTON	1,738	34.2%	1,974	52.7%	29	55.2%	3,741	44.1%
MS	WAYNE	247	22.7%	749	39.9%	7	.	1,003	35.6%
MS	WEBSTER	128	35.2%	753	45.6%	2	.	883	43.9%
MS	WILKINSON	399	36.1%	278	38.5%	3	.	680	37.1%
MS	WINSTON	455	51.6%	1,056	60.7%	13	.	1,524	57.8%
MS	YALOBUSHA	281	21.7%	927	37.6%	5	.	1,213	34.0%
MS	YAZOO	752	35.5%	1,045	47.2%	13	.	1,810	42.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
MT	BEAVERHEAD	.	.	544	57.9%	7	.	552	57.6%
MT	BIG HORN	.	.	289	45.7%	119	12.6%	409	35.9%
MT	BLAINE	.	.	345	50.7%	53	47.2%	399	50.4%
MT	BROADWATER	.	.	291	52.9%	3	.	295	52.2%
MT	CARBON	.	.	614	52.4%	2	.	616	52.6%
MT	CARTER	.	.	120	42.5%	2	.	122	41.8%
MT	CASCADE	13	38.5%	5,297	63.1%	104	39.4%	5,414	62.5%
MT	CHOUTEAU	.	.	462	62.1%	2	.	464	62.1%
MT	CUSTER	.	.	959	52.0%	14	.	974	51.7%
MT	DANIELS	.	.	228	39.9%	2	.	230	40.0%
MT	DAWSON	.	.	737	49.5%	10	.	747	49.4%
MT	DEER LODGE	.	.	881	50.3%	7	.	889	50.1%
MT	FALLON	.	.	242	53.7%	4	.	247	53.4%
MT	FERGUS	.	.	1,157	64.1%	9	.	1,166	64.2%
MT	FLATHEAD	.	.	4,660	57.6%	57	49.1%	4,719	57.5%
MT	GALLATIN	.	.	2,783	55.9%	24	.	2,809	55.8%
MT	GARFIELD	.	.	126	37.3%	0	.	126	37.3%
MT	GLACIER	.	.	390	44.9%	113	14.2%	504	37.9%
MT	GOLDEN VALLEY	.	.	73	58.9%	0	.	73	58.9%
MT	GRANITE	.	.	174	46.0%	1	.	175	45.7%
MT	HILL	.	.	1,012	54.7%	64	37.5%	1,076	53.7%
MT	JEFFERSON	.	.	451	41.9%	6	.	457	41.8%
MT	JUDITH BASIN	.	.	176	58.5%	1	.	177	58.8%
MT	LAKE	.	.	1,501	49.8%	58	39.7%	1,561	49.5%
MT	LEWIS AND CLARK	.	.	3,165	58.0%	31	38.7%	3,200	57.8%
MT	LIBERTY	.	.	302	54.3%	4	.	306	53.9%
MT	LINCOLN	.	.	1,155	52.6%	8	.	1,165	52.5%
MT	MADISON	.	.	491	42.2%	0	.	493	42.0%
MT	MCCONE	.	.	163	52.8%	0	.	163	52.8%
MT	MEAGHER	.	.	163	42.3%	0	.	163	42.3%
MT	MINERAL	.	.	254	56.7%	5	.	259	56.4%
MT	MISSOULA	.	.	4,592	57.8%	64	46.9%	4,661	57.7%
MT	MUSSELSHELL	.	.	333	46.2%	5	.	338	46.2%
MT	PARK	.	.	1,091	47.1%	24	.	1,115	46.9%
MT	PETROLEUM	.	.	27	33.3%	1	.	28	32.1%
MT	PHILLIPS	.	.	371	46.6%	14	.	385	46.0%
MT	PONDERA	.	.	532	47.0%	16	.	549	46.1%
MT	POWDER RIVER	.	.	133	49.6%	1	.	134	49.3%
MT	POWELL	.	.	469	46.3%	9	.	479	46.1%
MT	PRAIRIE	.	.	140	32.9%	1	.	141	32.6%
MT	RAVALLI	.	.	2,460	54.4%	17	.	2,479	54.3%
MT	RICHLAND	.	.	767	54.9%	8	.	775	54.6%
MT	ROOSEVELT	.	.	537	49.2%	84	32.1%	621	46.9%
MT	ROSEBUD	.	.	329	43.8%	40	.	369	40.9%
MT	SANDERS	.	.	713	48.0%	14	.	727	47.9%
MT	SHERIDAN	.	.	495	43.0%	1	.	496	43.1%
MT	SILVER BOW	.	.	2,740	44.7%	35	40.0%	2,780	44.6%
MT	STILLWATER	.	.	477	54.7%	6	.	483	54.9%
MT	SWEET GRASS	.	.	284	48.2%	2	.	286	47.9%
MT	TETON	.	.	496	56.3%	1	.	497	56.3%
MT	TOOLE	.	.	237	46.4%	6	.	243	46.1%
MT	TREASURE	.	.	76	46.1%	1	.	77	45.5%
MT	UNKNOWN	.	.	49	44.9%	4	.	55	43.6%
MT	VALLEY	.	.	702	58.8%	19	.	722	58.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
MT	WHEATLAND	.	.	193	47.7%	6	.	199	47.2%
MT	WIBAUX	.	.	101	44.6%	1	.	102	45.1%
MT	YELLOWSTONE	21	66.7%	7,566	62.7%	107	41.1%	7,694	62.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NC	ALAMANCE	1,337	41.7%	7,501	59.1%	41	.	8,879	56.3%
NC	ALEXANDER	112	45.5%	1,760	56.3%	8	.	1,880	55.5%
NC	ALLEGHANY	12	8.3%	964	46.3%	3	.	979	45.8%
NC	ANSON	681	44.6%	1,165	51.3%	12	.	1,858	48.8%
NC	ASHE	12	50.0%	2,058	49.7%	8	.	2,078	49.7%
NC	AVERY	.	.	1,360	46.3%	6	.	1,371	46.2%
NC	BEAUFORT	918	43.1%	2,656	54.6%	13	.	3,587	51.6%
NC	BERTIE	910	51.8%	816	56.0%	8	.	1,734	53.9%
NC	BLADEN	691	40.5%	1,437	49.5%	19	.	2,147	46.6%
NC	BRUNSWICK	566	44.3%	4,599	60.5%	18	.	5,183	58.7%
NC	BUNCOMBE	986	40.3%	15,123	54.2%	118	46.6%	16,227	53.3%
NC	BURKE	326	41.4%	5,198	49.4%	50	24.0%	5,574	48.7%
NC	CABARRUS	798	38.5%	7,815	51.2%	46	37.0%	8,659	49.9%
NC	CALDWELL	215	44.2%	4,698	52.1%	15	.	4,928	51.7%
NC	CAMDEN	94	45.7%	315	61.9%	4	.	413	58.6%
NC	CARTERET	258	44.2%	3,977	61.1%	35	51.4%	4,270	60.0%
NC	CASWELL	515	47.2%	796	52.3%	5	.	1,316	50.3%
NC	CATAWBA	491	32.6%	8,611	53.6%	72	22.2%	9,174	52.3%
NC	CHATHAM	499	46.9%	2,430	60.3%	12	.	2,941	58.0%
NC	CHEROKEE	27	40.7%	2,165	44.8%	17	76.5%	2,209	45.0%
NC	CHOWAN	400	40.3%	893	64.6%	6	.	1,299	57.0%
NC	CLAY	.	.	900	49.3%	0	.	905	49.3%
NC	CLEVELAND	972	44.4%	5,952	50.5%	18	.	6,942	49.7%
NC	COLUMBUS	1,066	48.1%	2,768	58.2%	67	44.8%	3,901	55.2%
NC	CRAVEN	1,218	53.5%	4,885	67.6%	61	54.1%	6,164	64.7%
NC	CUMBERLAND	3,163	43.9%	7,915	54.1%	357	38.1%	11,435	50.8%
NC	CURRITUCK	102	34.3%	884	53.2%	8	.	994	51.4%
NC	DARE	42	28.6%	1,678	53.7%	10	.	1,730	53.0%
NC	DAVIDSON	454	38.8%	5,816	47.9%	32	.	6,302	47.1%
NC	DAVIE	136	41.2%	1,679	51.3%	11	.	1,826	50.5%
NC	DUPLIN	1,013	41.4%	1,966	52.9%	25	.	3,004	48.9%
NC	DURHAM	3,241	44.2%	7,006	64.3%	84	40.5%	10,331	57.8%
NC	EDGECOMBE	1,754	44.2%	2,181	55.7%	20	.	3,955	50.4%
NC	FORSYTH	2,619	38.4%	11,781	52.4%	119	42.0%	14,519	49.8%
NC	FRANKLIN	745	40.0%	1,559	53.2%	13	.	2,317	48.8%
NC	GASTON	1,184	39.7%	11,050	51.3%	52	32.7%	12,286	50.1%
NC	GATES	270	52.6%	480	59.6%	8	.	758	56.9%
NC	GRAHAM	.	.	627	53.9%	10	.	640	53.8%
NC	GRANVILLE	959	33.4%	1,627	47.0%	13	.	2,599	41.8%
NC	GREENE	337	35.6%	662	55.3%	1	.	1,000	48.6%
NC	GUILFORD	4,126	44.6%	18,882	58.0%	177	41.8%	23,185	55.5%
NC	HALIFAX	1,939	42.2%	2,678	52.4%	64	48.4%	4,681	48.1%
NC	HARNETT	737	38.8%	3,385	53.1%	42	42.9%	4,164	50.5%
NC	HAYWOOD	65	50.8%	4,990	56.2%	19	.	5,074	56.1%
NC	HENDERSON	196	55.1%	9,266	60.4%	50	50.0%	9,512	60.2%
NC	HERTFORD	962	50.5%	839	57.8%	11	.	1,812	53.9%
NC	HOKE	412	33.3%	523	49.9%	38	36.8%	973	42.3%
NC	HYDE	141	47.5%	292	47.6%	0	.	433	47.6%
NC	IREDELL	804	37.7%	6,535	53.7%	35	31.4%	7,374	51.8%
NC	JACKSON	28	71.4%	2,009	49.1%	38	34.2%	2,075	49.2%
NC	JOHNSTON	877	44.9%	4,771	54.1%	26	69.2%	5,674	52.8%
NC	JONES	271	59.0%	478	60.0%	3	.	752	59.7%
NC	LEE	601	39.1%	2,981	56.6%	27	44.4%	3,609	53.6%
NC	LENOIR	1,603	33.4%	3,225	53.0%	16	.	4,844	46.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NC	LINCOLN	201	30.3%	3,452	49.1%	18	.	3,671	48.1%
NC	MACON	17	11.8%	3,082	55.8%	22	.	3,121	55.3%
NC	MADISON	.	.	1,471	45.6%	9	.	1,487	45.5%
NC	MARTIN	816	48.8%	1,293	59.3%	8	.	2,117	55.2%
NC	MC DOWELL	84	42.9%	2,913	42.9%	16	.	3,013	42.9%
NC	MECKLENBURG	5,748	36.1%	23,811	51.5%	426	32.9%	29,985	48.3%
NC	MITCHELL	.	.	1,392	42.6%	7	.	1,404	42.7%
NC	MONTGOMERY	336	43.8%	1,482	49.1%	14	.	1,832	47.9%
NC	MOORE	861	44.8%	6,896	67.1%	57	50.9%	7,814	64.5%
NC	NASH	1,508	41.4%	4,046	56.3%	38	34.2%	5,592	52.1%
NC	NEW HANOVER	1,587	48.4%	8,748	65.5%	62	46.8%	10,397	62.8%
NC	NORTHAMPTON	1,014	47.0%	913	53.0%	7	.	1,934	49.7%
NC	ONSLow	609	47.8%	3,932	58.4%	103	43.7%	4,644	56.7%
NC	ORANGE	612	44.1%	4,078	62.2%	56	58.9%	4,746	59.8%
NC	PAMLICO	258	55.0%	735	61.6%	6	.	999	60.0%
NC	PASQUOTANK	801	50.1%	1,679	62.6%	22	54.5%	2,502	58.5%
NC	PENDER	669	51.9%	1,746	61.1%	18	.	2,433	58.4%
NC	PERQUIMANS	270	48.5%	751	57.8%	6	.	1,027	55.3%
NC	PERSON	544	41.7%	1,758	55.1%	14	.	2,316	51.9%
NC	PITT	2,136	33.5%	4,618	57.3%	45	53.3%	6,799	49.8%
NC	POLK	89	56.2%	2,005	60.1%	7	.	2,101	60.0%
NC	RANDOLPH	375	34.9%	7,319	48.4%	26	.	7,720	47.7%
NC	RICHMOND	747	33.7%	2,478	49.2%	29	.	3,254	45.4%
NC	ROBESON	1,704	38.9%	3,547	50.8%	1,354	46.4%	6,605	46.8%
NC	ROCKINGHAM	1,020	41.9%	5,283	48.6%	18	.	6,321	47.4%
NC	ROWAN	800	37.9%	6,084	51.8%	67	32.8%	6,951	50.0%
NC	RUTHERFORD	417	39.3%	4,526	49.1%	12	.	4,955	48.4%
NC	SAMPSON	1,050	45.5%	2,421	55.5%	64	53.1%	3,535	52.5%
NC	SCOTLAND	669	36.2%	1,356	53.8%	55	32.7%	2,080	47.5%
NC	STANLY	309	37.5%	4,035	54.2%	29	.	4,373	52.8%
NC	STOKES	93	29.0%	1,482	40.7%	13	.	1,588	40.0%
NC	SURRY	176	39.2%	4,111	47.9%	16	.	4,303	47.6%
NC	SWAIN	12	25.0%	814	39.9%	111	27.0%	937	38.2%
NC	TRANSYLVANIA	54	35.2%	2,900	56.9%	11	.	2,965	56.6%
NC	TYRRELL	110	39.1%	204	60.8%	0	.	314	53.2%
NC	UNION	543	23.6%	4,333	46.6%	36	33.3%	4,912	43.9%
NC	UNKNOWN	11	18.2%	95	40.0%	7	.	113	37.2%
NC	VANCE	1,112	30.8%	1,846	52.8%	11	.	2,969	44.6%
NC	WAKE	3,857	42.4%	18,610	59.4%	316	39.9%	22,783	56.2%
NC	WARREN	780	42.4%	619	53.6%	28	57.1%	1,427	47.6%
NC	WASHINGTON	381	40.9%	677	56.7%	5	.	1,063	50.8%
NC	WATAUGA	21	33.3%	2,164	51.3%	12	.	2,197	51.2%
NC	WAYNE	1,961	40.8%	4,770	53.4%	50	38.0%	6,781	49.6%
NC	WILKES	178	37.6%	3,286	47.4%	16	.	3,480	46.9%
NC	WILSON	1,515	42.6%	3,506	54.7%	23	.	5,044	51.0%
NC	YADKIN	88	38.6%	1,659	49.9%	5	.	1,752	49.3%
NC	YANCEY	.	.	1,548	43.1%	12	.	1,567	43.1%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
ND	ADAMS	.	.	279	60.6%	2	.	282	60.6%
ND	BARNES	.	.	1,194	58.5%	6	.	1,201	58.3%
ND	BENSON	.	.	352	61.4%	29	.	382	58.4%
ND	BILLINGS	.	.	31	51.6%	0	.	31	51.6%
ND	BOTTINEAU	.	.	742	56.1%	6	.	749	56.1%
ND	BOWMAN	.	.	352	56.8%	2	.	354	56.5%
ND	BURKE	.	.	299	56.5%	1	.	300	56.7%
ND	BURLEIGH	.	.	4,284	62.8%	42	45.2%	4,329	62.7%
ND	CASS	.	.	5,994	64.5%	52	48.1%	6,052	64.3%
ND	CAVALIER	.	.	535	55.7%	2	.	537	55.7%
ND	DICKEY	.	.	599	58.1%	4	.	603	58.2%
ND	DIVIDE	.	.	278	56.1%	2	.	280	56.1%
ND	DUNN	.	.	257	58.4%	6	.	263	57.4%
ND	EDDY	.	.	320	56.9%	6	.	326	57.1%
ND	EMMONS	.	.	504	51.6%	1	.	505	51.5%
ND	FOSTER	.	.	402	59.7%	0	.	402	59.7%
ND	GOLDEN VALLEY	.	.	221	54.3%	2	.	225	54.2%
ND	GRAND FORKS	.	.	3,184	59.3%	39	38.5%	3,228	59.0%
ND	GRANT	.	.	328	47.3%	1	.	329	47.1%
ND	GRIGGS	.	.	345	55.9%	4	.	351	55.8%
ND	HETTINGER	.	.	348	62.1%	0	.	348	62.1%
ND	KIDDER	.	.	300	58.7%	1	.	301	58.8%
ND	LA MOURE	.	.	544	59.2%	2	.	547	59.0%
ND	LOGAN	.	.	290	45.5%	1	.	291	45.4%
ND	MCHENRY	.	.	632	63.0%	2	.	636	62.9%
ND	MCINTOSH	.	.	576	40.3%	2	.	579	40.4%
ND	MCKENZIE	.	.	343	50.4%	9	.	354	50.3%
ND	MCLEAN	.	.	937	57.0%	19	.	956	56.7%
ND	MERCER	.	.	621	59.7%	5	.	626	59.7%
ND	MORTON	.	.	1,812	61.5%	23	.	1,836	61.1%
ND	MOUNTRAIL	.	.	518	56.4%	49	40.8%	567	55.0%
ND	NELSON	.	.	511	54.4%	3	.	515	54.2%
ND	OLIVER	.	.	103	63.1%	2	.	105	61.9%
ND	PEMBINA	.	.	813	49.9%	5	.	820	49.9%
ND	PIERCE	.	.	359	60.7%	4	.	363	60.1%
ND	RAMSEY	.	.	1,125	61.9%	16	.	1,143	61.2%
ND	RANSOM	.	.	577	48.0%	2	.	580	47.8%
ND	RENVILLE	.	.	270	57.4%	1	.	271	57.2%
ND	RICHLAND	.	.	1,293	54.5%	12	.	1,307	54.2%
ND	ROLETTE	.	.	365	46.0%	108	13.0%	474	38.6%
ND	SARGENT	.	.	368	62.0%	2	.	370	62.2%
ND	SHERIDAN	.	.	190	54.7%	1	.	191	55.0%
ND	SIOUX	.	.	49	46.9%	37	.	86	34.9%
ND	SLOPE	.	.	38	52.6%	0	.	38	52.6%
ND	STARK	.	.	1,850	58.9%	9	.	1,860	58.8%
ND	STEELE	.	.	192	59.4%	0	.	192	59.4%
ND	STUTSMAN	.	.	1,983	49.4%	16	.	2,001	49.2%
ND	TOWNER	.	.	326	54.6%	3	.	330	54.8%
ND	TRAILL	.	.	815	52.0%	5	.	821	52.1%
ND	UNKNOWN	.	.	63	52.4%	3	.	69	52.2%
ND	WALSH	.	.	1,213	52.1%	12	.	1,227	51.8%
ND	WARD	.	.	3,657	61.2%	62	51.6%	3,726	61.0%
ND	WELLS	.	.	658	49.2%	3	.	661	49.3%
ND	WILLIAMS	.	.	1,680	60.4%	29	.	1,710	59.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
NE	ADAMS	.	.	2,501	61.1%	19	.	2,528	60.9%
NE	ANTELOPE	.	.	675	49.0%	0	.	675	49.0%
NE	ARTHUR	.	.	40	42.5%	0	.	40	42.5%
NE	BANNER	.	.	42	47.6%	1	.	43	46.5%
NE	BLAINE	.	.	33	33.3%	1	.	34	32.4%
NE	BOONE	.	.	671	52.6%	2	.	673	52.5%
NE	BOX BUTTE	.	.	896	46.8%	26	.	923	46.5%
NE	BOYD	.	.	340	41.5%	3	.	344	41.3%
NE	BROWN	.	.	395	50.6%	2	.	397	50.9%
NE	BUFFALO	.	.	2,523	49.7%	23	.	2,550	49.5%
NE	BURT	.	.	851	43.8%	5	.	856	43.9%
NE	BUTLER	.	.	762	34.9%	2	.	764	35.1%
NE	CASS	.	.	1,414	46.0%	18	.	1,432	45.7%
NE	CEDAR	.	.	976	48.7%	4	.	981	48.8%
NE	CHASE	.	.	444	46.4%	3	.	447	46.8%
NE	CHERRY	.	.	541	50.3%	8	.	549	49.7%
NE	CHEYENNE	.	.	873	40.3%	12	.	885	40.3%
NE	CLAY	.	.	685	54.3%	3	.	688	54.5%
NE	COLFAX	.	.	1,932	47.0%	16	.	1,949	47.0%
NE	CUMING	.	.	957	45.6%	4	.	963	45.4%
NE	CUSTER	.	.	1,216	45.1%	9	.	1,226	45.0%
NE	DAKOTA	.	.	1,040	45.9%	19	.	1,060	45.7%
NE	DAWES	.	.	717	48.7%	11	.	728	48.2%
NE	DAWSON	.	.	1,672	45.5%	17	.	1,689	45.2%
NE	DEUEL	.	.	245	46.1%	2	.	247	46.2%
NE	DIXON	.	.	576	45.0%	1	.	578	45.0%
NE	DODGE	.	.	3,177	56.7%	25	.	3,203	56.4%
NE	DOUGLAS	1,154	44.4%	19,101	52.5%	280	36.8%	20,535	51.8%
NE	DUNDY	.	.	256	45.3%	1	.	257	45.5%
NE	FILLMORE	.	.	703	44.2%	4	.	707	44.0%
NE	FRANKLIN	.	.	416	50.5%	0	.	416	50.5%
NE	FRONTIER	.	.	234	41.9%	2	.	239	42.3%
NE	FURNAS	.	.	706	49.6%	2	.	708	49.7%
NE	GAGE	.	.	2,212	47.6%	14	.	2,228	47.4%
NE	GARDEN	.	.	263	39.9%	3	.	266	39.8%
NE	GARFIELD	.	.	266	35.3%	0	.	266	35.3%
NE	GOSPER	.	.	206	49.0%	0	.	206	49.0%
NE	GRANT	.	.	60	53.3%	0	.	60	53.3%
NE	GREELEY	.	.	303	45.9%	1	.	304	46.1%
NE	HALL	.	.	3,547	47.6%	46	23.9%	3,601	47.2%
NE	HAMILTON	.	.	689	42.7%	2	.	692	42.6%
NE	HARLAN	.	.	360	43.3%	1	.	362	43.4%
NE	HAYES	.	.	51	43.1%	0	.	51	43.1%
NE	HITCHCOCK	.	.	359	40.4%	0	.	359	40.4%
NE	HOLT	.	.	1,103	52.1%	6	.	1,109	52.0%
NE	HOOKER	.	.	119	53.8%	0	.	119	53.8%
NE	HOWARD	.	.	551	37.9%	2	.	553	37.8%
NE	JEFFERSON	.	.	943	39.7%	11	.	955	39.5%
NE	JOHNSON	.	.	526	50.6%	3	.	529	50.3%
NE	KEARNEY	.	.	534	51.1%	3	.	537	51.2%
NE	KEITH	.	.	699	40.3%	6	.	705	40.1%
NE	KEYA PAHA	.	.	93	47.3%	0	.	93	47.3%
NE	KIMBALL	.	.	405	56.5%	1	.	406	56.7%
NE	KNOX	.	.	1,047	54.1%	23	.	1,071	53.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NE	LANCASTER	135	43.0%	13,179	54.2%	137	36.5%	13,451	53.9%
NE	LINCOLN	.	.	2,267	44.9%	51	27.5%	2,320	44.5%
NE	LOGAN	.	.	79	43.0%	0	.	79	43.0%
NE	LOUP	.	.	40	25.0%	1	.	41	26.8%
NE	MADISON	.	.	2,762	46.2%	14	.	2,779	46.2%
NE	MC PHERSON	.	.	41	43.9%	0	.	41	43.9%
NE	MERRICK	.	.	693	44.7%	5	.	698	44.7%
NE	MORRILL	.	.	441	39.9%	5	.	446	39.9%
NE	NANCE	.	.	359	48.2%	0	.	360	48.3%
NE	NEMAHA	.	.	700	48.6%	5	.	705	48.8%
NE	NUCKOLLS	.	.	656	58.4%	0	.	659	58.4%
NE	OTOE	.	.	1,378	45.8%	6	.	1,385	45.6%
NE	PAWNEE	.	.	427	34.0%	3	.	431	33.6%
NE	PERKINS	.	.	302	43.4%	0	.	302	43.4%
NE	PHELPS	.	.	849	53.9%	8	.	857	53.8%
NE	PIERCE	.	.	667	42.6%	3	.	670	42.4%
NE	PLATTE	.	.	1,320	55.8%	5	.	1,326	55.7%
NE	POLK	.	.	565	40.2%	3	.	570	40.0%
NE	RED WILLOW	.	.	1,104	47.1%	10	.	1,115	46.9%
NE	RICHARDSON	.	.	1,048	33.5%	16	.	1,065	33.1%
NE	ROCK	.	.	210	43.8%	0	.	211	43.6%
NE	SALINE	.	.	1,205	40.2%	10	.	1,217	40.2%
NE	SARPY	41	39.0%	2,511	48.4%	48	43.8%	2,600	48.2%
NE	SAUNDERS	.	.	1,439	48.0%	4	.	1,443	48.0%
NE	SCOTTS BLUFF	.	.	3,082	48.2%	110	40.0%	3,198	47.8%
NE	SEWARD	.	.	1,206	46.6%	10	.	1,217	46.6%
NE	SHERIDAN	.	.	642	50.9%	9	.	651	50.4%
NE	SHERMAN	.	.	363	42.1%	3	.	366	42.3%
NE	SIOUX	.	.	64	45.3%	0	.	64	45.3%
NE	STANTON	.	.	282	46.5%	1	.	283	46.6%
NE	THAYER	.	.	747	43.9%	5	.	752	43.8%
NE	THOMAS	.	.	62	48.4%	1	.	63	49.2%
NE	THURSTON	.	.	343	39.4%	63	33.3%	408	38.5%
NE	UNKNOWN	.	.	45	46.7%	7	.	54	40.7%
NE	VALLEY	.	.	549	41.3%	1	.	550	41.3%
NE	WASHINGTON	.	.	1,094	50.8%	5	.	1,100	50.6%
NE	WAYNE	.	.	660	46.7%	4	.	666	46.4%
NE	WEBSTER	.	.	487	55.6%	4	.	491	55.6%
NE	WHEELER	.	.	70	51.4%	0	.	70	51.4%
NE	YORK	.	.	1,305	46.3%	7	.	1,315	46.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NH	BELKNAP	.	.	4,395	56.1%	17	.	4,415	56.0%
NH	CARROLL	.	.	3,528	59.4%	11	.	3,543	59.3%
NH	CHESHIRE	.	.	4,681	54.6%	22	.	4,711	54.6%
NH	COOS	.	.	3,124	52.5%	15	.	3,139	52.5%
NH	GRAFTON	.	.	5,335	60.1%	30	50.0%	5,373	60.0%
NH	HILLSBOROUGH	46	63.0%	14,991	54.6%	111	52.3%	15,148	54.7%
NH	MERRIMACK	19	57.9%	7,307	57.9%	50	48.0%	7,376	57.8%
NH	ROCKINGHAM	51	49.0%	11,401	56.4%	84	41.7%	11,536	56.2%
NH	STRAFFORD	.	.	6,109	54.9%	36	55.6%	6,155	54.8%
NH	SULLIVAN	.	.	2,897	60.8%	14	92.9%	2,915	60.9%
NH	UNKNOWN	.	.	128	52.3%	6	.	138	50.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NJ	ATLANTIC	1,704	41.0%	11,409	46.5%	249	39.8%	13,362	45.6%
NJ	BERGEN	1,737	36.0%	52,758	41.9%	1,627	38.0%	56,122	41.6%
NJ	BURLINGTON	1,455	39.8%	14,915	47.3%	316	33.9%	16,686	46.4%
NJ	CAMDEN	2,321	37.8%	19,358	43.9%	517	41.4%	22,196	43.2%
NJ	CAPE MAY	237	40.9%	7,221	45.5%	47	31.9%	7,505	45.2%
NJ	CUMBERLAND	689	36.1%	5,614	43.7%	246	38.2%	6,549	42.7%
NJ	ESSEX	10,675	37.8%	25,870	42.7%	1,347	38.0%	37,892	41.1%
NJ	GLOUCESTER	797	35.1%	8,519	43.4%	90	36.7%	9,406	42.6%
NJ	HUDSON	2,202	33.1%	20,700	32.5%	3,311	38.3%	26,213	33.2%
NJ	HUNTERDON	39	48.7%	5,377	44.8%	65	29.2%	5,481	44.7%
NJ	MERCER	2,183	43.8%	15,593	45.4%	361	42.1%	18,137	45.1%
NJ	MIDDLESEX	1,430	36.2%	32,568	42.7%	1,290	33.3%	35,288	42.1%
NJ	MONMOUTH	1,924	32.1%	31,072	41.4%	620	30.5%	33,616	40.6%
NJ	MORRIS	422	42.2%	22,023	44.8%	560	29.5%	23,005	44.4%
NJ	OCEAN	444	40.3%	46,320	48.6%	415	38.8%	47,179	48.4%
NJ	PASSAIC	1,710	37.5%	21,582	38.6%	1,146	39.7%	24,438	38.6%
NJ	SALEM	517	38.3%	3,607	47.4%	32	37.5%	4,156	46.2%
NJ	SOMERSET	404	43.6%	11,462	44.9%	306	32.7%	12,172	44.6%
NJ	SUSSEX	22	31.8%	5,686	39.7%	57	26.3%	5,765	39.6%
NJ	UNION	3,387	36.7%	26,649	41.7%	1,023	38.1%	31,059	41.0%
NJ	UNKNOWN	12	33.3%	95	35.8%	10		117	34.2%
NJ	WARREN	52	50.0%	6,460	41.8%	59	25.4%	6,571	41.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NM	BERNALILLO	268	43.3%	13,227	56.1%	1,415	47.8%	14,910	55.1%
NM	CATRON	.	.	210	39.0%	20	.	231	39.8%
NM	CHAVES	70	38.6%	3,789	47.9%	293	32.8%	4,152	46.7%
NM	CIBOLA	.	.	533	41.1%	289	34.6%	825	38.9%
NM	COLFAX	.	.	847	46.8%	134	41.0%	983	46.0%
NM	CURRY	97	36.1%	2,068	48.5%	136	33.8%	2,301	47.1%
NM	DE BACA	.	.	227	42.7%	25	.	252	40.9%
NM	DONA ANA	76	44.7%	5,513	48.6%	1,155	35.4%	6,744	46.3%
NM	EDDY	59	40.7%	3,220	48.3%	331	36.6%	3,610	47.1%
NM	GRANT	.	.	1,872	51.2%	280	46.1%	2,157	50.5%
NM	GUADALUPE	.	.	189	25.4%	74	33.8%	263	27.8%
NM	HARDING	.	.	90	30.0%	18	.	108	26.9%
NM	HIDALGO	.	.	253	44.7%	59	40.7%	314	43.9%
NM	LEA	116	43.1%	2,881	49.0%	175	33.7%	3,172	47.9%
NM	LINCOLN	.	.	1,280	58.5%	64	23.4%	1,346	56.8%
NM	LOS ALAMOS	.	.	859	67.8%	28	71.4%	892	67.7%
NM	LUNA	14	57.1%	1,567	43.1%	156	32.1%	1,737	42.3%
NM	MCKINLEY	17	23.5%	711	41.9%	1,167	16.7%	1,895	26.2%
NM	MORA	.	.	231	34.2%	82	23.2%	314	31.2%
NM	OTERO	58	44.8%	2,328	42.4%	238	29.0%	2,624	41.2%
NM	QUAY	.	.	752	38.2%	86	25.6%	845	36.6%
NM	RIO ARRIBA	.	.	904	46.0%	495	41.6%	1,401	44.5%
NM	ROOSEVELT	.	.	1,044	43.9%	64	29.7%	1,110	43.0%
NM	SAN JUAN	14	57.1%	3,347	49.2%	1,031	8.1%	4,392	39.6%
NM	SAN MIGUEL	.	.	965	39.9%	435	33.6%	1,402	37.9%
NM	SANDOVAL	34	35.3%	1,692	54.4%	394	36.8%	2,120	50.8%
NM	SANTA FE	12	41.7%	3,521	56.7%	568	45.2%	4,101	55.0%
NM	SIERRA	.	.	1,217	34.6%	61	34.4%	1,282	34.6%
NM	SOCORRO	.	.	570	36.5%	135	23.7%	709	34.1%
NM	TAOS	.	.	1,099	43.4%	445	45.8%	1,549	44.0%
NM	TORRANCE	.	.	313	40.9%	44	25.0%	359	39.0%
NM	UNION	.	.	344	45.6%	36	41.7%	381	45.1%
NM	UNKNOWN	.	.	66	59.1%	10	.	77	54.5%
NM	VALENCIA	18	50.0%	1,092	46.6%	224	42.9%	1,334	46.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
NV	CARSON CITY	.	.	3,907	50.7%	105	54.3%	4,019	50.8%
NV	CHURCHILL	.	.	1,201	50.5%	58	41.4%	1,261	50.0%
NV	CLARK	1,776	44.5%	28,111	50.8%	1,782	37.0%	31,669	49.7%
NV	DOUGLAS	.	.	2,062	52.7%	43	44.2%	2,110	52.6%
NV	ELKO	.	.	973	41.0%	88	27.3%	1,069	39.9%
NV	ESMERALDA	.	.	39	43.6%	0	.	39	43.6%
NV	EUREKA	.	.	76	50.0%	4	.	80	50.0%
NV	HUMBOLDT	.	.	492	41.7%	31	.	523	40.5%
NV	LANDER	.	.	148	39.9%	13	.	161	37.9%
NV	LINCOLN	.	.	254	41.7%	3	.	257	42.0%
NV	LYON	.	.	1,511	47.3%	37	56.8%	1,551	47.6%
NV	MINERAL	25	24.0%	293	39.9%	29	44.8%	347	39.2%
NV	NYE	.	.	1,044	43.7%	18	.	1,070	43.5%
NV	PERSHING	.	.	186	43.0%	15	.	201	44.3%
NV	STOREY	.	.	54	42.6%	0	.	54	42.6%
NV	UNKNOWN	.	.	54	44.4%	3	.	61	41.0%
NV	WASHOE	151	50.3%	10,064	53.1%	446	37.2%	10,661	52.4%
NV	WHITE PINE	.	.	524	34.9%	19	.	547	34.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NY	ALBANY	778	45.8%	16,857	49.5%	194	44.8%	17,829	49.3%
NY	ALLEGANY			3,063	47.1%	13		3,084	47.1%
NY	BRONX	10,614	36.5%	23,469	37.8%	5,821	39.7%	39,904	37.7%
NY	BROOME	125	56.8%	16,866	56.5%	104	51.0%	17,095	56.4%
NY	CATTARAUGUS	29	37.9%	5,457	48.4%	114	46.5%	5,600	48.3%
NY	CAYUGA	38	50.0%	5,694	60.0%	57	63.2%	5,789	60.0%
NY	CHAUTAUQUA	70	51.4%	9,300	52.3%	109	38.5%	9,479	52.2%
NY	CHEMUNG	210	66.2%	7,122	63.2%	46	52.2%	7,378	63.2%
NY	CHENANGO	20	50.0%	3,423	51.7%	31	41.9%	3,474	51.6%
NY	CLINTON	20	90.0%	4,431	64.7%	39	33.3%	4,490	64.5%
NY	COLUMBIA	80	45.0%	4,195	45.1%	48	35.4%	4,323	45.0%
NY	CORTLAND			2,890	52.9%	20		2,916	52.8%
NY	DELAWARE	19	31.6%	3,285	49.2%	37	32.4%	3,341	48.9%
NY	DUTCHESS	530	47.5%	12,854	47.8%	206	47.6%	13,590	47.8%
NY	ERIE	4,097	45.5%	45,667	48.3%	499	37.3%	50,263	47.9%
NY	ESSEX			3,081	55.1%	17		3,103	55.0%
NY	FRANKLIN			2,887	60.5%	114	46.5%	3,007	60.0%
NY	FULTON	28	57.1%	3,533	50.9%	38	50.0%	3,599	50.9%
NY	GENESEE	37	37.8%	3,450	58.6%	38	47.4%	3,525	58.3%
NY	GREENE	41	46.3%	2,995	45.0%	17		3,053	45.0%
NY	HAMILTON			495	61.4%	7		504	60.9%
NY	HERKIMER	12	25.0%	5,207	51.7%	17		5,236	51.6%
NY	JEFFERSON	22	50.0%	6,437	54.3%	37		6,496	54.1%
NY	KINGS	17,445	30.8%	53,151	39.4%	5,936	31.8%	76,532	36.9%
NY	LEWIS			1,707	52.1%	9		1,719	52.1%
NY	LIVINGSTON	36	47.2%	2,537	52.3%	18		2,591	52.2%
NY	MADISON	16	62.5%	4,203	59.7%	39	48.7%	4,258	59.6%
NY	MONROE	1,512	48.3%	21,041	52.9%	394	49.7%	22,947	52.6%
NY	MONTGOMERY	18	38.9%	5,037	47.3%	60	38.3%	5,115	47.2%
NY	NASSAU	3,025	36.1%	68,558	51.3%	1,294	38.3%	72,877	50.5%
NY	NEW YORK	12,246	37.2%	46,264	47.2%	10,234	34.7%	68,744	43.5%
NY	NIAGARA	386	51.3%	12,093	53.5%	117	48.7%	12,596	53.4%
NY	ONEIDA	278	51.4%	18,951	55.3%	146	37.7%	19,375	55.1%
NY	ONONDAGA	1,035	62.0%	29,927	61.1%	393	53.2%	31,355	61.0%
NY	ONTARIO	54	63.0%	4,613	57.6%	42	42.9%	4,709	57.5%
NY	ORANGE	567	41.8%	14,124	44.5%	296	44.3%	14,987	44.4%
NY	ORLEANS	51	37.3%	1,749	49.5%	10		1,810	49.0%
NY	OSWEGO	17	35.3%	6,903	57.8%	48	56.3%	6,968	57.8%
NY	OTSEGO	20	25.0%	3,896	53.7%	36	30.6%	3,952	53.3%
NY	PUTNAM	22	31.8%	3,232	45.5%	42	33.3%	3,296	45.2%
NY	QUEENS	9,574	32.2%	64,478	43.1%	6,021	34.9%	80,073	41.1%
NY	RENSSELAER	163	50.9%	8,088	49.8%	63	38.1%	8,314	49.7%
NY	RICHMOND	483	40.0%	12,264	39.2%	494	35.2%	13,241	39.0%
NY	ROCKLAND	656	37.0%	11,500	47.6%	383	38.4%	12,539	46.7%
NY	SARATOGA	68	50.0%	8,931	52.4%	87	27.6%	9,086	52.1%
NY	SCHENECTADY	221	53.8%	10,833	54.2%	115	39.1%	11,169	54.0%
NY	SCHOHARIE	18	38.9%	1,955	46.4%	16		1,989	46.4%
NY	SCHUYLER			1,235	52.9%	11		1,252	52.8%
NY	SENECA			1,908	61.3%	10		1,925	61.4%
NY	ST. LAWRENCE	14	35.7%	7,497	56.7%	68	36.8%	7,579	56.5%
NY	STEUBEN	46	52.2%	7,247	51.5%	69	34.8%	7,362	51.3%
NY	SUFFOLK	2,035	36.4%	52,865	47.4%	1,026	34.6%	55,926	46.8%
NY	SULLIVAN	168	34.5%	4,181	45.2%	74	31.1%	4,423	44.6%
NY	TIOGA	11	45.5%	3,122	50.3%	25	48.0%	3,158	50.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
NY	TOMPKINS	77	36.4%	4,540	56.9%	46	63.0%	4,663	56.6%
NY	ULSTER	190	38.4%	8,236	49.5%	108	35.2%	8,534	49.0%
NY	UNKNOWN	28	28.6%	216	39.8%	29		273	36.3%
NY	WARREN	.	.	3,889	55.5%	26	42.3%	3,925	55.3%
NY	WASHINGTON	.	.	3,458	48.4%	17		3,482	48.2%
NY	WAYNE	87	41.4%	4,056	53.0%	39	43.6%	4,182	52.7%
NY	WESTCHESTER	4,348	39.4%	43,310	48.2%	1,242	42.1%	48,900	47.2%
NY	WYOMING	.	.	2,138	47.9%	9		2,152	47.8%
NY	YATES	.	.	1,519	58.5%	7		1,533	58.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
OH	ADAMS			1,832	37.6%	4		1,839	37.6%
OH	ALLEN	475	37.9%	7,186	52.1%	48	33.3%	7,709	51.1%
OH	ASHLAND			3,302	50.5%	20		3,331	50.5%
OH	ASHTABULA	147	40.8%	6,350	45.8%	78	32.1%	6,575	45.6%
OH	ATHENS	32	40.6%	2,933	46.5%	26	53.8%	2,991	46.5%
OH	AUGLAIZE			3,779	45.4%	13		3,798	45.4%
OH	BELMONT	67	44.8%	3,753	52.8%	19		3,839	52.6%
OH	BROWN	30	53.3%	1,996	40.6%	10		2,036	40.8%
OH	BUTLER	525	38.3%	12,438	48.0%	88	31.8%	13,051	47.5%
OH	CARROLL			1,164	49.0%	9		1,178	49.2%
OH	CHAMPAIGN	86	45.3%	2,246	50.0%	14		2,346	50.0%
OH	CLARK	691	47.2%	8,073	54.2%	57	36.8%	8,821	53.5%
OH	CLERMONT	41	24.4%	4,477	44.9%	30	36.7%	4,548	44.6%
OH	CLINTON	30	30.0%	2,002	46.3%	15		2,047	46.0%
OH	COLUMBIANA	110	34.5%	7,580	52.5%	23		7,713	52.2%
OH	COSHOCTON	58	41.4%	2,492	44.7%	12		2,562	44.6%
OH	CRAWFORD	16	25.0%	3,183	45.4%	23		3,222	45.2%
OH	CUYAHOGA	11,650	47.3%	64,333	50.2%	835	38.7%	76,818	49.6%
OH	DARKE	16	37.5%	3,874	46.7%	12		3,902	46.6%
OH	DEFIANCE			2,543	48.3%	38	34.2%	2,590	48.1%
OH	DELAWARE	54	44.4%	2,806	48.5%	19		2,879	48.3%
OH	ERIE	325	34.2%	5,495	47.4%	39	28.2%	5,859	46.6%
OH	FAIRFIELD	27	51.9%	6,084	51.4%	30		6,141	51.3%
OH	FAYETTE	42	38.1%	1,815	42.5%	6		1,863	42.4%
OH	FRANKLIN	4,967	45.9%	33,832	52.5%	484	36.4%	39,283	51.5%
OH	FULTON	18	50.0%	2,353	50.2%	19		2,390	50.1%
OH	GALLIA	54	53.7%	1,979	56.7%	11		2,044	56.6%
OH	GEAUGA	23	52.2%	3,366	52.4%	24	54.2%	3,413	52.4%
OH	GREENE	338	53.6%	4,966	50.5%	74	28.4%	5,378	50.4%
OH	GUERNSEY	35	48.6%	2,935	49.5%	14		2,984	49.4%
OH	HAMILTON	5,881	45.0%	36,847	51.4%	361	36.6%	43,089	50.4%
OH	HANCOCK	18	22.2%	4,322	44.1%	37		4,377	43.8%
OH	HARDIN	16	31.3%	1,802	47.4%	13		1,831	47.2%
OH	HARRISON	22	36.4%	1,167	42.8%	10		1,199	42.5%
OH	HENRY			1,941	50.9%	21		1,965	50.6%
OH	HIGHLAND	31	19.4%	2,546	42.7%	14		2,591	42.4%
OH	HOCKING			1,543	46.1%	6		1,555	46.0%
OH	HOLMES			1,133	42.3%	2		1,144	42.0%
OH	HURON	41	29.3%	4,254	47.3%	36	36.1%	4,331	47.0%
OH	JACKSON	22	50.0%	2,062	42.9%	20		2,104	43.0%
OH	JEFFERSON	284	43.0%	6,127	44.0%	28		6,439	44.0%
OH	KNOX	16	31.3%	3,330	47.3%	13		3,359	47.2%
OH	LAKE	108	48.1%	10,911	54.7%	80	41.3%	11,099	54.5%
OH	LAWRENCE	99	37.4%	4,304	45.2%	21		4,424	45.0%
OH	LICKING	91	47.3%	6,871	52.4%	50	24.0%	7,012	52.2%
OH	LOGAN	32	46.9%	3,116	51.0%	30	46.7%	3,178	50.9%
OH	LORAIN	683	44.8%	13,774	52.3%	235	50.6%	14,692	51.9%
OH	LUCAS	2,175	40.0%	17,264	47.7%	253	32.8%	19,692	46.6%
OH	MADISON	41	48.8%	1,768	41.7%	10		1,819	42.0%
OH	MAHONING	1,663	46.8%	16,338	48.8%	169	39.1%	18,170	48.6%
OH	MARION	56	37.5%	2,812	47.8%	27		2,895	47.4%
OH	MEDINA	40	45.0%	6,143	53.1%	45	33.3%	6,228	52.9%
OH	MEIGS	13	53.8%	1,532	49.1%	5		1,550	49.0%
OH	MERCER			3,004	42.7%	15		3,024	42.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
OH	MIAMI	84	50.0%	5,853	54.1%	23	52.2%	5,960	54.1%
OH	MONROE			1,015	40.7%	1		1,018	40.7%
OH	MONTGOMERY	3,963	50.7%	27,156	52.8%	216	41.7%	31,335	52.4%
OH	MORGAN	30	36.7%	864	48.8%	1		895	48.4%
OH	MORROW			1,148	42.7%	2		1,152	42.6%
OH	MUSKINGUM	200	52.5%	6,008	57.0%	23	52.2%	6,231	56.8%
OH	NOBLE			735	44.2%	0		738	44.0%
OH	OTTAWA	16	18.8%	2,968	45.9%	35		3,019	45.5%
OH	PAULDING	17	35.3%	1,238	50.6%	12		1,267	50.4%
OH	PERRY			2,045	45.3%	7		2,058	45.3%
OH	PICKAWAY	18	16.7%	2,268	45.9%	11		2,297	45.7%
OH	PIKE			1,621	51.3%	11		1,642	51.3%
OH	PORTAGE	102	58.8%	5,994	52.9%	31	45.2%	6,127	53.0%
OH	PREBLE	11	54.5%	2,370	47.1%	6		2,387	47.1%
OH	PUTNAM			2,389	47.6%	31		2,420	47.1%
OH	RICHLAND	415	48.4%	8,595	50.4%	60	40.0%	9,070	50.3%
OH	ROSS	139	32.4%	3,896	50.3%	35	34.3%	4,070	49.6%
OH	SANDUSKY	53	50.9%	3,639	49.6%	47	51.1%	3,739	49.6%
OH	SCIOTO	82	36.6%	5,867	45.6%	45	28.9%	5,994	45.3%
OH	SENECA	56	53.6%	4,746	50.9%	36	38.9%	4,838	50.9%
OH	SHELBY	23	17.4%	2,545	45.0%	4		2,572	44.7%
OH	STARK	1,008	44.0%	22,958	53.7%	149	30.2%	24,115	53.1%
OH	SUMMIT	2,450	49.8%	25,692	53.1%	139	35.3%	28,281	52.7%
OH	TRUMBULL	631	43.7%	12,788	54.1%	47	55.3%	13,466	53.7%
OH	TUSCARAWAS	46	34.8%	6,269	44.0%	26		6,341	43.9%
OH	UNION			1,404	48.8%	11		1,418	48.7%
OH	UNKNOWN	15	33.3%	193	35.2%	20		228	35.1%
OH	VAN WERT			2,095	50.2%	5		2,103	50.1%
OH	VINTON			648	44.4%	7		658	44.5%
OH	WARREN	50	44.0%	5,394	48.9%	31	35.5%	5,475	48.7%
OH	WASHINGTON	45	46.7%	4,435	45.4%	16		4,496	45.4%
OH	WAYNE	65	41.5%	6,244	53.2%	41	36.6%	6,350	53.0%
OH	WILLIAMS			2,744	48.0%	23		2,769	47.8%
OH	WOOD	19	47.4%	4,729	50.3%	50	40.0%	4,798	50.1%
OH	WYANDOT			1,580	42.7%	10		1,593	42.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
OK	ADAIR	.	.	1,073	28.5%	145	20.7%	1,220	27.7%
OK	ALFALFA	.	.	635	49.0%	6	.	643	48.7%
OK	ATOKA	29	34.5%	826	35.7%	18	.	873	35.3%
OK	BEAVER	.	.	460	43.9%	1	.	461	43.8%
OK	BECKHAM	12	33.3%	1,460	42.9%	12	.	1,484	42.8%
OK	BLAINE	33	39.4%	857	39.8%	24	.	914	39.2%
OK	BRYAN	45	46.7%	2,674	47.2%	52	28.8%	2,771	46.8%
OK	CADDO	42	21.4%	2,060	48.6%	173	32.4%	2,275	46.9%
OK	CANADIAN	60	31.7%	2,923	49.2%	71	28.2%	3,054	48.4%
OK	CARTER	203	20.7%	3,509	40.7%	82	22.0%	3,794	39.2%
OK	CHEROKEE	16	25.0%	1,882	39.8%	165	11.5%	2,063	37.4%
OK	CHOCTAW	148	27.7%	1,154	39.0%	54	20.4%	1,356	37.0%
OK	CIMARRON	.	.	283	35.0%	6	.	289	34.3%
OK	CLEVELAND	39	38.5%	5,787	51.8%	112	34.8%	5,938	51.4%
OK	COAL	.	.	472	31.6%	25	.	501	30.7%
OK	COMANCHE	339	40.1%	4,253	54.1%	247	42.9%	4,839	52.5%
OK	COTTON	12	33.3%	532	41.0%	24	54.2%	568	41.4%
OK	CRAIG	25	20.0%	1,182	38.9%	21	.	1,228	38.3%
OK	CREEK	103	22.3%	2,424	38.6%	69	29.0%	2,596	37.7%
OK	CUSTER	35	45.7%	1,632	43.8%	46	23.9%	1,713	43.3%
OK	DELAWARE	.	.	2,158	42.3%	95	12.6%	2,253	41.0%
OK	DEWEY	.	.	483	40.6%	8	.	491	40.5%
OK	ELLIS	.	.	446	53.8%	2	.	448	53.6%
OK	GARFIELD	87	43.7%	4,535	53.2%	39	38.5%	4,661	52.9%
OK	GARVIN	62	21.0%	2,483	38.0%	26	.	2,571	37.5%
OK	GRADY	75	48.0%	2,504	54.1%	29	41.4%	2,608	53.8%
OK	GRANT	.	.	546	51.1%	2	.	549	51.0%
OK	GREER	22	18.2%	635	40.8%	10	.	667	39.6%
OK	HARMON	14	7.1%	321	36.8%	4	.	339	35.1%
OK	HARPER	.	.	410	44.6%	0	.	412	44.4%
OK	HASKELL	11	18.2%	959	34.4%	28	.	998	34.2%
OK	HUGHES	26	11.5%	1,189	32.6%	89	13.5%	1,304	30.9%
OK	JACKSON	71	36.6%	1,512	46.0%	53	28.3%	1,636	45.0%
OK	JEFFERSON	.	.	677	33.1%	8	.	689	32.7%
OK	JOHNSTON	.	.	720	37.4%	31	.	761	36.4%
OK	KAY	46	32.6%	4,122	47.8%	72	.	4,240	47.1%
OK	KINGFISHER	16	43.8%	1,038	51.3%	11	.	1,065	51.2%
OK	KIOWA	23	34.8%	995	46.3%	33	36.4%	1,051	45.8%
OK	LATIMER	.	.	573	37.0%	17	.	592	37.0%
OK	LE FLORE	62	24.2%	3,034	36.1%	76	26.3%	3,172	35.6%
OK	LINCOLN	54	27.8%	1,735	41.0%	27	.	1,816	40.3%
OK	LOGAN	172	34.3%	1,329	53.6%	12	.	1,513	51.4%
OK	LOVE	23	39.1%	611	46.5%	8	.	642	46.0%
OK	MAJOR	.	.	665	46.8%	1	.	668	46.7%
OK	MARSHALL	.	.	1,140	45.3%	24	.	1,174	44.6%
OK	MAYES	.	.	2,251	42.2%	88	29.5%	2,346	41.8%
OK	MCCLAIN	12	33.3%	1,342	40.2%	14	.	1,368	40.0%
OK	MCCURTAIN	207	25.1%	1,991	42.7%	129	29.5%	2,327	40.4%
OK	MCINTOSH	92	32.6%	1,679	46.2%	60	48.3%	1,831	45.5%
OK	MURRAY	11	27.3%	964	37.1%	13	.	988	36.6%
OK	MUSKOGEE	598	34.9%	4,300	54.1%	129	34.1%	5,027	51.3%
OK	NOBLE	20	25.0%	796	54.8%	22	.	838	53.2%
OK	NOWATA	20	15.0%	852	42.5%	13	.	885	41.4%
OK	OKFUSKEE	100	24.0%	724	33.6%	58	.	882	31.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
OK	OKLAHOMA	2,713	41.2%	29,397	54.6%	756	33.2%	32,866	53.0%
OK	OKMULGEE	294	24.1%	2,446	34.4%	98	30.6%	2,838	33.2%
OK	OSAGE	30	43.3%	1,389	38.7%	68	25.0%	1,487	38.2%
OK	OTTAWA	.	.	2,979	44.2%	75	28.0%	3,056	43.8%
OK	PAWNEE	.	.	991	43.0%	39	.	1,039	42.3%
OK	PAYNE	48	35.4%	3,142	51.5%	51	35.3%	3,241	51.0%
OK	PITTSBURG	112	41.1%	3,181	48.3%	70	32.9%	3,363	47.7%
OK	PONTOTOC	38	36.8%	2,595	49.6%	81	23.5%	2,714	48.6%
OK	POTTAWATOMIE	62	41.9%	3,818	50.1%	134	32.1%	4,014	49.4%
OK	PUSHMATAHA	12	41.7%	973	33.2%	30	.	1,015	33.0%
OK	ROGER MILLS	.	.	315	39.0%	7	.	322	38.2%
OK	ROGERS	24	29.2%	2,239	42.0%	50	24.0%	2,313	41.5%
OK	SEMINOLE	105	32.4%	1,781	42.3%	136	12.5%	2,022	39.8%
OK	SEQUOYAH	39	30.8%	2,245	41.3%	66	21.2%	2,350	40.6%
OK	STEPHENS	44	50.0%	3,334	54.2%	40	32.5%	3,418	53.9%
OK	TEXAS	.	.	977	42.5%	9	.	987	42.4%
OK	TILLMAN	83	21.7%	789	42.2%	33	.	905	39.8%
OK	TULSA	1,646	30.1%	23,259	53.1%	500	29.8%	25,405	51.2%
OK	UNKNOWN	.	.	40	47.5%	11	.	55	43.6%
OK	WAGONER	94	27.7%	1,210	47.8%	32	.	1,336	45.7%
OK	WASHINGTON	64	28.1%	4,286	53.6%	74	31.1%	4,424	52.9%
OK	WASHITA	.	.	1,049	43.8%	7	.	1,061	43.4%
OK	WOODS	.	.	890	47.8%	7	.	900	47.8%
OK	WOODWARD	.	.	1,241	51.7%	10	.	1,251	51.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
OR	BAKER	.	.	1,391	50.0%	10	.	1,407	49.9%
OR	BENTON	.	.	1,818	56.7%	31	48.4%	1,854	56.5%
OR	CLACKAMAS	22	59.1%	5,882	56.0%	77	48.1%	5,981	55.9%
OR	CLATSOP	.	.	1,799	55.0%	28	60.7%	1,828	55.1%
OR	COLUMBIA	.	.	934	50.7%	11	.	945	50.9%
OR	COOS	.	.	5,213	63.2%	57	64.9%	5,274	63.3%
OR	CROOK	.	.	972	50.8%	6	.	978	50.8%
OR	CURRY	.	.	2,238	58.7%	20	.	2,260	58.5%
OR	DESCHUTES	.	.	5,360	57.6%	38	31.6%	5,402	57.5%
OR	DOUGLAS	.	.	6,182	58.0%	49	40.8%	6,235	57.8%
OR	GILLIAM	.	.	191	58.6%	1	.	192	58.3%
OR	GRANT	.	.	512	42.2%	3	.	515	42.3%
OR	HARNEY	.	.	424	45.8%	10	.	434	45.2%
OR	HOOD RIVER	.	.	1,042	53.8%	50	76.0%	1,093	54.9%
OR	JACKSON	.	.	8,274	57.5%	97	55.7%	8,376	57.4%
OR	JEFFERSON	.	.	807	52.5%	43	37.2%	850	51.8%
OR	JOSEPHINE	11	36.4%	4,628	50.5%	43	44.2%	4,682	50.4%
OR	KLAMATH	20	60.0%	4,128	58.4%	85	44.7%	4,233	58.2%
OR	LAKE	.	.	569	49.7%	1	.	570	49.6%
OR	LANE	31	45.2%	9,803	58.0%	98	45.9%	9,932	57.8%
OR	LINCOLN	.	.	3,820	55.2%	38	.	3,859	54.9%
OR	LINN	.	.	3,589	56.8%	34	41.2%	3,629	56.7%
OR	MALHEUR	.	.	1,832	50.7%	178	51.1%	2,013	50.7%
OR	MARION	17	58.8%	7,386	58.2%	121	44.6%	7,524	57.9%
OR	MORROW	.	.	455	53.2%	8	.	463	52.9%
OR	MULTNOMAH	437	44.2%	11,929	54.4%	383	46.5%	12,749	53.8%
OR	POLK	.	.	1,804	59.5%	28	.	1,832	59.1%
OR	SHERMAN	.	.	150	55.3%	2	.	152	55.3%
OR	TILLAMOOK	.	.	1,751	54.5%	21	57.1%	1,773	54.6%
OR	UMATILLA	.	.	3,851	54.5%	84	44.0%	3,944	54.2%
OR	UNION	.	.	1,702	54.9%	17	.	1,723	54.6%
OR	UNKNOWN	.	.	78	35.9%	8	.	91	34.1%
OR	WALLOWA	.	.	630	62.2%	3	.	634	62.1%
OR	WASCO	.	.	1,746	55.8%	16	.	1,762	55.8%
OR	WASHINGTON	20	40.0%	6,644	56.1%	143	39.9%	6,807	55.8%
OR	WHEELER	.	.	123	49.6%	0	.	123	49.6%
OR	YAMHILL	.	.	2,166	54.9%	37	48.6%	2,204	54.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
PA	ADAMS	27	51.9%	5,716	54.2%	32		5,775	54.0%
PA	ALLEGHENY	6,352	42.5%	68,752	45.5%	674	35.8%	75,778	45.2%
PA	ARMSTRONG	36	50.0%	4,862	52.6%	45	48.9%	4,943	52.6%
PA	BEAVER	439	33.5%	8,694	47.8%	55	32.7%	9,188	47.0%
PA	BEDFORD	11	27.3%	2,912	50.1%	13		2,936	50.0%
PA	BERKS	340	41.2%	21,120	49.6%	311	42.1%	21,771	49.4%
PA	BLAIR	42	54.8%	7,815	47.1%	161	21.1%	8,018	46.6%
PA	BRADFORD			3,139	47.1%	36		3,182	46.8%
PA	BUCKS	321	44.2%	19,087	48.3%	305	33.8%	19,713	48.0%
PA	BUTLER	23	65.2%	8,448	50.9%	50	36.0%	8,521	50.9%
PA	CAMBRIA	152	42.1%	10,644	42.7%	57	29.8%	10,853	42.6%
PA	CAMERON			614	49.7%	0		614	49.7%
PA	CARBON			5,257	44.5%	35	37.1%	5,301	44.4%
PA	CENTRE	17	17.6%	3,808	56.6%	33	42.4%	3,858	56.3%
PA	CHESTER	752	38.6%	13,967	50.8%	179	40.8%	14,898	50.1%
PA	CLARION			2,836	50.1%	9		2,850	50.1%
PA	CLEARFIELD	17	47.1%	5,287	51.6%	36	33.3%	5,340	51.4%
PA	CLINTON			1,782	45.0%	16		1,799	44.9%
PA	COLUMBIA			3,345	45.0%	11		3,361	45.1%
PA	CRAWFORD	64	54.7%	6,186	52.8%	42	31.0%	6,292	52.7%
PA	CUMBERLAND	107	43.0%	13,992	55.4%	141	34.8%	14,240	55.1%
PA	DAUPHIN	1,536	50.5%	12,477	51.7%	182	40.7%	14,195	51.5%
PA	DELAWARE	1,417	45.4%	23,722	49.5%	355	33.5%	25,494	49.0%
PA	ELK			3,003	46.4%	15		3,022	46.4%
PA	ERIE	399	45.1%	14,704	52.2%	101	32.7%	15,204	51.9%
PA	FAYETTE	300	42.7%	10,196	42.8%	44	25.0%	10,540	42.7%
PA	FOREST			503	54.5%	2		505	54.7%
PA	FRANKLIN	107	58.9%	9,531	59.1%	56	28.6%	9,694	59.0%
PA	FULTON			928	50.0%	3		940	50.0%
PA	GREENE	14	42.9%	2,276	43.6%	12		2,302	43.5%
PA	HUNTINGDON	28	42.9%	3,100	55.1%	14		3,142	54.9%
PA	INDIANA	25	52.0%	4,515	51.2%	25		4,565	51.1%
PA	JEFFERSON			4,068	45.8%	28		4,099	45.6%
PA	JUNIATA			1,143	52.1%	11		1,156	51.8%
PA	LACKAWANNA	43	44.2%	16,446	44.2%	152	26.3%	16,641	44.0%
PA	LANCASTER	298	39.9%	26,675	49.3%	466	46.6%	27,439	49.1%
PA	LAWRENCE	126	50.0%	7,037	53.7%	55	38.2%	7,218	53.5%
PA	LEBANON	26	42.3%	8,223	54.9%	113	54.0%	8,362	54.8%
PA	LEHIGH	172	40.1%	18,449	49.7%	314	42.4%	18,935	49.5%
PA	LUZERNE	73	39.7%	24,444	39.9%	136	25.0%	24,653	39.8%
PA	LYCOMING	68	50.0%	5,773	54.9%	38	42.1%	5,879	54.8%
PA	MC KEAN			3,797	54.1%	18		3,821	54.0%
PA	MERCER	242	45.5%	8,121	51.2%	44	45.5%	8,407	51.0%
PA	MIFFLIN			2,473	53.0%	16		2,495	52.8%
PA	MONROE	161	46.0%	6,402	49.9%	65	44.6%	6,628	49.7%
PA	MONTGOMERY	1,671	39.4%	31,554	51.6%	527	35.7%	33,752	50.8%
PA	MONTOUR			752	46.8%	9		765	46.9%
PA	NORTHAMPTON	188	47.9%	17,328	49.5%	259	43.6%	17,775	49.4%
PA	NORTHUMBERLAND	14	50.0%	5,640	45.5%	29		5,683	45.5%
PA	PERRY			2,288	49.9%	28	39.3%	2,317	49.8%
PA	PHILADELPHIA	18,747	45.7%	39,572	43.5%	1,870	32.8%	60,189	43.8%
PA	PIKE	37	48.6%	2,118	52.8%	33	42.4%	2,188	52.6%
PA	POTTER			1,449	48.8%	8		1,462	48.6%
PA	SCHUYLKILL	20	20.0%	13,495	37.1%	68	23.5%	13,583	37.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
PA	SNYDER	.	.	1,705	54.7%	10	.	1,718	54.4%
PA	SOMERSET	.	.	4,629	51.2%	18	.	4,655	51.1%
PA	SULLIVAN	.	.	457	44.2%	4	.	462	43.9%
PA	SUSQUEHANNA	.	.	2,296	42.9%	17	.	2,320	42.8%
PA	TIOGA	.	.	3,091	54.4%	13	.	3,111	54.3%
PA	UNION	.	.	1,953	55.7%	14	.	1,969	55.5%
PA	UNKNOWN		14 28.6%	258	35.7%	28	.	300	33.0%
PA	VENANGO		14 78.6%	4,681	58.9%	20	75.0%	4,715	59.1%
PA	WARREN	.	.	3,493	47.5%	14	.	3,510	47.4%
PA	WASHINGTON		350 38.9%	12,129	43.3%	63	23.8%	12,542	43.1%
PA	WAYNE		20 50.0%	3,546	47.7%	33	45.5%	3,599	47.7%
PA	WESTMORELAND		276 41.7%	21,572	47.3%	176	38.6%	22,024	47.1%
PA	WYOMING	.	.	1,222	42.5%	4	.	1,229	42.4%
PA	YORK		373 49.3%	21,159	55.5%	148	46.6%	21,680	55.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
RI	BRISTOL	11	27.3%	2,214	53.2%	18	61.1%	2,243	53.1%
RI	KENT	24	50.0%	7,196	51.0%	48	50.0%	7,268	51.0%
RI	NEWPORT	111	42.3%	4,385	51.7%	45	35.6%	4,541	51.4%
RI	PROVIDENCE	783	46.4%	25,163	50.1%	667	45.4%	26,613	49.9%
RI	UNKNOWN			22	50.0%	3		29	44.8%
RI	WASHINGTON	64	31.3%	5,096	54.7%	46	47.8%	5,206	54.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
SC	ABBEVILLE	352	39.2%	1,320	49.6%	9	.	1,681	47.3%
SC	AIKEN	1,467	38.3%	7,224	57.0%	56	37.5%	8,747	53.7%
SC	ALLENDALE	374	48.7%	317	64.4%	2	.	693	56.0%
SC	ANDERSON	1,368	49.6%	9,602	53.6%	41	43.9%	11,011	53.1%
SC	BAMBERG	474	46.6%	565	51.2%	7	.	1,046	49.1%
SC	BARNWELL	435	33.8%	980	51.2%	5	.	1,420	45.8%
SC	BEAUFORT	1,092	39.7%	6,720	61.5%	56	37.5%	7,868	58.4%
SC	BERKELEY	1,279	45.8%	2,773	59.0%	66	43.9%	4,118	54.6%
SC	CALHOUN	336	39.6%	398	55.5%	3	.	737	48.0%
SC	CHARLESTON	4,949	47.6%	12,570	60.2%	150	44.7%	17,669	56.5%
SC	CHEROKEE	444	31.3%	2,638	42.7%	11	.	3,093	41.0%
SC	CHESTER	542	26.2%	1,705	44.2%	7	.	2,254	39.8%
SC	CHESTERFIELD	689	32.4%	1,777	44.0%	8	.	2,474	40.7%
SC	CLARENDON	848	39.9%	1,020	52.2%	13	.	1,881	46.7%
SC	COLLETON	794	55.0%	1,393	59.8%	8	.	2,195	58.0%
SC	DARLINGTON	1,213	38.0%	2,657	54.6%	11	.	3,881	49.3%
SC	DILLON	665	36.1%	1,218	49.2%	12	.	1,895	44.5%
SC	DORCHESTER	1,009	41.9%	3,169	57.9%	32	56.3%	4,210	54.1%
SC	EDGEFIELD	376	35.6%	694	53.6%	6	.	1,076	47.2%
SC	FAIRFIELD	659	40.7%	760	50.4%	8	.	1,427	46.0%
SC	FLORENCE	2,358	37.7%	5,350	56.5%	49	51.0%	7,757	50.8%
SC	GEORGETOWN	1,029	42.4%	3,805	60.7%	27	44.4%	4,861	56.7%
SC	GREENVILLE	2,699	36.5%	20,420	51.0%	120	31.7%	23,239	49.3%
SC	GREENWOOD	988	44.2%	4,016	56.4%	16	.	5,020	54.0%
SC	HAMPTON	647	40.8%	784	52.4%	6	.	1,437	47.0%
SC	HORRY	1,089	43.3%	10,707	61.1%	69	53.6%	11,865	59.4%
SC	JASPER	449	33.4%	398	47.5%	5	.	852	40.0%
SC	KERSHAW	805	37.5%	2,605	52.6%	21	.	3,431	49.0%
SC	LANCASTER	633	19.6%	2,903	44.1%	8	.	3,544	39.7%
SC	LAURENS	807	40.0%	3,047	48.8%	19	.	3,873	47.0%
SC	LEE	605	36.2%	597	47.9%	3	.	1,205	41.9%
SC	LEXINGTON	795	40.5%	9,571	57.4%	50	36.0%	10,416	56.0%
SC	MARION	1,031	33.2%	1,350	52.6%	11	.	2,392	44.1%
SC	MARLBORO	775	35.6%	1,047	49.5%	10	.	1,832	43.6%
SC	MCCORMICK	247	39.7%	503	59.0%	4	.	754	52.5%
SC	NEWBERRY	644	34.3%	2,248	52.0%	15	.	2,907	48.0%
SC	OCONEE	284	51.1%	4,498	53.3%	22	.	4,804	53.1%
SC	ORANGEBURG	2,711	44.1%	3,338	55.8%	26	57.7%	6,075	50.6%
SC	PICKENS	363	40.8%	6,025	48.3%	37	37.8%	6,425	47.8%
SC	RICHLAND	4,246	46.8%	11,295	58.8%	190	42.1%	15,731	55.3%
SC	SALUDA	272	35.3%	767	45.6%	4	.	1,043	43.0%
SC	SPARTANBURG	2,194	41.2%	13,853	52.6%	80	38.8%	16,127	51.0%
SC	SUMTER	2,320	39.1%	3,326	53.8%	44	38.6%	5,690	47.6%
SC	UNION	482	34.4%	1,923	47.1%	10	.	2,415	44.6%
SC	UNKNOWN	22	27.3%	67	52.2%	4	.	93	45.2%
SC	WILLIAMSBURG	1,237	37.3%	1,010	52.1%	11	.	2,258	43.8%
SC	YORK	1,347	34.5%	7,391	49.8%	42	40.5%	8,780	47.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
SD	AURORA	.	.	286	50.0%	1	.	288	50.0%
SD	BEADLE	.	.	1,701	58.2%	11	.	1,714	57.9%
SD	BENNETT	.	.	146	45.9%	26	.	172	40.1%
SD	BON HOMME	.	.	782	46.5%	4	.	787	46.6%
SD	BROOKINGS	.	.	1,480	56.8%	10	.	1,493	56.8%
SD	BROWN	.	.	2,955	61.9%	32	62.5%	2,990	61.9%
SD	BRULE	.	.	456	49.6%	8	.	464	49.1%
SD	BUFFALO	.	.	17	35.3%	19	68.4%	36	52.8%
SD	BUTTE	.	.	676	51.0%	6	.	682	50.7%
SD	CAMPBELL	.	.	338	55.3%	1	.	339	55.5%
SD	CHARLES MIX	.	.	733	47.7%	45	.	778	45.1%
SD	CLARK	.	.	450	50.7%	3	.	453	50.6%
SD	CLAY	.	.	673	54.4%	7	.	680	54.0%
SD	CODINGTON	.	.	1,955	53.6%	10	.	1,966	53.5%
SD	CORSON	.	.	356	53.7%	44	.	401	49.9%
SD	CUSTER	.	.	497	52.5%	10	.	507	52.1%
SD	DAVISON	.	.	1,666	47.7%	8	.	1,675	47.7%
SD	DAY	.	.	721	52.7%	20	.	742	51.9%
SD	DEUEL	.	.	448	51.8%	3	.	451	51.9%
SD	DEWEY	.	.	158	41.8%	61	.	220	32.3%
SD	DOUGLAS	.	.	406	50.2%	1	.	407	50.1%
SD	EDMUNDS	.	.	451	55.0%	4	.	455	54.7%
SD	FALL RIVER	.	.	679	48.5%	21	.	700	47.7%
SD	FAULK	.	.	328	57.6%	0	.	329	57.8%
SD	GRANT	.	.	768	53.6%	2	.	770	53.6%
SD	GREGORY	.	.	645	51.5%	14	.	659	51.0%
SD	HAAKON	.	.	211	50.7%	1	.	212	50.5%
SD	HAMLIN	.	.	518	50.8%	1	.	519	50.7%
SD	HAND	.	.	394	51.3%	2	.	399	51.1%
SD	HANSON	.	.	215	43.7%	1	.	216	43.5%
SD	HARDING	.	.	89	49.4%	0	.	89	49.4%
SD	HUGHES	.	.	1,070	57.0%	22	.	1,092	56.7%
SD	HUTCHINSON	.	.	1,056	49.4%	8	.	1,064	49.2%
SD	HYDE	.	.	179	48.0%	2	.	183	48.6%
SD	JACKSON	.	.	116	50.0%	5	.	122	48.4%
SD	JERARD	.	.	313	55.3%	1	.	315	55.2%
SD	JONES	.	.	99	63.6%	0	.	99	63.6%
SD	KINGSBURY	.	.	732	51.0%	3	.	735	50.9%
SD	LAKE	.	.	915	58.1%	4	.	920	58.0%
SD	LAWRENCE	.	.	1,578	57.1%	8	.	1,587	57.1%
SD	LINCOLN	.	.	877	54.3%	1	.	878	54.2%
SD	LYMAN	.	.	228	56.1%	18	72.2%	247	57.5%
SD	MARSHALL	.	.	473	55.6%	10	.	483	55.3%
SD	MC COOK	.	.	563	44.2%	7	.	570	44.2%
SD	MC PHERSON	.	.	278	45.0%	0	.	278	45.0%
SD	MEADE	.	.	1,202	53.2%	33	.	1,237	52.5%
SD	MELLETTE	.	.	85	48.2%	28	.	113	39.8%
SD	MINER	.	.	341	51.6%	1	.	342	51.5%
SD	MINNEHAHA	21	42.9%	8,434	57.0%	47	34.0%	8,502	56.8%
SD	MOODY	.	.	432	53.0%	8	.	441	52.8%
SD	PENNINGTON	11	63.6%	4,956	56.6%	143	21.0%	5,110	55.6%
SD	PERKINS	.	.	395	52.9%	4	.	399	52.6%
SD	POTTER	.	.	338	47.6%	7	.	345	47.8%
SD	ROBERTS	.	.	736	46.9%	53	.	791	44.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
SD	SANBORN	.	.	271	50.6%	3	.	274	50.7%
SD	SHANNON	.	.	37	18.9%	177	.	215	6.5%
SD	SPINK	.	.	706	60.5%	2	.	708	60.3%
SD	STANLEY	.	.	145	52.4%	3	.	148	51.4%
SD	SULLY	.	.	99	68.7%	1	.	100	69.0%
SD	TODD	.	.	66	43.9%	108	18.5%	175	28.0%
SD	TRIPP	.	.	611	43.4%	13	.	624	42.9%
SD	TURNER	.	.	888	50.0%	2	.	891	49.9%
SD	UNION	.	.	948	52.0%	6	.	957	51.9%
SD	UNKNOWN	.	.	18	50.0%	2	.	21	52.4%
SD	WALWORTH	.	.	388	52.6%	10	.	398	51.3%
SD	WASHABAUGH	.	.	18	50.0%	12	.	30	33.3%
SD	YANKTON	.	.	1,588	55.3%	11	.	1,599	55.3%
SD	ZIEBACH	.	.	34	38.2%	18	.	52	25.0%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TN	ANDERSON	133	42.1%	5,357	56.8%	30	50.0%	5,520	56.4%
TN	BEDFORD	169	41.4%	1,974	49.7%	12		2,155	48.9%
TN	BENTON	20	10.0%	1,418	41.1%	3		1,441	40.7%
TN	BLEDSON			558	37.1%	1		568	36.8%
TN	BLOUNT	193	39.9%	6,780	55.6%	28	46.4%	7,001	55.1%
TN	BRADLEY	136	39.0%	4,626	45.8%	22		4,784	45.5%
TN	CAMPBELL	13	46.2%	2,588	43.4%	9		2,610	43.4%
TN	CANNON	17	58.8%	820	43.5%	1		838	43.8%
TN	CARROLL	231	25.5%	2,490	36.5%	15		2,736	35.4%
TN	CARTER	29	24.1%	3,577	38.2%	9		3,615	38.1%
TN	CHEATHAM	40	35.0%	1,051	47.5%	8		1,099	47.1%
TN	CHESTER	70	32.9%	832	33.5%	2		904	33.4%
TN	CLAIBORNE	21	28.6%	1,850	36.1%	7		1,878	36.0%
TN	CLAY	21	19.0%	420	32.6%	0		441	32.0%
TN	COCKE	42	45.2%	2,147	43.7%	8		2,197	43.7%
TN	COFFEE	78	35.9%	3,341	46.1%	15		3,434	45.8%
TN	CROCKETT	140	22.1%	1,104	38.8%	2		1,246	36.9%
TN	CUMBERLAND			4,016	62.4%	26	46.2%	4,052	62.3%
TN	DAVIDSON	4,584	39.9%	22,694	53.9%	259	31.3%	27,537	51.4%
TN	DE KALB	14	35.7%	982	42.1%	1		997	41.9%
TN	DECATUR	30	23.3%	913	36.6%	3		946	36.0%
TN	DICKSON	80	28.8%	2,076	40.5%	11		2,167	40.0%
TN	DYER	238	39.9%	2,359	47.1%	14		2,611	46.3%
TN	FAYETTE	462	33.1%	798	42.5%	9		1,269	38.9%
TN	FENTRESS			1,094	37.6%	3		1,099	37.6%
TN	FRANKLIN	150	48.7%	2,567	51.4%	14		2,731	51.2%
TN	GIBSON	562	31.3%	3,851	43.4%	18		4,431	41.9%
TN	GILES	216	31.5%	1,964	40.8%	10		2,190	39.9%
TN	GRAINGER	12	33.3%	1,173	39.0%	5		1,190	38.8%
TN	GREENE	86	43.0%	4,501	41.8%	8		4,595	41.8%
TN	GRUNDY			895	36.8%	4		907	36.7%
TN	HAMBLETON	116	43.1%	3,417	45.5%	15		3,548	45.4%
TN	HAMILTON	2,607	40.7%	16,462	54.5%	145	29.0%	19,214	52.5%
TN	HANCOCK			460	25.9%	1		462	25.8%
TN	HARDEMAN	458	33.0%	1,289	40.2%	11		1,758	38.2%
TN	HARDIN	49	34.7%	1,770	35.8%	6		1,825	35.7%
TN	HAWKINS	50	18.0%	2,634	42.4%	14		2,698	41.9%
TN	HAYWOOD	500	28.4%	741	42.6%	4		1,245	36.8%
TN	HENDERSON	99	22.2%	1,808	36.5%	9		1,916	35.8%
TN	HENRY	208	27.4%	2,541	41.6%	20		2,769	40.4%
TN	HICKMAN	33	33.3%	1,064	43.9%	2		1,099	43.5%
TN	HOUSTON	14	14.3%	514	32.1%	3		531	31.6%
TN	HUMPHREYS	36	44.4%	1,070	47.9%	6		1,112	47.8%
TN	JACKSON			625	31.4%	3		629	31.3%
TN	JEFFERSON	87	35.6%	2,998	47.3%	14		3,099	46.9%
TN	JOHNSON			1,235	37.5%	2		1,244	37.4%
TN	KNOX	1,498	39.1%	21,669	51.8%	184	35.9%	23,351	50.9%
TN	LAKE	76	42.1%	459	45.8%	3		538	45.2%
TN	LAUDERDALE	401	35.2%	1,328	46.8%	9		1,738	43.9%
TN	LAWRENCE	40	22.5%	3,160	45.3%	16		3,216	44.9%
TN	LEWIS			715	38.3%	0		722	38.1%
TN	LINCOLN	159	15.7%	2,220	35.0%	9		2,388	33.6%
TN	LOUDON	29	31.0%	3,022	49.6%	14		3,065	49.3%
TN	MACON			1,211	33.6%	6		1,219	33.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TN	MADISON	1,294	30.0%	4,347	51.0%	22	.	5,663	46.1%
TN	MARION	82	39.0%	1,524	44.9%	4	.	1,610	44.6%
TN	MARSHALL	124	28.2%	1,458	42.0%	9	.	1,591	40.9%
TN	MAURY	561	39.4%	3,796	55.9%	25	.	4,382	53.7%
TN	MC MINN	121	52.1%	3,258	50.6%	17	.	3,396	50.6%
TN	MC NAIRY	94	25.5%	2,037	33.9%	6	.	2,137	33.5%
TN	MEIGS	.	.	584	48.1%	3	.	595	48.1%
TN	MONROE	72	33.3%	2,350	44.0%	8	.	2,430	43.6%
TN	MONTGOMERY	530	27.4%	3,394	41.6%	70	28.6%	3,994	39.5%
TN	MOORE	19	31.6%	230	43.0%	2	.	251	42.2%
TN	MORGAN	.	.	813	38.7%	7	.	822	38.4%
TN	OBION	178	32.6%	2,451	46.1%	6	.	2,635	45.1%
TN	OVERTON	.	.	1,331	37.8%	1	.	1,335	37.9%
TN	PERRY	.	.	540	40.0%	5	.	554	39.7%
TN	PICKETT	.	.	305	28.5%	1	.	306	28.4%
TN	POLK	.	.	1,209	45.5%	2	.	1,211	45.4%
TN	PUTNAM	49	34.7%	4,472	46.4%	18	.	4,539	46.2%
TN	RHEA	50	42.0%	1,915	46.9%	6	.	1,971	46.7%
TN	ROANE	103	39.8%	3,870	50.0%	19	.	3,992	49.7%
TN	ROBERTSON	221	35.3%	2,185	48.1%	6	.	2,412	46.9%
TN	RUTHERFORD	462	39.4%	5,225	51.5%	51	29.4%	5,738	50.3%
TN	SCOTT	.	.	1,096	39.0%	6	.	1,105	38.6%
TN	SEQUATCHIE	.	.	489	36.6%	0	.	495	36.4%
TN	SEVIER	.	.	4,084	50.2%	17	.	4,110	50.3%
TN	SHELBY	15,133	33.3%	29,744	52.4%	371	30.2%	45,248	45.8%
TN	SMITH	38	31.6%	1,181	32.5%	10	.	1,229	32.4%
TN	STEWART	13	30.8%	666	33.5%	8	.	687	33.0%
TN	SULLIVAN	160	36.9%	9,406	51.6%	48	43.8%	9,614	51.3%
TN	SUMNER	289	31.5%	4,982	44.6%	18	.	5,289	43.8%
TN	TIPTON	522	34.9%	1,881	50.2%	10	.	2,413	46.8%
TN	TROUSDALE	56	35.7%	325	29.2%	2	.	383	30.0%
TN	UNICOI	.	.	1,584	44.4%	21	.	1,607	44.2%
TN	UNION	.	.	703	36.4%	3	.	709	36.2%
TN	UNKNOWN	.	.	95	44.2%	7	.	111	40.5%
TN	VAN BUREN	.	.	271	39.5%	1	.	273	39.2%
TN	WARREN	76	35.5%	2,694	43.3%	10	.	2,780	43.1%
TN	WASHINGTON	172	45.3%	6,876	51.1%	35	.	7,083	50.9%
TN	WAYNE	11	36.4%	992	37.0%	2	.	1,005	36.9%
TN	WEAKLEY	110	24.5%	2,342	43.8%	9	.	2,461	42.8%
TN	WHITE	39	28.2%	1,703	37.6%	4	.	1,746	37.3%
TN	WILLIAMSON	235	34.0%	4,070	55.4%	30	.	4,335	54.0%
TN	WILSON	220	31.8%	3,073	42.2%	24	.	3,317	41.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TX	ANDERSON	463	25.7%	2,759	48.0%	39	28.2%	3,261	44.6%
TX	ANDREWS	12	41.7%	699	42.9%	50	22.0%	761	41.5%
TX	ANGELINA	467	43.3%	3,837	51.4%	53		4,357	50.1%
TX	ARANSAS	15	46.7%	1,326	56.6%	36	52.8%	1,377	56.4%
TX	ARCHER			471	46.5%	2		473	46.7%
TX	ARMSTRONG			164	50.0%	0		164	50.0%
TX	ATASCOSA			1,028	43.4%	183	33.3%	1,220	41.7%
TX	AUSTIN	149	20.8%	1,565	42.7%	27		1,741	40.6%
TX	BAILEY			462	42.9%	48		516	40.7%
TX	BANDERA			761	50.9%	24		786	50.0%
TX	BASTROP	317	30.9%	2,171	42.7%	84	32.1%	2,572	40.9%
TX	BAYLOR			516	47.1%	5		528	46.8%
TX	BEE	23	26.1%	1,017	46.6%	201	29.4%	1,241	43.4%
TX	BELL	552	37.9%	4,025	51.1%	332	41.0%	4,909	48.9%
TX	BEXAR	2,253	43.6%	29,119	49.7%	5,958	38.7%	37,330	47.5%
TX	BLANCO	11	27.3%	1,529	47.6%	23		1,563	47.0%
TX	BORDEN			21	28.6%	0		21	28.6%
TX	BOSQUE	19	26.3%	1,203	40.2%	10		1,232	39.9%
TX	BOWIE	936	33.8%	5,076	51.0%	42	40.5%	6,054	48.3%
TX	BRAZORIA	440	30.0%	7,055	51.6%	285	31.9%	7,780	49.7%
TX	BRAZOS	559	31.3%	3,886	52.9%	159	35.2%	4,604	49.6%
TX	BREWSTER			507	35.1%	79	30.4%	587	34.6%
TX	BRISCOE			176	46.6%	3		183	44.8%
TX	BROOKS			390	36.7%	197	33.0%	588	35.5%
TX	BROWN	51	35.3%	2,789	43.2%	61	37.7%	2,901	43.0%
TX	BURLESON	186	31.7%	904	44.5%	23		1,113	41.6%
TX	BURNET	12	33.3%	1,856	50.9%	30	36.7%	1,898	50.6%
TX	CALDWELL	154	31.8%	1,431	44.0%	178	27.5%	1,763	41.3%
TX	CALHOUN	35	45.7%	968	49.1%	112	26.8%	1,115	46.7%
TX	CALLAHAN			980	45.2%	11		992	44.9%
TX	CAMERON	32	34.4%	10,661	44.1%	4,676	34.8%	15,369	41.2%
TX	CAMP	200	28.0%	724	42.0%	7		931	38.9%
TX	CARSON			454	50.7%	7		463	50.5%
TX	CASS	413	34.4%	2,218	45.5%	13		2,644	43.6%
TX	CASTRO	13	38.5%	412	39.6%	58	20.7%	483	37.3%
TX	CHAMBERS	88	23.9%	531	43.1%	5		624	40.5%
TX	CHEROKEE	438	34.0%	2,466	45.9%	26		2,930	44.0%
TX	CHILDRESS	26	34.6%	554	31.9%	12		592	31.9%
TX	CLAY			655	49.2%	7		665	48.9%
TX	COCHRAN	11	27.3%	218	43.1%	18		247	39.7%
TX	COKE			334	46.4%	16		350	46.0%
TX	COLEMAN	14	42.9%	1,059	37.4%	15		1,088	37.4%
TX	COLLIN	243	37.9%	7,705	50.4%	359	29.8%	8,307	49.1%
TX	COLLINGSWORTH	18	27.8%	325	36.0%	13		356	34.6%
TX	COLORADO	208	24.0%	1,409	44.2%	37		1,654	41.3%
TX	COMAL	26	19.2%	4,306	53.0%	178	25.8%	4,510	51.7%
TX	COMANCHE			1,247	38.3%	30		1,278	37.9%
TX	CONCHO			230	39.1%	18		252	37.7%
TX	COOKE	41	29.3%	2,306	47.9%	32	40.6%	2,379	47.5%
TX	CORYELL	52	32.7%	1,056	45.0%	46	32.6%	1,154	43.9%
TX	COTTLE			231	29.0%	7		247	27.9%
TX	CRANE			193	39.4%	10		212	37.3%
TX	CROCKETT			201	35.8%	46		249	32.1%
TX	CROSBY	31	29.0%	456	43.0%	45		532	40.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TX	CULBERSON	.	.	88	33.0%	28	.	117	31.6%
TX	DALLAM	.	.	579	37.1%	22	.	604	36.1%
TX	DALLAS	8,320	38.8%	53,101	55.4%	3,138	28.8%	64,559	51.9%
TX	DAWSON	44	27.3%	931	44.8%	91	33.0%	1,066	43.1%
TX	DE WITT	121	33.1%	1,355	43.2%	100	33.0%	1,576	41.8%
TX	DEAF SMITH	13	46.2%	938	45.4%	118	34.7%	1,069	44.2%
TX	DELTA	32	25.0%	418	42.6%	2	.	452	41.6%
TX	DENTON	176	31.3%	6,419	48.4%	164	25.0%	6,759	47.4%
TX	DICKENS	.	.	249	39.4%	12	.	268	38.8%
TX	DIMMIT	.	.	383	35.8%	230	33.5%	619	34.7%
TX	DONLEY	.	.	377	42.7%	1	.	384	42.2%
TX	DUVAL	.	.	572	38.8%	292	38.0%	865	38.6%
TX	EASTLAND	18	38.9%	1,899	36.6%	26	.	1,943	36.3%
TX	ECTOR	291	36.8%	5,749	45.3%	508	28.3%	6,548	43.6%
TX	EDWARDS	.	.	515	38.1%	191	26.7%	709	35.1%
TX	EL PASO	475	28.2%	18,572	39.0%	8,923	34.3%	27,970	37.3%
TX	ELLIS	378	23.8%	3,629	46.3%	113	18.6%	4,120	43.5%
TX	ERATH	.	.	2,118	40.6%	26	.	2,153	40.3%
TX	FALLS	267	21.3%	726	35.4%	37	.	1,030	31.1%
TX	FANNIN	111	28.8%	2,201	43.3%	12	.	2,324	42.5%
TX	FAYETTE	165	20.0%	2,245	39.5%	42	31.0%	2,452	38.1%
TX	FISHER	.	.	420	46.7%	12	.	439	46.5%
TX	FLOYD	26	23.1%	527	50.1%	37	.	590	46.9%
TX	FOARD	.	.	180	37.8%	5	.	189	37.0%
TX	FORT BEND	497	29.2%	3,976	50.2%	643	27.7%	5,116	45.3%
TX	FRANKLIN	26	15.4%	578	43.6%	8	.	612	42.2%
TX	FREESTONE	207	29.5%	1,096	45.1%	14	.	1,317	42.4%
TX	FRIO	.	.	551	37.9%	160	30.6%	712	36.4%
TX	GAINES	15	26.7%	575	39.0%	54	20.4%	644	37.1%
TX	GALVESTON	1,520	46.2%	7,224	49.9%	409	37.7%	9,153	48.8%
TX	GARZA	11	0.0%	307	44.0%	21	.	339	42.2%
TX	GILLESPIE	.	.	2,363	52.8%	40	45.0%	2,407	52.8%
TX	GLASSCOCK	.	.	40	60.0%	0	.	40	60.0%
TX	GOLIAD	31	32.3%	363	47.9%	51	37.3%	445	45.6%
TX	GONZALES	124	29.0%	1,246	41.8%	99	31.3%	1,469	40.0%
TX	GRAY	44	27.3%	1,987	44.1%	22	.	2,053	43.6%
TX	GRAYSON	333	30.6%	7,130	48.6%	69	24.6%	7,532	47.6%
TX	GREGG	1,174	36.9%	7,268	59.1%	66	43.9%	8,508	55.9%
TX	GRIMES	245	28.2%	975	41.0%	19	.	1,239	38.2%
TX	GUADALUPE	183	37.7%	2,917	49.4%	240	23.8%	3,340	46.9%
TX	HALE	92	42.4%	2,141	47.9%	180	28.3%	2,413	46.2%
TX	HALL	15	13.3%	387	35.7%	10	.	412	34.0%
TX	HAMILTON	.	.	607	33.1%	5	.	613	33.0%
TX	HANSFORD	.	.	395	46.6%	8	.	403	46.2%
TX	HARDEMAN	20	25.0%	483	36.0%	6	.	509	35.4%
TX	HARDIN	144	29.2%	1,965	47.2%	15	.	2,124	46.0%
TX	HARRIS	12,750	34.7%	61,966	49.9%	6,733	28.5%	81,449	45.8%
TX	HARRISON	1,012	38.0%	2,476	55.0%	29	41.4%	3,517	50.0%
TX	HARTLEY	.	.	64	57.8%	0	.	64	57.8%
TX	HASKELL	23	0.0%	724	40.2%	18	.	765	38.4%
TX	HAYS	68	35.3%	2,889	48.8%	274	29.2%	3,231	46.8%
TX	HEMPHILL	.	.	218	44.0%	1	.	220	44.1%
TX	HENDERSON	269	26.8%	3,442	49.7%	25	.	3,736	47.9%
TX	HIDALGO	42	26.2%	15,160	45.1%	7,082	32.1%	22,284	40.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TX	HILL	138	23.2%	2,460	44.1%	36		2,634	42.7%
TX	HOCKLEY	38	50.0%	1,248	44.5%	81	24.7%	1,367	43.5%
TX	HOOD			2,483	54.4%	17		2,504	54.3%
TX	HOPKINS	169	27.2%	2,182	41.2%	8		2,359	40.1%
TX	HOUSTON	374	28.9%	1,587	41.5%	12		1,973	39.0%
TX	HOWARD	57	35.1%	2,091	39.2%	160	23.1%	2,308	38.0%
TX	HUDSPETH			78	34.6%	35		113	26.5%
TX	HUNT	299	27.8%	3,769	47.1%	38	36.8%	4,106	45.6%
TX	HUTCHINSON	32	25.0%	1,832	48.4%	20		1,884	47.9%
TX	IRION			85	37.6%	9		95	36.8%
TX	JACK			558	41.8%	0		565	41.6%
TX	JACKSON	87	37.9%	828	44.3%	27		942	43.1%
TX	JASPER	269	27.9%	1,728	46.1%	14		2,011	43.6%
TX	JEFF DAVIS			122	38.5%	27		149	38.3%
TX	JEFFERSON	2,511	37.6%	10,175	54.2%	227	27.8%	12,913	50.5%
TX	JIM HOGG			234	32.1%	124	32.3%	363	32.5%
TX	JIM WELLS	14	42.9%	1,545	42.7%	500	34.4%	2,059	40.7%
TX	JOHNSON	61	19.7%	3,643	43.2%	85	30.6%	3,789	42.5%
TX	JONES	37	21.6%	1,196	41.4%	43		1,276	40.2%
TX	KARNES	19	10.5%	965	36.3%	128	19.5%	1,112	33.9%
TX	KAUFMAN	268	23.5%	4,079	43.2%	45	28.9%	4,392	41.8%
TX	KENDALL			1,413	53.4%	43	48.8%	1,462	53.1%
TX	KENEDY			16	43.8%	6		22	40.9%
TX	KENT			104	29.8%	1		105	30.5%
TX	KERR	57	50.9%	4,886	61.9%	119	40.3%	5,062	61.2%
TX	KIMBLE			387	34.6%	20		408	34.3%
TX	KING					0			
TX	KINNEY			233	41.6%	54	27.8%	293	39.2%
TX	KLEBERG	28	32.1%	1,073	45.6%	275	37.8%	1,376	43.8%
TX	KNOX	20	10.0%	421	39.7%	16		457	37.4%
TX	LA SALLE			210	30.0%	102	20.6%	312	26.9%
TX	LAMAR	353	35.4%	3,419	48.1%	19		3,791	46.8%
TX	LAMB	38	18.4%	1,083	44.5%	83	24.1%	1,204	42.3%
TX	LAMPASAS	13	38.5%	797	47.6%	34	32.4%	844	46.8%
TX	LAVACA	143	35.7%	2,186	43.3%	69	36.2%	2,398	42.7%
TX	LEE	109	38.5%	750	47.2%	16		875	45.9%
TX	LEON	223	22.9%	1,229	47.1%	9		1,461	43.3%
TX	LIBERTY	250	29.2%	2,063	37.6%	30		2,343	36.5%
TX	LIMESTONE	254	36.6%	1,409	50.1%	16		1,679	48.2%
TX	LIPSCOMB			283	50.5%	4		288	50.3%
TX	LIVE OAK			502	46.2%	38		541	44.7%
TX	LLANO			1,808	48.4%	13		1,824	48.2%
TX	LOVING					0			
TX	LUBBOCK	711	34.5%	11,343	56.8%	727	36.7%	12,781	54.4%
TX	LYNN			370	42.7%	36	33.3%	413	42.1%
TX	MADISON	125	22.4%	627	41.9%	6		758	38.4%
TX	MARION	221	30.3%	504	49.6%	1		726	43.7%
TX	MARTIN			231	45.9%	26		267	42.3%
TX	MASON			416	42.1%	13		430	41.4%
TX	MATAGORDA	302	31.1%	1,580	44.4%	95	17.9%	1,977	41.1%
TX	MAVERICK			1,147	30.6%	1,106	27.6%	2,258	29.2%
TX	MC CULLOCH	20	20.0%	754	37.3%	41		815	36.2%
TX	MC LENNAN	1,473	37.5%	10,150	46.5%	342	31.3%	11,965	45.0%
TX	MC MULLEN			45	40.0%	6		51	39.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TX	MEDINA	.	.	1,315	44.9%	159	22.6%	1,479	42.4%
TX	MENARD	.	.	222	32.9%	21	.	243	32.1%
TX	MIDLAND	445	42.9%	5,371	56.2%	317	36.9%	6,133	54.3%
TX	MILAM	172	24.4%	1,007	35.6%	45	.	1,224	33.5%
TX	MILLS	.	.	358	35.2%	9	.	367	34.9%
TX	MITCHELL	28	35.7%	630	38.4%	48	.	706	37.0%
TX	MONTAGUE	.	.	1,840	34.4%	14	.	1,859	34.3%
TX	MONTGOMERY	207	28.5%	6,605	49.9%	111	37.8%	6,923	49.1%
TX	MOORE	.	.	954	55.2%	44	34.1%	1,001	54.2%
TX	MORRIS	257	28.4%	961	54.1%	4	.	1,222	48.6%
TX	MOTLEY	.	.	161	47.8%	2	.	171	45.0%
TX	NACOGDOCHES	540	28.1%	2,830	47.7%	42	.	3,412	44.2%
TX	NAVARRO	465	38.1%	2,739	52.1%	40	30.0%	3,244	49.8%
TX	NEWTON	144	18.1%	527	42.3%	2	.	673	37.1%
TX	NOLAN	37	59.5%	1,214	59.6%	65	38.5%	1,316	58.6%
TX	NUECES	391	44.2%	9,044	58.6%	1,748	46.6%	11,183	56.2%
TX	OCHILTREE	.	.	494	33.6%	7	.	503	33.4%
TX	OLDHAM	.	.	137	53.3%	5	.	143	53.8%
TX	ORANGE	193	29.0%	3,570	50.1%	34	41.2%	3,797	48.9%
TX	PALO PINTO	27	18.5%	1,420	41.2%	13	.	1,460	40.5%
TX	PANOLA	248	31.0%	1,441	48.0%	13	.	1,702	45.4%
TX	PARKER	14	50.0%	2,690	44.4%	22	.	2,726	44.3%
TX	PARMER	.	.	530	43.4%	24	.	559	42.2%
TX	PECOS	.	.	580	37.2%	142	21.1%	723	34.0%
TX	POLK	190	32.1%	3,426	53.4%	56	21.4%	3,672	51.8%
TX	POTTER	385	50.1%	9,488	55.3%	297	42.4%	10,170	54.7%
TX	PRESIDIO	.	.	277	30.7%	219	34.7%	497	32.4%
TX	RAINS	22	27.3%	504	41.9%	5	.	531	41.1%
TX	RANDALL	.	.	2,040	57.7%	25	.	2,072	57.4%
TX	REAGAN	.	.	119	40.3%	16	.	143	40.6%
TX	REAL	.	.	284	48.6%	16	.	300	48.7%
TX	RED RIVER	162	15.4%	1,204	38.8%	5	.	1,371	36.0%
TX	REEVES	21	28.6%	490	36.3%	200	18.5%	711	31.1%
TX	REFUGIO	24	29.2%	441	47.2%	63	46.0%	528	46.2%
TX	ROBERTS	.	.	52	61.5%	2	.	55	58.2%
TX	ROBERTSON	304	18.4%	873	35.1%	26	.	1,203	30.3%
TX	ROCKWALL	38	13.2%	1,140	53.0%	24	.	1,202	51.2%
TX	RUNNELS	16	18.8%	1,100	46.1%	57	.	1,173	44.3%
TX	RUSK	477	27.7%	2,491	48.7%	18	.	2,986	45.2%
TX	SABINE	88	26.1%	1,129	45.5%	5	.	1,222	44.2%
TX	SAN AUGUSTINE	191	31.4%	610	47.7%	4	.	805	43.9%
TX	SAN JACINTO	109	31.2%	606	47.7%	9	.	724	44.6%
TX	SAN PATRICIO	36	47.2%	2,062	52.2%	341	36.4%	2,439	49.9%
TX	SAN SABA	.	.	540	32.4%	25	.	568	32.6%
TX	SCHLEICHER	.	.	177	44.6%	24	.	206	43.7%
TX	SCURRY	27	18.5%	1,200	39.5%	51	.	1,278	38.3%
TX	SHACKELFORD	.	.	303	46.9%	2	.	306	46.4%
TX	SHELBY	316	25.3%	1,671	40.8%	12	.	1,999	38.4%
TX	SHERMAN	.	.	209	43.5%	5	.	215	42.8%
TX	SMITH	1,594	43.0%	9,610	55.7%	118	32.2%	11,322	53.6%
TX	SOMERVELL	.	.	287	44.3%	4	.	292	44.2%
TX	STARR	.	.	1,108	21.1%	905	20.8%	2,019	21.0%
TX	STEPHENS	15	46.7%	764	47.5%	10	.	789	47.3%
TX	STERLING	.	.	72	36.1%	8	.	81	38.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
TX	STONEWALL	.	.	198	35.4%	2	.	206	34.5%
TX	SUTTON	.	.	180	36.7%	51	29.4%	231	35.1%
TX	SWISHER	11	27.3%	642	39.3%	33	.	686	38.0%
TX	TARRANT	2,814	38.5%	30,096	54.0%	1,279	31.5%	34,189	51.9%
TX	TAYLOR	277	37.2%	7,549	54.2%	243	33.7%	8,069	53.0%
TX	TERRELL	.	.	65	40.0%	21	.	86	36.0%
TX	TERRY	18	55.6%	832	51.3%	55	40.0%	905	50.7%
TX	THROCKMORTON	.	.	181	42.0%	2	.	185	42.2%
TX	TITUS	193	22.3%	1,571	39.5%	11	.	1,775	37.5%
TX	TOM GREEN	195	44.6%	6,428	52.2%	400	33.5%	7,023	50.9%
TX	TRAVIS	1,995	40.6%	18,954	53.9%	1,411	40.0%	22,360	51.9%
TX	TRINITY	116	26.7%	995	47.3%	5	.	1,116	45.2%
TX	TYLER	99	19.2%	1,228	39.6%	5	.	1,332	37.9%
TX	UNKNOWN	13	46.2%	230	36.1%	30	.	273	34.8%
TX	UPSHUR	244	26.6%	2,115	51.4%	8	.	2,367	48.9%
TX	UPTON	.	.	186	43.5%	31	.	225	38.7%
TX	UVALDE	.	.	1,329	40.5%	287	31.4%	1,623	38.8%
TX	VAL VERDE	19	15.8%	1,094	30.8%	526	25.1%	1,639	28.8%
TX	VAN ZANDT	91	42.9%	3,025	45.2%	13	.	3,129	45.0%
TX	VICTORIA	252	31.7%	4,054	54.2%	357	35.6%	4,663	51.5%
TX	WALKER	332	34.0%	1,778	56.4%	27	.	2,137	52.6%
TX	WALLER	288	34.4%	771	42.3%	21	.	1,080	39.7%
TX	WARD	21	38.1%	608	38.2%	78	28.2%	707	37.1%
TX	WASHINGTON	334	28.4%	2,189	49.3%	16	.	2,539	46.6%
TX	WEBB	12	25.0%	4,149	36.8%	2,960	36.1%	7,121	36.5%
TX	WHARTON	332	32.8%	2,128	45.1%	126	36.5%	2,586	43.1%
TX	WHEELER	.	.	588	37.1%	8	.	606	36.6%
TX	WICHITA	508	47.0%	7,558	56.5%	195	43.1%	8,261	55.6%
TX	WILBARGER	75	26.7%	1,168	36.6%	35	.	1,278	35.7%
TX	WILLACY	.	.	745	46.3%	347	40.9%	1,101	44.6%
TX	WILLIAMSON	240	30.8%	5,437	52.7%	234	32.5%	5,911	51.0%
TX	WILSON	15	33.3%	1,038	41.7%	92	33.7%	1,145	41.0%
TX	WINKLER	16	31.3%	386	36.3%	32	.	434	35.0%
TX	WISE	14	35.7%	1,568	40.5%	22	.	1,604	40.0%
TX	WOOD	160	30.6%	3,139	44.8%	20	.	3,319	44.0%
TX	YOAKUM	.	.	364	50.5%	25	.	392	49.0%
TX	YOUNG	18	22.2%	1,755	39.9%	10	.	1,783	39.5%
TX	ZAPATA	.	.	407	38.1%	145	33.8%	552	37.0%
TX	ZAVALA	.	.	416	37.0%	251	25.5%	671	32.6%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
UT	BEAVER	.	.	342	45.9%	4	.	346	45.7%
UT	BOX ELDER	.	.	1,941	45.1%	55	49.1%	1,998	45.3%
UT	CACHE	.	.	3,009	52.9%	18	.	3,032	52.9%
UT	CARBON	.	.	1,049	52.0%	37	45.9%	1,086	51.8%
UT	DAGGETT	.	.	40	65.0%	0	.	40	65.0%
UT	DAVIS	22	54.5%	7,014	51.8%	170	43.5%	7,206	51.6%
UT	DUCHESNE	.	.	658	41.6%	19	.	677	41.1%
UT	EMERY	.	.	453	45.9%	4	.	457	45.5%
UT	GARFIELD	.	.	313	46.6%	3	.	316	46.8%
UT	GRAND	.	.	471	33.1%	5	.	477	32.9%
UT	IRON	.	.	1,328	53.8%	13	.	1,342	53.7%
UT	JUAB	.	.	352	43.5%	5	.	357	43.1%
UT	KANE	.	.	443	44.5%	4	.	447	44.3%
UT	MILLARD	.	.	674	42.0%	3	.	679	41.8%
UT	MORGAN	.	.	272	44.1%	3	.	277	44.4%
UT	PIUTE	.	.	106	50.0%	1	.	107	49.5%
UT	RICH	.	.	115	46.1%	0	.	115	46.1%
UT	SALT LAKE	142	39.4%	31,463	53.0%	1,062	45.3%	32,667	52.7%
UT	SAN JUAN	.	.	288	31.3%	148	.	437	22.0%
UT	SANPETE	.	.	1,091	37.9%	7	.	1,099	37.9%
UT	SEVIER	.	.	1,127	49.2%	9	.	1,136	49.1%
UT	SUMMIT	.	.	612	45.9%	6	.	618	46.4%
UT	TOOELE	.	.	1,165	47.2%	32	40.6%	1,200	47.0%
UT	UINTAH	.	.	996	48.8%	43	27.9%	1,040	47.9%
UT	UNKNOWN	.	.	64	48.4%	7	.	74	44.6%
UT	UTAH	.	.	10,183	48.9%	125	29.6%	10,315	48.7%
UT	WASATCH	.	.	549	50.6%	0	.	549	50.6%
UT	WASHINGTON	.	.	5,795	55.2%	52	40.4%	5,853	55.1%
UT	WAYNE	.	.	161	31.7%	1	.	162	31.5%
UT	WEBER	109	50.5%	8,485	54.0%	291	45.7%	8,885	53.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
VA	ACCOMACK	713	40.7%	2,230	49.1%	17		2,960	47.0%
VA	ALBEMARLE	366	56.0%	3,410	63.1%	31	58.1%	3,807	62.4%
VA	ALEXANDRIA CITY	821	46.8%	6,409	53.0%	299	35.5%	7,529	51.6%
VA	ALLEGHANY			136	44.1%	3		149	45.0%
VA	AMELIA	184	26.6%	420	41.0%	5		609	36.3%
VA	AMHERST	349	32.1%	1,670	41.1%	14		2,033	39.4%
VA	APPOMATTOX	158	34.8%	726	43.7%	8		892	42.2%
VA	ARLINGTON	601	39.6%	6,219	49.4%	369	34.1%	7,189	47.8%
VA	AUGUSTA	65	43.1%	2,519	54.9%	9		2,593	54.6%
VA	BATH	28	46.4%	408	47.1%	2		438	47.0%
VA	BEDFORD	171	31.0%	3,362	52.9%	41		3,574	51.5%
VA	BEDFORD CITY	152	33.6%	1,316	48.5%	4		1,472	47.1%
VA	BLAND			447	45.2%	3		460	44.6%
VA	BOTETOURT	63	25.4%	1,672	47.2%	23		1,758	46.2%
VA	BRISTOL CITY	51	43.1%	2,211	47.4%	13		2,275	47.2%
VA	BRUNSWICK	590	44.1%	695	53.2%	16		1,301	48.9%
VA	BUCHANAN			1,261	37.7%	3		1,266	37.6%
VA	BUCKINGHAM	262	51.9%	507	49.7%	2		771	50.6%
VA	BUENA VISTA CITY	21	57.1%	601	42.8%	4		626	43.6%
VA	CAMPBELL	386	32.9%	2,035	45.7%	18		2,439	43.6%
VA	CAROLINE	392	41.8%	816	49.3%	15		1,223	46.4%
VA	CARROLL	13	23.1%	1,767	47.9%	7		1,787	47.7%
VA	CHARLES CITY	150	44.7%	87	51.7%	1		238	47.5%
VA	CHARLOTTE	352	44.3%	891	45.6%	9		1,252	45.4%
VA	CHARLOTTESVILLE CITY	396	56.3%	2,808	62.5%	44	45.5%	3,248	61.5%
VA	CHESAPEAKE CITY	1,868	47.6%	5,620	59.1%	128	51.6%	7,616	56.2%
VA	CHESTERFIELD	631	47.1%	6,920	53.6%	122	40.2%	7,673	52.9%
VA	CLARKE	89	37.1%	714	46.1%	5		808	45.3%
VA	CLIFTON FORGE CITY	69	36.2%	578	53.8%	12		659	51.7%
VA	COLONIAL HGTS CITY	53	47.2%	1,522	52.8%	21		1,596	52.4%
VA	COVINGTON CITY	95	38.9%	1,172	53.6%	9		1,276	52.5%
VA	CRAIG			304	39.1%	1		305	39.0%
VA	CULPEPER	293	40.6%	1,680	49.7%	12		1,985	48.2%
VA	CUMBERLAND	153	39.2%	230	41.3%	1		384	40.6%
VA	DANVILLE CITY	1,317	38.1%	4,810	50.7%	48	27.1%	6,175	47.9%
VA	DICKENSON			796	46.5%	3		804	46.6%
VA	DINWIDDIE	310	48.4%	523	60.2%	3		836	55.7%
VA	EMPORIA CITY	413	42.9%	516	48.6%	6		935	45.8%
VA	ESSEX	253	43.5%	502	58.4%	7		762	53.3%
VA	FAIRFAX	815	41.3%	20,133	51.5%	1,295	35.0%	22,243	50.1%
VA	FAIRFAX CITY	63	30.2%	3,116	51.3%	158	36.7%	3,337	50.2%
VA	FALLS CHURCH CITY	36	44.4%	1,455	54.3%	61	45.9%	1,552	53.7%
VA	FAUQUIER	333	37.2%	2,182	48.5%	27		2,542	46.7%
VA	FLOYD	39	35.9%	965	47.2%	12		1,016	46.7%
VA	FLUVANNA	207	47.8%	998	66.2%	10		1,215	62.8%
VA	FRANKLIN	236	44.9%	2,542	47.9%	18		2,796	47.7%
VA	FRANKLIN CITY	406	33.5%	644	53.1%	6		1,056	45.5%
VA	FREDERICK	78	39.7%	2,471	49.1%	19	57.9%	2,568	48.9%
VA	FREDERICKSBURG CITY	368	41.8%	3,612	46.1%	37	32.4%	4,017	45.6%
VA	GALAX CITY	38	42.1%	1,414	46.6%	9		1,461	46.5%
VA	GILES	21	38.1%	1,474	51.2%	30	36.7%	1,525	50.7%
VA	GLOUCESTER	270	43.7%	1,576	55.5%	14		1,860	53.6%
VA	GOOCHLAND	216	36.6%	541	51.0%	6		763	46.9%
VA	GRAYSON	19	57.9%	1,233	45.2%	4		1,256	45.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
VA	GREENE	32	28.1%	590	52.9%	6	.	628	51.6%
VA	GREENSVILLE	114	43.0%	154	63.0%	2	.	270	54.8%
VA	HALIFAX	886	36.6%	1,856	44.9%	16	.	2,758	42.2%
VA	HAMPTON CITY	2,217	45.8%	4,269	53.8%	101	38.6%	6,587	50.9%
VA	HANOVER	490	38.8%	4,409	50.8%	53	34.0%	4,952	49.4%
VA	HARRISONBURG CITY	48	47.9%	2,105	50.8%	20	.	2,173	50.6%
VA	HENRICO	1,236	42.4%	10,850	53.7%	155	40.0%	12,241	52.4%
VA	HENRY	459	43.4%	2,803	56.8%	23	.	3,285	54.8%
VA	HIGHLAND	.	.	236	45.8%	1	.	237	45.6%
VA	HOPEWELL CITY	200	33.5%	1,192	46.5%	17	.	1,409	44.5%
VA	ISLE OF WIGHT	449	34.5%	1,125	53.4%	14	.	1,588	47.8%
VA	JAMES CITY	137	38.7%	619	66.7%	16	.	772	61.7%
VA	KING AND QUEEN	192	49.0%	269	48.0%	7	.	468	48.5%
VA	KING GEORGE	127	35.4%	548	45.3%	5	.	680	43.2%
VA	KING WILLIAM	205	34.6%	521	49.1%	7	.	733	44.7%
VA	LANCASTER	289	45.7%	1,400	59.9%	9	.	1,698	57.4%
VA	LEE	.	.	1,474	39.4%	6	.	1,489	39.6%
VA	LEXINGTON CITY	84	50.0%	1,088	54.2%	7	.	1,179	54.0%
VA	LOUDOUN	238	30.3%	3,401	44.6%	71	36.6%	3,710	43.5%
VA	LOUISA	320	43.4%	1,058	50.9%	11	.	1,389	49.0%
VA	LUNENBURG	312	52.9%	574	52.1%	8	.	894	52.3%
VA	LYNCHBURG CITY	1,160	36.0%	5,578	48.1%	54	33.3%	6,792	45.9%
VA	MADISON	93	35.5%	665	53.1%	6	.	764	50.9%
VA	MANASSAS CITY	140	38.6%	1,908	44.3%	42	35.7%	2,090	43.8%
VA	MANASSAS PARK CITY	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.
VA	MARTINSVILLE CITY	734	47.4%	2,306	59.9%	14	.	3,054	56.9%
VA	MATHEWS	135	25.2%	805	44.5%	3	.	943	41.8%
VA	MECKLENBURG	878	42.8%	1,905	51.6%	21	.	2,804	48.8%
VA	MIDDLESEX	176	44.3%	867	54.0%	5	.	1,048	52.2%
VA	MONTGOMERY	116	36.2%	3,315	51.0%	34	41.2%	3,465	50.4%
VA	NANSEMOND CITY	238	42.4%	482	57.9%	11	.	731	52.7%
VA	NELSON	232	34.9%	1,049	45.4%	12	.	1,293	43.5%
VA	NEW KENT	147	37.4%	466	48.5%	15	.	628	46.0%
VA	NEWPORT NEWS CITY	2,220	44.4%	6,094	59.7%	172	36.0%	8,486	55.2%
VA	NORFOLK CITY	3,784	49.0%	6,974	60.4%	240	47.9%	10,998	56.2%
VA	NORTHAMPTON	535	48.6%	781	55.8%	10	.	1,326	52.9%
VA	NORTHUMBERLAND	276	35.1%	956	56.0%	3	.	1,235	51.3%
VA	NORTON CITY	.	.	387	45.7%	4	.	396	45.2%
VA	NOTTOWAY	507	40.4%	886	48.9%	15	.	1,408	45.7%
VA	ORANGE	249	49.0%	2,017	57.1%	19	.	2,285	56.0%
VA	PAGE	42	38.1%	1,660	44.6%	10	.	1,712	44.2%
VA	PATRICK	67	49.3%	1,434	49.5%	11	.	1,512	49.5%
VA	PETERSBURG CITY	1,484	44.9%	1,662	50.4%	34	41.2%	3,180	47.7%
VA	PITTSYLVANIA	785	41.4%	2,565	46.3%	18	.	3,368	45.0%
VA	POQUOSON	.	.	261	58.2%	4	.	270	57.4%
VA	PORTSMOUTH CITY	2,602	45.2%	3,692	59.8%	93	46.2%	6,387	53.7%
VA	POWHATAN	155	43.2%	676	46.9%	10	.	841	46.1%
VA	PRINCE EDWARD	473	46.5%	1,050	54.4%	13	.	1,536	51.8%
VA	PRINCE GEORGE	138	46.4%	484	48.8%	16	.	638	47.8%
VA	PRINCE WILLIAM	409	34.7%	3,351	44.3%	132	31.8%	3,892	42.9%
VA	PULASKI	104	38.5%	2,351	43.6%	28	.	2,483	43.1%
VA	RADFORD CITY	44	47.7%	1,003	47.7%	14	.	1,061	47.5%
VA	RAPPAHANNOCK	40	32.5%	454	50.7%	7	.	501	49.1%
VA	RICHMOND	172	38.4%	540	56.1%	4	.	716	51.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
VA	RICHMOND CITY	5,665	44.3%	9,653	48.1%	164	36.0%	15,482	46.6%
VA	ROANOKE	94	41.5%	2,404	53.9%	42	28.6%	2,540	53.0%
VA	ROANOKE CITY	1,328	42.4%	9,611	51.4%	182	36.8%	11,121	50.1%
VA	ROCKBRIDGE	37	56.8%	827	51.3%	7		871	51.3%
VA	ROCKINGHAM	49	34.7%	3,728	43.0%	17		3,794	42.8%
VA	RUSSELL	12	75.0%	1,423	44.4%	8		1,443	44.6%
VA	SALEM CITY	107	34.6%	2,795	49.5%	45	35.6%	2,947	48.7%
VA	SCOTT			1,555	41.7%	9		1,574	41.6%
VA	SHENANDOAH	23	34.8%	2,903	50.3%	14		2,940	50.1%
VA	SMYTH	30	50.0%	2,721	46.5%	8		2,759	46.4%
VA	SOUTH BOSTON CITY	103	37.9%	298	48.0%	0		401	45.4%
VA	SOUTHAMPTON	442	34.2%	544	47.4%	8		994	41.3%
VA	SPOTSYLVANIA	182	39.6%	1,325	44.4%	21		1,528	43.7%
VA	STAFFORD	157	33.1%	1,377	47.2%	26		1,560	45.6%
VA	STAUNTON CITY	197	47.7%	2,743	55.8%	19		2,959	55.2%
VA	SUFFOLK CITY	1,173	43.1%	1,546	55.4%	34	58.8%	2,753	50.2%
VA	SURRY	205	41.0%	193	46.6%	0		398	43.7%
VA	SUSSEX	491	41.8%	474	53.0%	7		972	47.4%
VA	TAZEWELL	83	42.2%	3,062	43.8%	16		3,161	43.7%
VA	UNKNOWN	134	35.1%	457	44.4%	20		611	41.4%
VA	VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	1,470	42.8%	12,950	57.2%	453	37.1%	14,873	55.1%
VA	WARREN	110	49.1%	1,694	49.7%	14		1,818	49.4%
VA	WASHINGTON	56	46.4%	2,900	47.1%	14		2,970	46.9%
VA	WAYNESBORO CITY	94	57.4%	2,245	60.8%	21		2,360	60.5%
VA	WESTMORELAND	294	43.9%	1,082	51.1%	7		1,383	49.6%
VA	WILLIAMSBURG CITY	299	44.5%	2,546	62.3%	28	46.4%	2,873	60.3%
VA	WINCHESTER CITY	65	33.8%	2,186	50.8%	10		2,261	50.3%
VA	WISE	23	39.1%	2,232	46.4%	14		2,269	46.1%
VA	WYTHE	62	30.6%	2,328	43.3%	18		2,408	43.0%
VA	YORK	309	51.8%	2,546	61.4%	38	44.7%	2,893	60.1%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
VT	ADDISON	.	.	1,876	57.7%	8	.	1,886	57.6%
VT	BENNINGTON	.	.	2,654	57.8%	9	.	2,667	57.7%
VT	CALEDONIA	.	.	2,102	56.2%	7	.	2,110	56.1%
VT	CHITTENDEN	28	53.6%	6,624	53.5%	55	40.0%	6,707	53.4%
VT	ESSEX	.	.	475	53.9%	3	.	478	53.6%
VT	FRANKLIN	.	.	2,243	53.0%	25	.	2,273	52.7%
VT	GRAND ISLE	.	.	385	53.0%	4	.	390	52.6%
VT	LAMOILLE	.	.	1,240	56.5%	4	.	1,246	56.3%
VT	ORANGE	.	.	1,632	55.0%	15	.	1,650	54.8%
VT	ORLEANS	.	.	1,890	57.1%	14	.	1,906	56.7%
VT	RUTLAND	.	.	4,743	49.9%	27	44.4%	4,774	49.9%
VT	UNKNOWN	.	.	16	12.5%	0	.	18	22.2%
VT	WASHINGTON	.	.	3,768	60.7%	31	41.9%	3,804	60.6%
VT	WINDHAM	.	.	2,892	55.6%	24	58.3%	2,920	55.6%
VT	WINDSOR	13	46.2%	4,323	56.4%	21	52.4%	4,357	56.4%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
WA	ADAMS	.	.	572	55.6%	30	50.0%	604	55.3%
WA	ASOTIN	.	.	1,638	59.2%	12	.	1,654	59.2%
WA	BENTON	26	46.2%	6,644	59.5%	128	41.4%	6,798	59.1%
WA	CHELAN	.	.	2,969	58.7%	31	41.9%	3,002	58.5%
WA	CLALLAM	11	54.5%	6,052	60.7%	100	61.0%	6,163	60.7%
WA	CLARK	53	47.2%	7,303	54.4%	176	38.6%	7,532	54.0%
WA	COLUMBIA	.	.	327	54.1%	8	.	335	54.3%
WA	COWLITZ	.	.	3,131	55.6%	36	38.9%	3,177	55.4%
WA	DOUGLAS	.	.	2,943	59.7%	42	40.5%	2,985	59.4%
WA	FERRY	.	.	316	46.5%	14	.	331	45.9%
WA	FRANKLIN	67	44.8%	1,655	58.7%	85	51.8%	1,807	57.9%
WA	GARFIELD	.	.	241	61.8%	0	.	241	61.8%
WA	GRANT	29	37.9%	3,693	56.0%	171	50.9%	3,893	55.6%
WA	GRAYS HARBOR	.	.	4,668	55.4%	74	40.5%	4,751	55.2%
WA	ISLAND	.	.	2,787	63.5%	89	50.6%	2,880	63.1%
WA	JEFFERSON	.	.	2,200	60.1%	26	53.8%	2,228	60.0%
WA	KING	1,680	45.7%	46,800	54.7%	4,017	41.4%	52,497	53.4%
WA	KITSAP	83	53.0%	8,322	57.6%	301	37.9%	8,706	56.9%
WA	KITTITAS	.	.	1,628	61.2%	12	.	1,644	61.1%
WA	KLICKITAT	.	.	1,152	55.9%	21	.	1,175	55.3%
WA	LEWIS	.	.	3,437	50.0%	29	.	3,471	49.9%
WA	LINCOLN	.	.	809	59.3%	6	.	815	59.3%
WA	MASON	.	.	2,776	56.7%	34	38.2%	2,814	56.4%
WA	OKANOGAN	.	.	2,292	52.3%	84	41.7%	2,379	51.9%
WA	PACIFIC	.	.	1,824	57.7%	31	58.1%	1,857	57.8%
WA	PEND OREILLE	.	.	524	52.1%	7	.	531	51.4%
WA	PIERCE	585	46.7%	20,607	58.3%	980	37.8%	22,172	57.0%
WA	SAN JUAN	.	.	925	57.6%	8	.	933	57.6%
WA	SKAGIT	.	.	4,808	57.5%	103	42.7%	4,916	57.2%
WA	SKAMANIA	.	.	323	47.4%	4	.	328	47.3%
WA	SNOHOMISH	82	34.1%	12,107	50.8%	549	32.6%	12,738	49.9%
WA	SPOKANE	98	52.0%	16,267	58.0%	323	44.3%	16,688	57.7%
WA	STEVENS	.	.	1,497	47.4%	36	30.6%	1,534	47.0%
WA	THURSTON	29	37.9%	5,919	52.7%	189	31.7%	6,137	52.0%
WA	UNKNOWN	.	.	104	43.3%	12	.	116	41.4%
WA	WAHKIAKUM	.	.	162	53.1%	1	.	163	52.8%
WA	WALLA WALLA	11	54.5%	3,056	64.0%	54	57.4%	3,121	63.9%
WA	WHATCOM	12	41.7%	7,481	57.9%	145	51.0%	7,638	57.8%
WA	WHITMAN	.	.	1,638	56.3%	24	.	1,668	56.1%
WA	YAKIMA	81	40.7%	10,739	55.0%	588	43.4%	11,408	54.3%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
WI	ADAMS	.	.	1,079	52.8%	9	.	1,090	52.7%
WI	ASHLAND	.	.	1,467	44.9%	29	37.9%	1,496	44.7%
WI	BARRON	.	.	3,652	50.9%	10	.	3,662	50.8%
WI	BAYFIELD	.	.	1,032	50.2%	23	.	1,055	50.0%
WI	BROWN	.	.	12,262	51.9%	176	26.1%	12,448	51.6%
WI	BUFFALO	.	.	1,140	53.4%	7	.	1,147	53.1%
WI	BURNETT	.	.	1,245	45.5%	19	.	1,265	45.5%
WI	CALUMET	.	.	1,561	51.3%	4	.	1,565	51.2%
WI	CHIPPEWA	.	.	3,964	52.9%	12	.	3,981	52.9%
WI	CLARK	.	.	2,740	56.8%	12	.	2,754	56.6%
WI	COLUMBIA	.	.	4,161	48.6%	28	42.9%	4,191	48.6%
WI	CRAWFORD	.	.	1,261	50.7%	8	.	1,271	50.5%
WI	DANE	165	46.7%	16,557	53.7%	220	37.7%	16,942	53.4%
WI	DODGE	.	.	4,393	51.5%	14	.	4,410	51.4%
WI	DOOR	.	.	2,672	56.4%	10	.	2,687	56.3%
WI	DOUGLAS	.	.	3,152	52.6%	44	29.5%	3,200	52.3%
WI	DUNN	.	.	2,167	56.7%	16	.	2,183	56.5%
WI	EAU CLAIRE	.	.	5,839	58.8%	55	25.5%	5,898	58.5%
WI	FLORENCE	.	.	319	54.2%	2	.	321	54.2%
WI	FOND DU LAC	.	.	7,386	53.6%	53	26.4%	7,445	53.4%
WI	FOREST	.	.	819	57.1%	18	.	838	56.3%
WI	GRANT	.	.	3,491	46.5%	21	.	3,513	46.4%
WI	GREEN	.	.	2,502	55.0%	10	.	2,515	54.9%
WI	GREEN LAKE	.	.	1,752	48.2%	9	.	1,763	48.2%
WI	IOWA	.	.	1,304	42.2%	10	.	1,315	42.0%
WI	IRON	.	.	717	50.2%	4	.	721	49.9%
WI	JACKSON	.	.	1,236	53.1%	41	41.5%	1,281	52.7%
WI	JEFFERSON	.	.	5,300	50.2%	22	.	5,331	50.2%
WI	JUNEAU	.	.	1,994	49.7%	20	.	2,019	49.4%
WI	KENOSHA	123	48.0%	7,710	58.5%	96	46.9%	7,929	58.2%
WI	KEWAUNEE	.	.	1,568	48.9%	9	.	1,578	48.7%
WI	LA CROSSE	.	.	6,196	57.1%	78	16.7%	6,280	56.6%
WI	LAFAYETTE	.	.	1,094	44.6%	8	.	1,103	44.4%
WI	LANGLADE	.	.	1,904	46.4%	10	.	1,916	46.2%
WI	LINCOLN	.	.	2,538	55.3%	11	.	2,549	55.2%
WI	MANITOWOC	.	.	6,952	52.7%	46	39.1%	7,001	52.6%
WI	MARATHON	.	.	7,745	58.9%	106	23.6%	7,855	58.4%
WI	MARINETTE	.	.	3,876	47.2%	15	.	3,893	47.2%
WI	MARQUETTE	.	.	1,493	46.8%	5	.	1,502	46.8%
WI	MENOMINEE	.	.	85	68.2%	78	60.3%	163	64.4%
WI	MILWAUKEE	4,725	45.7%	47,794	51.9%	973	32.5%	53,492	51.0%
WI	MONROE	.	.	2,443	55.8%	26	.	2,472	55.5%
WI	OCONTO	.	.	2,339	47.7%	21	.	2,360	47.5%
WI	ONEIDA	.	.	3,476	60.6%	10	.	3,488	60.6%
WI	OUTAGAMIE	.	.	8,455	55.2%	158	27.2%	8,619	54.7%
WI	OZAUKEE	24	37.5%	4,193	56.1%	22	.	4,239	55.9%
WI	PEPIN	.	.	624	50.0%	2	.	626	49.8%
WI	PIERCE	.	.	2,032	47.2%	10	.	2,044	47.2%
WI	POLK	.	.	2,838	49.8%	10	.	2,853	49.8%
WI	PORTAGE	.	.	3,822	57.8%	40	35.0%	3,864	57.5%
WI	PRICE	.	.	1,427	51.5%	5	.	1,434	51.4%
WI	RACINE	501	49.1%	10,344	57.1%	121	35.5%	10,966	56.5%
WI	RICHLAND	.	.	1,368	39.8%	4	.	1,373	39.8%
WI	ROCK	250	50.0%	9,480	54.1%	58	41.4%	9,788	53.9%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
WI	RUSK	.	.	1,356	57.4%	2	.	1,358	57.4%
WI	SAUK	.	.	4,025	51.0%	19	.	4,048	51.0%
WI	SAWYER	.	.	1,213	57.9%	44	38.6%	1,257	57.2%
WI	SHAWANO	.	.	3,072	51.9%	62	46.8%	3,139	51.8%
WI	SHEBOYGAN	14	35.7%	7,996	51.6%	93	22.6%	8,103	51.3%
WI	ST. CROIX	.	.	2,691	50.3%	20	.	2,713	50.2%
WI	TAYLOR	.	.	1,374	56.3%	6	.	1,381	56.3%
WI	TREMPEALEAU	.	.	2,197	46.8%	10	.	2,209	46.8%
WI	UNKNOWN	.	.	95	35.8%	14	.	110	35.5%
WI	VERNON	.	.	2,059	47.6%	8	.	2,067	47.7%
WI	VILAS	.	.	2,080	58.2%	34	.	2,114	57.7%
WI	WALWORTH	13	30.8%	5,240	45.6%	34	.	5,287	45.4%
WI	WASHBURN	.	.	1,634	49.8%	26	.	1,662	49.5%
WI	WASHINGTON	.	.	5,578	55.6%	23	.	5,608	55.6%
WI	WAUKESHA	33	54.5%	18,717	56.0%	175	34.9%	18,925	55.8%
WI	WAUPACA	.	.	4,482	49.5%	25	52.0%	4,508	49.5%
WI	WAUSHARA	.	.	1,782	52.4%	12	.	1,796	52.3%
WI	WINNEBAGO	11	54.5%	9,552	56.1%	65	33.8%	9,628	56.0%
WI	WOOD	.	.	6,353	64.0%	55	38.2%	6,412	63.8%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	African American		Caucasian		Other or Unknown		All Beneficiaries Age 65+	
		Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram	Number of Beneficiaries	Percent Mammogram
WV	BARBOUR	.	.	1,054	44.7%	3	.	1,066	44.3%
WV	BERKELEY	101	42.6%	3,855	49.5%	30	36.7%	3,986	49.2%
WV	BOONE	15	53.3%	1,257	46.9%	8	.	1,280	47.2%
WV	BRAXTON	.	.	988	47.1%	8	.	1,003	47.2%
WV	BROOKE	.	.	1,632	47.6%	6	.	1,648	47.5%
WV	CABELL	238	44.1%	8,102	49.1%	81	30.9%	8,421	48.8%
WV	CALHOUN	.	.	605	42.1%	3	.	610	42.0%
WV	CLAY	.	.	620	46.3%	2	.	623	46.1%
WV	DODDRIDGE	.	.	372	44.6%	3	.	376	44.4%
WV	FAYETTE	176	36.4%	2,979	52.0%	23	.	3,178	50.9%
WV	GILMER	.	.	484	44.8%	2	.	486	44.9%
WV	GRANT	.	.	795	48.6%	4	.	805	48.7%
WV	GREENBRIER	112	45.5%	2,661	53.0%	17	.	2,790	52.7%
WV	HAMPSHIRE	.	.	1,251	54.6%	7	.	1,266	54.4%
WV	HANCOCK	65	41.5%	2,801	51.7%	9	.	2,875	51.4%
WV	HARDY	20	40.0%	860	49.0%	3	.	883	48.6%
WV	HARRISON	52	51.9%	5,793	51.2%	24	.	5,869	51.1%
WV	JACKSON	.	.	2,008	50.4%	10	.	2,018	50.2%
WV	JEFFERSON	167	35.9%	2,031	44.7%	14	.	2,212	44.0%
WV	KANAWHA	710	44.2%	16,166	53.8%	86	43.0%	16,962	53.3%
WV	LEWIS	.	.	1,474	41.7%	10	.	1,487	41.7%
WV	LINCOLN	.	.	1,167	41.0%	7	.	1,175	40.9%
WV	LOGAN	85	32.9%	1,992	38.4%	9	.	2,086	38.2%
WV	MARION	98	54.1%	4,888	53.9%	31	48.4%	5,017	53.8%
WV	MARSHALL	.	.	1,966	42.1%	3	.	1,972	42.0%
WV	MASON	.	.	1,807	51.2%	11	.	1,826	51.3%
WV	MC DOWELL	254	42.5%	1,358	39.5%	7	.	1,619	39.8%
WV	MERCER	259	47.1%	4,754	51.4%	46	30.4%	5,059	51.0%
WV	MINERAL	43	46.5%	2,005	57.4%	20	.	2,068	56.8%
WV	MINGO	51	31.4%	1,284	39.3%	4	.	1,339	39.0%
WV	MONONGALIA	63	54.0%	3,887	57.1%	29	.	3,979	56.9%
WV	MONROE	20	45.0%	1,125	53.2%	6	.	1,151	52.8%
WV	MORGAN	.	.	1,060	48.0%	10	.	1,075	47.7%
WV	NICHOLAS	.	.	1,697	46.8%	14	.	1,712	46.8%
WV	OHIO	54	44.4%	3,069	55.5%	26	.	3,149	55.1%
WV	PENDLETON	.	.	646	51.9%	2	.	653	51.5%
WV	PLEASANTS	.	.	596	49.7%	0	.	597	49.6%
WV	POCAHONTAS	11	27.3%	719	38.2%	1	.	731	38.0%
WV	PRESTON	.	.	2,025	54.6%	9	.	2,038	54.5%
WV	PUTNAM	.	.	2,620	52.9%	10	.	2,635	52.9%
WV	RALEIGH	299	43.5%	4,404	52.8%	27	.	4,730	52.1%
WV	RANDOLPH	.	.	2,125	47.1%	17	.	2,151	46.9%
WV	RITCHIE	.	.	769	42.7%	6	.	777	42.3%
WV	ROANE	.	.	1,029	43.0%	3	.	1,037	42.9%
WV	SUMMERS	28	39.3%	973	48.3%	8	.	1,009	47.7%
WV	TAYLOR	12	33.3%	1,096	45.2%	10	.	1,118	45.0%
WV	TUCKER	.	.	584	49.3%	4	.	589	49.1%
WV	TYLER	.	.	640	42.5%	3	.	643	42.5%
WV	UNKNOWN	.	.	69	36.2%	6	.	75	36.0%
WV	UPSHUR	.	.	1,524	47.8%	4	.	1,538	47.4%
WV	WAYNE	.	.	2,268	42.9%	14	.	2,284	42.6%
WV	WEBSTER	.	.	624	41.5%	1	.	625	41.4%
WV	WETZEL	.	.	1,542	48.6%	13	.	1,559	48.5%
WV	WIRT	.	.	351	46.7%	2	.	353	46.5%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
WV	WOOD	47	42.6%	6,914	54.5%	19	.	6,980	54.4%
WV	WYOMING	12	41.7%	1,203	43.1%	3	.	1,218	43.1%
WY	ALBANY	.	.	1,159	57.1%	40	47.5%	1,205	56.8%
WY	BIG HORN	.	.	844	47.6%	11	.	855	47.6%
WY	CAMPBELL	.	.	825	45.8%	8	.	833	45.4%
WY	CARBON	.	.	757	46.5%	41	29.3%	802	45.5%
WY	CONVERSE	.	.	618	49.4%	12	.	630	49.2%
WY	CROOK	.	.	352	63.6%	0	.	352	63.6%
WY	FREMONT	.	.	2,037	48.3%	129	39.5%	2,170	47.8%
WY	GOSHEN	.	.	1,035	49.5%	31	48.4%	1,068	49.4%
WY	HOT SPRINGS	.	.	456	51.8%	4	.	460	52.2%
WY	JOHNSON	.	.	583	54.7%	3	.	586	54.8%
WY	LARAMIE	59	54.2%	4,034	57.4%	139	43.2%	4,232	56.9%
WY	LINCOLN	.	.	765	40.7%	11	.	776	40.3%
WY	NATRONA	20	65.0%	4,004	58.7%	55	43.6%	4,079	58.5%
WY	NIOBRARA	.	.	211	52.1%	2	.	213	51.6%
WY	PARK	.	.	1,794	52.6%	19	.	1,813	52.5%
WY	PLATTE	.	.	691	54.4%	10	.	701	54.2%
WY	SHERIDAN	.	.	1,959	50.7%	23	.	1,987	50.2%
WY	SUBLETTE	.	.	301	46.2%	1	.	302	46.0%
WY	SWEETWATER	.	.	1,295	47.4%	42	.	1,345	46.6%
WY	TETON	.	.	513	54.4%	4	.	517	54.4%
WY	UINTA	.	.	574	43.4%	3	.	577	43.3%
WY	UNKNOWN	.	.	60	36.7%	1	.	66	36.4%
WY	WASHAKIE	.	.	639	44.3%	14	.	653	44.0%
WY	WESTON	.	.	486	50.8%	3	.	489	50.7%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
PR	ADJUNTAS			410	27.1%	190	21.6%	601	25.3%
PR	AGUADA	38	36.8%	1,022	29.5%	543	28.7%	1,603	29.4%
PR	AGUADILLA	100	36.0%	1,424	31.2%	780	32.1%	2,304	31.7%
PR	AGUAS BUENAS	14	35.7%	444	36.0%	331	25.7%	789	31.7%
PR	AIBONITO	23	13.0%	683	29.9%	392	31.4%	1,098	30.1%
PR	ANASCO	33	15.2%	522	37.2%	316	40.2%	871	37.4%
PR	ARECIBO	139	36.0%	2,572	36.4%	1,547	35.4%	4,258	36.0%
PR	ARROYO	81	33.3%	315	35.2%	243	31.7%	639	33.6%
PR	BARCELONETA	38	39.5%	719	42.6%	409	43.5%	1,166	42.8%
PR	BARRANQUITAS			459	35.1%	223	32.3%	687	34.2%
PR	BAYAMON	322	41.9%	6,658	48.4%	4,010	46.1%	10,990	47.4%
PR	CABO ROJO	40	27.5%	1,376	37.3%	793	36.3%	2,209	36.8%
PR	CAGUAS	207	30.4%	3,561	35.0%	2,476	35.0%	6,244	34.8%
PR	CAMUY	13	30.8%	684	34.8%	336	33.9%	1,033	34.5%
PR	CANOVANAS			28	32.1%	12		44	34.1%
PR	CAROLINA	629	36.6%	2,901	41.6%	2,251	38.7%	5,781	40.0%
PR	CATANO	174	32.8%	1,415	36.1%	971	35.5%	2,560	35.7%
PR	CAYEY	58	25.9%	1,198	36.3%	815	33.1%	2,071	34.8%
PR	CEIBA	29	20.7%	264	33.7%	194	30.9%	487	31.8%
PR	CIALES			402	34.1%	203	37.9%	610	35.4%
PR	CIDRA	18	33.3%	521	36.3%	347	38.6%	886	37.1%
PR	COAMO	38	23.7%	712	23.9%	458	22.9%	1,208	23.5%
PR	COMERIO			323	41.5%	175	37.7%	507	40.2%
PR	COROZAL	11	36.4%	649	40.2%	328	41.8%	988	40.7%
PR	CULEBRA	11	27.3%	45	28.9%	28	39.3%	84	32.1%
PR	DORADO	71	52.1%	515	33.8%	413	32.2%	999	34.4%
PR	FAJARDO	132	25.0%	856	32.1%	663	32.4%	1,651	31.7%
PR	FLORIDA			23	26.1%	5		28	28.6%
PR	GUANICA	20	25.0%	512	23.0%	294	23.8%	826	23.4%
PR	GUAYAMA	109	19.3%	779	34.8%	516	33.7%	1,404	33.2%
PR	GUAYANILLA	26	15.4%	509	20.6%	288	22.6%	823	21.1%
PR	GUAYNABO	140	36.4%	2,266	42.2%	1,410	45.7%	3,816	43.3%
PR	GURABO	67	35.8%	521	38.0%	430	37.2%	1,018	37.5%
PR	HATILLO	19	26.3%	707	32.4%	362	34.0%	1,088	32.8%
PR	HORMIGUEROS	25	32.0%	533	33.6%	312	35.3%	870	34.1%
PR	HUMACAO	167	39.5%	1,088	39.2%	1,078	39.1%	2,333	39.2%
PR	ISABELA	45	8.9%	965	11.7%	496	15.9%	1,506	13.0%
PR	JAYUYA			252	29.8%	161	36.0%	415	32.3%
PR	JUANA DIAZ	70	17.1%	679	23.3%	484	20.7%	1,233	21.9%
PR	JUNCOS	76	28.9%	724	31.8%	468	34.0%	1,268	32.4%
PR	LAJAS	20	35.0%	642	31.0%	418	36.4%	1,080	33.1%
PR	LARES			637	35.3%	323	39.6%	968	36.7%
PR	LAS MARIAS			160	21.3%	97	21.6%	259	21.2%
PR	LAS PIEDRAS	59	28.8%	794	34.9%	482	30.1%	1,335	32.9%
PR	LOIZA	302	33.4%	624	36.7%	638	37.8%	1,564	36.5%
PR	LUQUILLO	27	22.2%	179	26.3%	121	25.6%	327	25.7%
PR	MANATI	59	49.2%	996	43.3%	613	46.3%	1,668	44.6%
PR	MARICAO			100	23.0%	59	22.0%	167	22.2%
PR	MAUNABO	44	25.0%	217	25.3%	180	23.3%	441	24.5%
PR	MAYAGUEZ	209	26.8%	2,961	33.9%	1,795	36.2%	4,965	34.4%
PR	MOCA	28	17.9%	577	34.3%	266	32.3%	871	33.2%
PR	MOROVIS			414	51.0%	236	51.3%	658	50.6%
PR	NAGUABO	56	25.0%	488	38.1%	327	28.4%	871	33.6%
PR	NARANJITO			625	48.2%	229	42.8%	864	46.5%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
PR	OROCOVIS			407	31.2%	206	31.6%	620	31.1%
PR	PATILLAS	45	31.1%	334	29.0%	268	30.6%	647	29.8%
PR	PENUELAS	13	38.5%	420	23.3%	270	27.0%	703	25.0%
PR	PONCE	301	26.2%	4,681	31.5%	3,008	29.2%	7,990	30.4%
PR	QUEBRADILLAS	12	33.3%	571	38.5%	306	33.3%	889	36.7%
PR	RINCON	17	35.3%	401	41.9%	181	39.2%	599	40.9%
PR	RIO GRANDE	174	36.8%	757	35.8%	617	36.6%	1,548	36.2%
PR	SABANA GRANDE	16	37.5%	640	34.4%	418	34.0%	1,074	34.3%
PR	SALINAS	68	30.9%	520	31.2%	408	29.2%	996	30.3%
PR	SAN GERMAN	26	34.6%	983	29.8%	554	33.0%	1,563	31.0%
PR	SAN JUAN	1,449	34.2%	16,598	40.2%	11,098	41.3%	29,145	40.3%
PR	SAN LORENZO	30	43.3%	780	37.1%	482	32.2%	1,292	35.4%
PR	SAN SEBASTIAN	16	37.5%	1,096	30.0%	601	26.6%	1,713	28.9%
PR	SANTA ISABEL	53	24.5%	395	23.8%	278	19.4%	726	22.2%
PR	TOA ALTA	50	34.0%	677	43.3%	449	39.4%	1,176	41.4%
PR	TOA BAJA	143	34.3%	647	39.7%	433	32.3%	1,223	36.5%
PR	TRUJILLO ALTO	96	38.5%	981	41.6%	657	47.2%	1,734	43.5%
PR	UNKNOWN			21	38.1%	7		28	28.6%
PR	UTUADO			276	26.8%	151	25.8%	428	26.4%
PR	VEGA ALTA	78	29.5%	612	35.0%	399	33.8%	1,089	34.2%
PR	VEGA BAJA	137	35.0%	1,235	40.3%	777	41.2%	2,149	40.3%
PR	VIEQUES	57	28.1%	286	31.8%	182	28.0%	525	30.1%
PR	VILLALBA	21	23.8%	375	23.2%	232	18.1%	628	21.3%
PR	YABUCOA	50	30.0%	630	32.1%	523	33.5%	1,203	32.6%
PR	YAUCO	24	25.0%	1,019	26.2%	583	26.2%	1,626	26.2%

Non-HMO Women Age 65+ with Mammography Services Paid By Medicare, 1999 Through 2000 By Race

State	County	<i>African American</i>		<i>Caucasian</i>		<i>Other or Unknown</i>		<i>All Beneficiaries Age 65+</i>	
		<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>	<i>Number of Beneficiaries</i>	<i>Percent Mammogram</i>
VI	ST CROIX	1,128	22.6%	285	36.8%	188	21.8%	1,601	25.0%
VI	ST THOMAS-JOHN	1,504	37.3%	324	43.8%	68	32.4%	1,896	38.2%
VI	UNKNOWN	.	.	32	40.6%	2	.	37	35.1%